Aleksander Lempinen

Machine learning based automatic analysis of physics instruction quality

Master's Thesis in Information Technology

March 10, 2020

University of Jyväskylä

Faculty of Information Technology

Author: Aleksander Lempinen

Contact information: aleksander.lempinen@outlook.com

Supervisors: Tommi Kärkkäinen, Daniela Caballero, and Jouni Viiri

Title: Machine learning based automatic analysis of physics instruction quality

Työn nimi: Koneoppimispohjainen automaattinen fysiikan opetuksen laadun analyysi

Project: Master's Thesis

Study line: Educational Technology

Page count: 21+0

Abstract: TODO: Abstract

Keywords: TODO: Keywords

Suomenkielinen tiivistelmä: TODO: Tiivistelmä

Avainsanat: TODO: Avainsanat

Glossary

TODO TODO: Glossary

ASR Automatic Speech Recognition

HMM Hidden Markov Model

LSTM Long Short-Term Memory

ML Machine Learning

NLP Natural Language Processing

RNN Recurrent Neural Network

NLTK Natural Language Toolkit

List of Figures

Figure 1. Teacher talk analysis has a common manual pipeline. Classroom video is transcribed, meaningfully coded and then visualized and interpreted	4
List of Tables	
Table 1. The sometimes inaccurate transcript consists of start and end times of the split and the utterance spoken by the teacher during that split	7
onyms: the word "current" <i>virtaa</i> is confused with the word "to flow" <i>virrata</i> when lemma <i>virta</i> was expected	8

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION				
2	PHYSICS INSTRU	UCTION QUALITY	3		
3		AS DATA			
	3.1 Audio data		5		
	3.2 Text data		6		
	3.3 Finnish langu	uage	6		
4	METHODS		7		
	4.1 Dataset		7		
	4.2 Text Normali	ization	7		
	4.3 Network anal	llysis	8		
	4.4 Time series a	analysis	8		
5	RESULTS AND CO	CONCLUSIONS	10		
6	RELATED WORK11				
7	DISCUSSION1				
BIBI	LIOGRAPHY		13		

1 Introduction

Automating the laborious and subjective process of analysing classroom speech data has potential for improving physics instruction quality and providing new tools for physics teacher education. Technological advancements in automatic speech recognition (ASR), natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning (ML) allow for more data driven approaches. The aim of this research is to develop techniques for automatic analysis of physics instruction quality.

An important part of physics education is teaching students how to think like an expert and have deeper understanding of the physics concepts with monitoring and guidance of the instructor (Wieman and Perkins 2007). Good physics instruction dependent on the talk between the teacher and the students (Scott and Ametller 2007). Unfortunately teacher talk is not given the attention it deserves during teacher education (Crespo 2002; Lehesvuori 2013), mostly because of lack of good methods to analyse the talk, which is often done with manual labelling or transcribing into text from video and audio data. Analysing teacher talk is discussed in more detail in chapter 2. This presents an opportunity for analysing teacher talk automatically using ASR and NLP methods.

Analysing speech data is particularly difficult due to the variation introduced by the differences between individual speakers, genders, emotional states, accents, dialects or due to casual speech slurring (Benzeghiba et al. 2007). Analysis of the words and the meaning of the speech data will be highly dependent on the available corpus data and tools such as parsers, which were not available for Finnish until recently (Haverinen 2014; Enarvi 2018). Automatic speech recognition and natural language processing is discussed in chapter 3.

In this research we answer the research question "How can traditional NLP techniques and machine learning be used for analysing physics instruction quality?" using the knowledge discovery in databases (KDD) methodology with the following contributions:

 Comparing the quality of lemmatization using the morphological parser Voikko with stemming using the Snowball stemmer when applied to Finnish lesson transcripts in ??.

- Visualizing the lessons in a meaningful way using network analysis and comparing them using network measures in ??.
- Engineering features to encode representations of the physics lesson transcripts for use in machine learning models in ??.
- Applying supervised and unsupervised machine learning techniques to gain insights of the instruction quality in ??.
- Validation of models and comparison of performance in ??.

2 Physics instruction quality

The quality of physics instruction has been at the centre of discussion, where traditional teaching methods have been criticized as inefficient at creating experts capable of thinking like physicists (Wieman and Perkins 2007). The interaction between the teacher and the students is called *teacher talk* and is a big part of all physics lessons, which is often taken for granted (Scott and Ametller 2007). Teacher talk is often not sufficiently addressed during teacher education and could be explained by the scarcity of available methods (Lehesvuori 2013; Viiri and Saari 2006; Crespo 2002). This chapter will review the types of methods typically used to analyse teacher talk.

