Horizons as Event Density Decoupling Surfaces

Allen Proxmire
February 2026

Abstract
In the Event Density (ED) framework, horizons are not geometric boundaries or coordinate artifacts but decoupling surfaces: relational thresholds where the ED gradient between two regions becomes too steep to sustain reciprocal participation. As an observer falls toward a black hole, the ED field in their vicinity remains smooth and finite, and no local threshold is crossed. For an external observer, however, the steepening ED gradient stretches participation to the point of failure, producing the familiar freezing, fading, and redshift phenomena. This paper develops a unified, observer-independent account of horizon behavior grounded in the structure of becoming. By identifying causal access with participation strength, ED reframes the horizon as the surface where inside → outside participation becomes non-viable. This resolves long-standing conceptual tensions in general relativity and provides a clean ontological basis for causal disconnection, information inaccessibility, and horizon-level instabilities. Horizons emerge not from geometric singularities but from the intrinsic dynamics of the ED field.

1. Introduction

1.1 The Problem with Standard Horizon Explanations
Black hole horizons have long presented a conceptual tension. General relativity predicts that an infalling observer experiences nothing unusual at the horizon, while an external observer sees the infaller slow, fade, and never cross. These descriptions are reconciled mathematically through coordinate choices, yet the underlying ontology remains opaque. Why should two observers disagree so radically about the same event? Why does the horizon appear smooth locally but act as a causal boundary globally? And why does information seem to vanish from the external region without violating local physics?

Standard treatments offer no unified explanation. They rely on observer-dependent frames, optical interpretations, or coordinate artifacts, leaving the deeper structure of the phenomenon unresolved.

1.2 The ED Perspective
The Event Density framework approaches the problem from a different angle. ED models reality as a field of becoming: a scalar rate of micro-event production that varies across regions. Participation — the capacity of one region to access the micro-events of another — depends on the compatibility of their ED values and gradients. When ED values differ modestly, participation is stable. When the ED gradient becomes extreme, participation thins and eventually fails.

From this perspective, a horizon is not a geometric surface but a relational threshold. It is the point at which the ED gradient between two regions becomes too steep to sustain reciprocal participation. The infaller experiences nothing unusual because their local ED remains smooth. The external observer loses access because the infaller's micro-events cannot be integrated across the gradient.

This single structural insight unifies the two perspectives without invoking coordinate dependence.

1.3 Aim of the Paper

The aim of this paper is to articulate the ED account of horizons and causal structure. We show that:

- horizons arise from extreme ED gradients
- cross‑participation becomes one‑way at the decoupling surface
- freezing, fading, and redshift follow directly from participation thinning
- the infaller's smooth experience is a consequence of local ED continuity
- information is not destroyed but becomes causally inaccessible

By grounding horizon behavior in the structure of becoming, ED provides a clean, ontologically transparent explanation of causal disconnection. This sets the stage for the next paper in the series, which develops the ED account of relativistic phenomena more broadly.

2. Horizons as ED Decoupling Surfaces

2.1 Horizons Are Not Geometric Boundaries

In classical general relativity, a horizon is treated as:

- a geometric surface
- defined by null structure
- separating causal regions
- with no local physical signature

But this picture presupposes:

- a smooth manifold
- stable causal structure
- continuous geometry
- well‑defined light cones

ED shows that none of these are fundamental.

A horizon is not a geometric object.
A horizon is a participation phenomenon.

A horizon appears when:

- ED gradients become extreme
- adjacency becomes one‑sided
- commitment pathways collapse
- participation channels decouple

The manifold description is the shadow of this deeper structure.

2.2 Decoupling as the Fundamental Mechanism

A horizon forms when participation becomes directionally asymmetric.

This occurs when:

- ED gradients steepen beyond a critical threshold
- participation bandwidth collapses in one direction
- commitment histories diverge irreversibly

- adjacency loses reciprocity

In ED terms: **A horizon is a surface where participation becomes one‑sided or undefined.**

This is the core insight: Horizons are not "places." They are surfaces of decoupling in the participation network.

2.3 Why Horizons Are Irreversible
Classically, horizons are one‑way because:

- light cannot escape
- causal cones tilt inward
- geodesics converge

In ED, irreversibility arises because:

- commitment cannot be undone
- participation cannot be re‑established once decoupled
- ED gradients enforce directional flow
- adjacency cannot be reconstructed from the far side

Irreversibility is not geometric.
It is architectural.

Once participation collapses across a surface, the network cannot re‑knit itself.

2.4 Horizons as ED Gradient Singularities (Without Singularities)

Horizons are often conflated with singularities.
ED cleanly separates them.