Qualitative observation, where a mentor teacher makes observations during the lesson and gives feedback to the student teacher after the lesson, is an easy and a natural way to analyse teacher talk. According to Viiri and Saari (2006) student teachers have issues with remembering what happened during the lesson and both self-reflection and teacher tutor feedback are based on memory and are unstructured. Therefore they developed a method to analyse teacher talk by visualizing talk types such as "teacher presentation", "authoritative discussion", "dialogic discussion", "peer discussion" and "other" of the lesson. This was achieved by first videotaping the lesson, manually transcribing it and then manually coding each time window into one of the teacher talk types. Viiri and Saari (2006) point out that "Discourse analysis necessarily proceeds on the basis of the investigator's interpretations of what was said." Even this newly developed method is qualitative and subjective in nature by necessity.

This kind of qualitative and subjective analysis of teacher talk from classroom videos, usually by first transcribing or coding the talk based on some kind of a theoretical framework is not unique. For example Scott and Ametller (2007) and Scott et al. (2011) rely on small case studies and manual analysis of teacher talk from classroom video data either directly or from transcripts. The details of what they are looking for in the teacher talk is different in each case and dependent on the chosen theoretical framework, but the overall process is the same.

Qualitative analysis of teacher talk is not necessarily a weakness. Lehesvuori (2013) used a mix of qualitative and quantitative methods in his PhD dissertation and notes that qualitative



Figure 1. Teacher talk analysis has a common manual pipeline. Classroom video is transcribed, meaningfully coded and then visualized and interpreted.

methods of analysing teacher talk have allowed for more flexibility in contrast with quantitative methods, which were limited by the scarcity of dialogic interactions during the lessons. He identifies a weakness with quantitative methods of analysing teacher talk, which typically do not take into account the temporal aspect of teacher talk continuously changing during the lesson. Lehesvuori (2013) also developed a method to visualize the teacher talk from classroom video of the lesson similar to Viiri and Saari (2006) under a different theoretical framework.

A more quantitative approach was used by Helaakoski and Viiri (Helaakoski and Viiri 2014) to analyse the content structure of teacher talk. They used a relatively large dataset of class-room video consisting of 45 German, 28 Swiss and 25 Finnish lessons about "Relation between electrical energy and power." The videos were manually transcribed and from the videos and transcripts links between concept categories were identified and represented as a connectivity matrix, which could be visualized as a network of concepts. From the same connectivity other metrics and measures were computed. Helaakoski and Viiri (2014) found that "More specifically, the frequencies of physics concepts and connections between them correlated significantly with learning gains." This result is in like with previous research, which stresses the importance of paying attention to teacher talk. (Viiri and Saari 2006; Scott and Ametller 2007; Scott, Mortimer, and Ametller 2011)

A common theme in analysing teacher talk is that the pipeline illustrated in figure 1 is manually done. This is obviously a laborious manual task that is prone to errors and is impractical in day-to-day teacher education. While analysing dialogic interactions in teacher talk require interpretation of what the teacher meant (Viiri and Saari 2006), analysing content structure in teacher talk was less subjective and was not as heavily based on interpretation of what the teacher meant (Helaakoski and Viiri 2014). This makes the content structure of teacher talk a prime candidate for automatic analysis of physics instruction quality using NLP methods.

3 Teacher talk as data

3.1 Audio data

Automatic speech recognition (ASR), sometimes called speech to text, is a classification task, where the goal is to predict what was said from the audio signal of speech. Early ASR systems had an acoustic model which detected different sounds also known as phonemes to recognize numbers, some vowels and consonants for a single speaker (Juang and Rabiner 2005). Improvements in the acoustic model allowed for introduction of speaker-independent ASR (Benzeghiba et al. 2007; Juang and Rabiner 2005). The later addition of a language model based on statistical grammar and syntax helped more accurately predict the correct word based on what words previously appeared in the sentence (Juang and Rabiner 2005). Modern ASR systems utilize the fact that sentences are sequences of words and words are sequences of phonemes (Bengio and Heigold 2014).

Sequence based models such as Hidden Markov Models (HMM) are most commonly used, but deep learning approaches using Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks gaining popularity for acoustic models, language models and end to end text-to-speech models (Bengio and Heigold 2014; Enarvi et al. 2017). Deep learning approach is more data-driven and relies on fewer assumptions, but instead requires more data for training (Bengio and Heigold 2014). This might be impractical if training data is limited. Depending on the architecture, an ASR system might be capable of either transcription, keyword spotting or both (Juang and Rabiner 2005; Enarvi et al. 2017).

ASR is a difficult machine learning task because of a large search space, large vocabulary, undetermined length of word sequences and problems related to aligning speech signal to the text (Enarvi et al. 2017). Speech is highly variable even with a single speaker due to noise, but different pronunciations and accents mean that the audio signal will be different despite the same words being spoken (Juang and Rabiner 2005). Accents, dialects, emotional state, gender and casual speech slurring in spontaneous speech bring a lot of variation which makes conversational speech especially difficult compared to standard pronunciations and vocabulary (Benzeghiba et al. 2007; Juang and Rabiner 2005). Speaker-dependent sys-

tems are typically more accurate than speaker-independent systems (Benzeghiba et al. 2007; Enarvi et al. 2017).

3.2 Text data

TODO: NLP overview (Silfverberg et al. 2016; Kanerva et al. 2018)

3.3 Finnish language

TODO: Conversational vs official Finnish Finnish language is particularly difficult for ASR because words are formed by concatenating smaller (Enarvi et al. 2017)

4 Methods

4.1 Dataset

The dataset consists of audio recordings of Finnish physics teachers in comprehensive schools collected during the Quality of Physics Instruction study (Fischer et al. 2014; Helaakoski and Viiri 2014). Helaakoski and Viiri (2014) used microphones attached to 25 physics teachers to record lessons on the topic of "Relation between electrical energy and power". Of the 25 teachers, 22 taught their topic in two 45 min lessons and 3 teachers taught their topic during a 90 minute double lesson.

In addition to audio recordings from the teachers, the students were tested on the knowledge of the topic before and after the lesson and a list of physics keywords was obtained from a physics book glossary. The audio data was then automatically transcribed using AaltoASR in 5 second splits (Hirsimaki, Pylkkonen, and Kurimo 2009) as shown in table 1. We will define a transcript as a *document* and the full collection of transcripts as *corpus* of documents.

4.2 Text Normalization

First we apply text normalization techniques to the documents. First the documents are tokenized split by split into sequences of words using the NLTK tokenizer (Bird, Klein, and Loper 2009). Because of the agglutinative nature of Finnish, we then use the NLTK Snowball stemmer (Bird, Klein, and Loper 2009) and the Voikko morphological analyser (Pitkänen 2019) for stemming and lemmatization. An example of the stems and lemmas obtained is shown in table 2. We then process the physics keyword list in the same way.

S	tart	End	Text
1	0.0	15.0	hehkulamppua kakstoista tunteesta kirjottaas taas että miten tuo laske
1.	5.0	20.0	virtapiirejä virtapiirejä rakettien kans vaikka kuinka paljon tähän

Table 1. The sometimes inaccurate transcript consists of start and end times of the split and the utterance spoken by the teacher during that split.

Word	Snowball stem	Voikko lemma	Translation
virta	vir	Virta	current
virtaa	virt	virrata	current
virtapiiri	virtapiir	virtapiiri	electric circuit
virtapiirejä	virtapiir	virtapiiri	electric circuits

Table 2. Both the Snowball stem and the Voikko lemma have problems with synonyms: the word "current" *virtaa* is confused with the word "to flow" *virrata* when lemma *virta* was expected.

4.3 Network analysis

Expanding upon the idea of visualizing concept structure using conceptual networks by Helaakoski and Viiri (2014), we automatically generate weighted networks using the physics keyword list. In addition to obtaining a visualization of the content structure of the teacher talk during the lesson, we compute network measures to quantify the relationships between the concepts.

We first compute a physics concept co-occurrence matrix for each text normalized lesson using an overlapping window over the splits produced by the ASR system. Since a non-overlapping window would not count two concepts occurring together but in different windows as co-occurring, we count the co-occurrences within the first half of the window and then add the co-occurrences between the two halves. This is done to avoid counting the same co-occurrence within a window twice due to the overlapping window.

We then represent a pair of co-occurring physics concepts as nodes with the amount of co-occurrences as the weight for that edge. Using NetworkX (Hagberg, Schult, and Swart 2008) we can visualize the lessons concept structure as a network of concepts as shown in figure TODO.