A horizon is:

- a surface of decoupling
- where participation becomes one‑sided
- where ED gradients become non‑invertible
- where commitment pathways collapse

A singularity is:

- a failure of the manifold model
- not a physical object
- not a location
- not a point in spacetime

In ED:

- horizons are real
- singularities are representational failures

Horizons are the last stable surface before geometric description breaks down.

2.5 Why Horizons Have Thermodynamic Behavior

Hawking radiation, entropy, and temperature emerge because:

- horizons are decoupling surfaces
- decoupling creates asymmetric participation
- asymmetric participation creates effective information loss
- information loss creates thermodynamic behavior

In ED:

- entropy = commitment irreversibility
- temperature = ED gradient fluctuation rate
- radiation = reconfiguration at the decoupling boundary

Thermodynamics is not added to horizons.
It is inherent in the decoupling architecture.

2.6 The Architectural Summary

Horizons are not geometric boundaries.

They are ED decoupling surfaces where participation becomes one‑sided or undefined.

- Horizon formation = ED gradient steepening
- Irreversibility = commitment asymmetry
- Causal structure = participation directionality
- Thermodynamics = information loss through decoupling
- Singularities = failures of geometric representation, not physical entities

Horizons are the participation fractures that mark the limits of stable geometry.

3. Horizons as ED‑Gradient Structures

3.1 The ED Profile of a Black Hole

In the Event Density framework, a black hole is not defined by geometric curvature alone but by the behavior of the ED field surrounding it. As one moves inward toward the central region, the ED value increases monotonically: the rate of micro‑event production rises, the local becoming accelerates, and the ED gradient steepens. This gradient is mild at large radii, grows stronger as one approaches the gravitational well, and becomes extreme in the vicinity of what general relativity identifies as the event horizon.

Crucially, nothing singular occurs to the ED field at any specific radius. The ED value remains finite, and the field is locally smooth everywhere outside the central singularity. What distinguishes the horizon is not a divergence in ED itself but a qualitative change in the behavior of the ED gradient. The gradient becomes sufficiently steep that regions on opposite sides of it can no longer maintain stable cross‑participation. The horizon is therefore not a geometric surface but a relational boundary defined by the structure of the ED field.

3.2 The Decoupling Condition

The central claim of this paper is that a horizon forms when the ED gradient reaches a threshold at which cross‑participation between two regions becomes non‑viable. Participation, in ED terms, is the capacity of micro‑events in one region to be accessed, registered, or integrated by another region. When two regions differ only modestly in ED, participation remains stable: signals propagate, clocks remain mutually interpretable, and causal influence is reciprocal.

As the ED gradient increases, participation becomes strained. Signals emitted from a high-ED region must traverse a field in which the rate of becoming is progressively slower. Each micro-event is stretched across a larger interval of the receiving region's becoming, producing redshift and time dilation. When the gradient becomes sufficiently steep, the receiving region can no longer integrate the micro-events at a rate compatible with its own ED. Participation becomes asymptotically thin.

The decoupling condition is reached when the participation strength between two regions falls below a minimum viable threshold. Beyond this point, micro-events produced inside the high-ED region cannot be meaningfully registered outside. The horizon is therefore the surface at which cross-participation becomes one-way: the outside can still influence the inside, but the inside can no longer influence the outside. This is the ED definition of a horizon.

3.3 Why the Horizon Is Not a "Place"

Because ED is a local field, and because the ED value remains finite and continuous everywhere outside the singularity, no observer crossing the horizon encounters a discontinuity. The horizon is not a physical surface, not a membrane, and not a geometric boundary in the traditional sense. It is a relational phenomenon: a boundary defined by the inability of two regions to maintain mutual participation across an extreme ED gradient.

From the perspective of the infalling observer, the ED field is smooth, and no threshold is crossed locally. From the perspective of the external observer, the ED mismatch becomes so extreme that the infaller's micro-events become inaccessible. The horizon therefore exists only as a relation between regions, not as a feature of any one region considered in isolation.

In ED terms, a horizon is the surface where the ED gradient induces causal decoupling. It is not a place where something happens to the infaller; it is the place where something stops happening for the outside world. The horizon marks the limit of reciprocal participation, not the limit of motion or experience.

4. The Infalling Observer

4.1 Local Smoothness of ED

A central feature of the Event Density field is its local continuity. Even in regions where the ED gradient is globally extreme, the ED value experienced by any observer is always finite and varies smoothly across their immediate neighborhood. This is a direct consequence of ED's definition as a scalar field of micro-event production: the field can steepen, but it does not exhibit discontinuities except at singularities.

For an observer falling toward a black hole, this means that the ED field in their vicinity behaves like any other smoothly varying physical field. The rate of becoming increases as they descend, but it does so gradually and without abrupt transitions. No local threshold is crossed at the horizon itself. The infaller's experience is therefore governed entirely by the ED value and gradient in their immediate surroundings, neither of which becomes pathological at the horizon.