4.4 Time series analysis

Temporal analysis of teacher talk is still problematic (Lehesvuori et al. 2013), which is why we also analyse the talk using time series analysis. For this we must first represent the teacher

talk of the lesson as a time series, before computing a matrix profile for pattern recognition purposes.

This is done by computing a keyword frequency time series for each lesson using a fixed window over the splits generated by the ASR system. We count the total amount of keywords and amount in each category in each window. Physics keywords categorized as physics concepts such as power, physics related objects such as battery, physics units such as watt, meta words such as theory or other words such as write.

_	-	1 4	1	1	
	K OCI	ılte	and	conc	lusions
_)					

TODO:

6 Related work

Caballero et al. (2017) applied ASR and NLP techniques to analyse teacher talk in Spanish and Finnish in a pilot study to determine the feasibility of the approach and maturity of modern ASR systems. Two teachers were equipped with microphones and concept networks were created and visualized by counting which physics concept keywords occurred together in the teacher's talk. We expanded upon this idea by using a larger dataset with more teachers, using alternative NLP methods such as lemmatization in addition to stemming, adding more representations of the data and including taking the temporal aspect of the lesson into account in the analysis and visualizations.

Fan et al. (2015) used NLP techniques to improve interactions between the student and the instructor. They developed a pilot system to collect self-reflections from the students, summarize them using NLP and then show the summaries to the instructors through a graphical interface. We believe that a simple to use interface to show summaries is necessary for teachers and developed visualizations so that teachers can see the content structure of the entire lesson at a glance.

7 Discussion

Research is being done in collaboration with the Department of Teacher Education at University of Jyväskylä and Centro de Investigación Avanzada en Educación (CIAE) at University of Chile.

Bibliography

Bengio, Samy, and Georg Heigold. 2014. "Word Embeddings for Speech Recognition". In *Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, Interspeech.*

Benzeghiba, M., R. De Mori, O. Deroo, S. Dupont, T. Erbes, D. Jouvet, L. Fissore, et al. 2007. "Automatic Speech Recognition and Speech Variability: A Review". *Speech Communication*, Intrinsic Speech Variations, 49, number 10 (): 763–786. ISSN: 0167-6393, visited on December 15, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.specom.2007.02.006. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167639307000404.

Bird, Steven, Ewan Klein, and Edward Loper. 2009. *Natural Language Processing with Python: Analyzing Text with the Natural Language Toolkit*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc.". ISBN: 978-0-596-55571-9. Google Books: KGIbfiiPli4C.

Caballero, Daniela, Roberto Araya, Hanna Kronholm, Jouni Viiri, André Mansikkaniemi, Sami Lehesvuori, Tuomas Virtanen, and Mikko Kurimo. 2017. "ASR in Classroom Today: Automatic Visualization of Conceptual Network in Science Classrooms". In *Data Driven Approaches in Digital Education*, edited by Élise Lavoué, Hendrik Drachsler, Katrien Verbert, Julien Broisin, and Mar Pérez-Sanagustín, 541–544. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Cham: Springer International Publishing. ISBN: 978-3-319-66610-5. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-66610-5_58.

Crespo, Sandra. 2002. "Praising and Correcting: Prospective Teachers Investigate Their Teacherly Talk". *Teaching and Teacher Education* 18, number 6 (): 739–758. ISSN: 0742-051X, visited on February 8, 2020. doi:10.1016/S0742-051X(02)00031-8. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0742051X02000318.

Enarvi, Seppo. 2018. *Modeling Conversational Finnish for Automatic Speech Recognition*. Aalto University. ISBN: 978-952-60-7908-0, visited on December 16, 2019. https://aaltodoc.aalto.fi:443/handle/123456789/30638.

Enarvi, Seppo, Peter Smit, Sami Virpioja, and Mikko Kurimo. 2017. "Automatic Speech Recognition with Very Large Conversational Finnish and Estonian Vocabularies" (). Visited on December 16, 2019. doi:10.1109/TASLP.2017.2743344. https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.04227v5.

Fan, Xiangmin, Wencan Luo, Muhsin Menekse, Diane Litman, and Jingtao Wang. 2015. "CourseMIRROR: Enhancing Large Classroom Instructor-Student Interactions via Mobile Interfaces and Natural Language Processing". In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1473–1478. CHI EA '15. Seoul, Republic of Korea: Association for Computing Machinery. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3146-3, visited on March 9, 2020. doi:10.1145/2702613.2732853. https://doi.org/10.1145/2702613.2732853.

Fischer, Hans E, Peter Labudde, Knut Neumann, and Jouni Viiri. 2014. *Quality of Instruction in Physics: Comparing Finland, Germany and Switzerland*. Münster: Waxmann Verlag. ISBN: 978-3-8309-3055-6.

Hagberg, Aric A, Daniel A Schult, and Pieter J Swart. 2008. "Exploring Network Structure, Dynamics, and Function Using NetworkX": 5.

Haverinen, Katri. 2014. "Natural Language Processing Resources for Finnish. Corpus Development in the General and Clinical Domains" (). Visited on December 16, 2019. https://www.utupub.fi/handle/10024/98608.

Helaakoski, Jussi, and Jouni Viiri. 2014. "Content and Content Structure of Physics Lessons and Students' Learning Gains: Comparing Finland, Germany and Switzerland". In *Quality of Instruction in Physics: Comparing Finland, Germany and Switzerland*, 93–110. Münster: Waxmann Verlag. ISBN: 978-3-8309-3055-6.

Hirsimaki, Teemu, Janne Pylkkonen, and Mikko Kurimo. 2009. "Importance of High-Order N-Gram Models in Morph-Based Speech Recognition". *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing* 17, number 4 (): 724–732. ISSN: 1558-7924. doi:10.1109/TASL.2008.2012323.

Juang, B H, and Lawrence R Rabiner. 2005. "Automatic Speech Recognition – A Brief History of the Technology Development". *Georgia Institute of Technology. Atlanta Rutgers University and the University of California. Santa Barbara* 1:24.

Kanerva, Jenna, Filip Ginter, Niko Miekka, Akseli Leino, and Tapio Salakoski. 2018. "Turku Neural Parser Pipeline: An End-to-End System for the CoNLL 2018 Shared Task". In *Proceedings of the CoNLL 2018 Shared Task: Multilingual Parsing from Raw Text to Universal Dependencies*, 133–142. Brussels, Belgium: Association for Computational Linguistics. Visited on December 16, 2019. doi:10.18653/v1/K18-2013. https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/K18-2013.

Lehesvuori, Sami. 2013. "Towards Dialogic Teaching in Science: Challenging Classroom Realities through Teacher Education". *Jyväskylä studies in education, psychology and social research*, number 465. Visited on January 26, 2020. https://jyx.jyu.fi/handle/123456789/41268.

Lehesvuori, Sami, Jouni Viiri, Helena Rasku-Puttonen, Josephine Moate, and Jussi Helaakoski. 2013. "Visualizing Communication Structures in Science Classrooms: Tracing Cumulativity in Teacher-Led Whole Class Discussions". *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 50 (8): 912–939. ISSN: 1098-2736, visited on February 6, 2020. doi:10.1002/tea.21100. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/tea.21100.

Pitkänen, Harri. 2019. "Voikko – Free Linguistic Software for Finnish". Visited on March 6, 2020. https://voikko.puimula.org/.

Scott, Phil, and Jaume Ametller. 2007. "Teaching Science in a Meaningful Way: Striking a Balance between 'opening up' and 'Closing down' Classroom Talk".

Scott, Phil, Eduardo Mortimer, and Jaume Ametller. 2011. "Pedagogical Link-making: A Fundamental Aspect of Teaching and Learning Scientific Conceptual Knowledge". *Studies in Science Education* 47, number 1 (): 3–36. ISSN: 0305-7267, visited on December 16, 2019. doi:10.1080/03057267.2011.549619. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2011.549619.

Silfverberg, Miikka, Teemu Ruokolainen, Krister Lindén, and Mikko Kurimo. 2016. "FinnPos: An Open-Source Morphological Tagging and Lemmatization Toolkit for Finnish". *Language Resources and Evaluation* 50, number 4 (): 863–878. ISSN: 1574-0218, visited on December 16, 2019. doi:10.1007/s10579-015-9326-3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10579-015-9326-3.

Viiri, Jouni, and Heikki Saari. 2006. "Teacher Talk Patterns in Science Lessons: Use in Teacher Education". *Journal of Science Teacher Education* 17, number 4 (): 347–365. ISSN: 1573-1847, visited on February 6, 2020. doi:10.1007/s10972-006-9028-1. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-006-9028-1.

Wieman, Carl, and Katherine Perkins. 2007. "Transforming Physics Education". *Physics Today* 58, number 11 (): 36. ISSN: 0031-9228, visited on December 16, 2019. doi:10.10 63/1.2155756. https://physicstoday.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1063/1.2155756.