4.2 Why the Infalling Observer Notices Nothing

Because the ED field is locally smooth, the infaller's internal processes — their clock rate, their perception, their physical interactions — remain internally coherent. Their micro-events are produced at a rate consistent with the

ED of their region, and all nearby processes share that same rate of becoming. Nothing in their local environment signals that they have crossed a boundary of any kind.

In ED terms, the infaller does not notice the horizon because the horizon is not a local feature. It is not defined by the ED value at a point but by the relationship between ED values across regions. The infaller's region remains self-consistent, and participation within that region remains stable. The breakdown occurs only in the relationship between the infaller's region and the external region — a relationship the infaller has no direct access to.

This explains, in ontological terms, the familiar GR statement that "nothing special happens at the horizon." The ED framework shows why this must be the case: the horizon is a relational threshold, not a local event.

4.3 The ED Meaning of "Nothing Special Happens"

The phrase "nothing special happens" has often been treated as a coordinate artifact in GR, a consequence of choosing a locally inertial frame. In ED, the statement has a deeper and more literal meaning. The horizon is not a place where physical laws change or where the structure of spacetime becomes singular. It is the surface where cross-participation fails, but this failure is invisible to any observer who remains within a single ED regime.

From the infaller's perspective:

- Their local ED is finite.
- Their local ED gradient is smooth.
- Their participation with nearby regions remains intact.
- No threshold is crossed locally.

The horizon is therefore not an event in their worldline. It is a boundary in the relational structure between their worldline and the external region. The infaller continues into a region of higher ED without interruption, while the outside world loses the ability to register their micro-events.

In ED terms, "nothing special happens" means that the horizon is not a feature of the infaller's reality. It is a feature of the relationship between realities.

5. The External Observer

5.1 Mismatch of ED Regimes

For an observer who remains outside the black hole, the ED field in their region is comparatively low and varies slowly. Their rate of becoming is modest, and their local processes unfold at a pace consistent with that ED value. When they attempt to register micro-events originating from an infalling observer, they are effectively comparing signals produced in a high-ED regime with the interpretive capacity of a low-ED regime.

This mismatch is the source of all familiar horizon phenomena. The external observer is not witnessing an illusion or a coordinate artifact; they are encountering the consequences of attempting to interpret micro-events produced in a region whose becoming is accelerating relative to their own. As the infaller approaches the horizon, the ED gradient between the two regions steepens dramatically, and the external observer's ability to integrate the infaller's micro-events diminishes.

5.2 Redshift as Stretched Participation

In ED terms, redshift is not a geometric stretching of spacetime but a stretching of participation across mismatched ED regimes. A signal emitted by the infaller consists of a sequence of micro-events. As these micro-events propagate outward, they traverse regions of progressively lower ED. Each micro-event must be "spread out" across a larger interval of the receiving region's becoming in order to be registered.

This produces the familiar gravitational redshift:
- The frequency of the signal decreases.
- The energy per received micro-event diminishes.
- The signal becomes increasingly sparse.

The external observer interprets this as a slowing of the infaller's clock. In ED terms, this is simply the consequence of attempting to map a high-rate micro-event stream onto a low-rate interpretive field.

5.3 Freezing and Fading
As the infaller approaches the horizon, the ED gradient becomes so steep that the external observer can no longer maintain stable participation with the infaller's region. The infaller's micro-events arrive increasingly slowly, stretched across ever-larger intervals of the external observer's becoming. Eventually, the arrival rate becomes asymptotically small.

This produces two familiar observational effects:

Freezing:
- The infaller appears to slow down, their motion asymptotically approaching the horizon.

Fading:
- The infaller's signals become weaker and redder until they effectively vanish.

In ED terms, these effects are not illusions. They are the direct consequence of the external observer's inability to integrate micro-events produced in a region whose ED is rising beyond their capacity for participation.

5.4 Why This Is Not an Illusion
General relativity often frames the freezing and fading of an infaller as an "optical" or "coordinate" effect. In ED, the phenomenon has a deeper ontological basis. The external observer is not misled by coordinates; they are witnessing the real thinning of cross-participation across an extreme ED gradient.

The infaller's micro-events do not cease to exist. They simply cease to be accessible to the external observer. The horizon marks the point at which the external observer's ED regime can no longer integrate the micro-events produced inside. This is a genuine, structural limitation, not a perceptual artifact.

In ED terms, the external observer sees the infaller freeze and fade because their region of becoming cannot keep up with the infaller's. The horizon is therefore the surface where the external observer's access to the infaller's micro-events becomes asymptotically negligible.

6. Causal Structure in ED

6.1 Causal Access as Participation
In the Event Density framework, causal structure is not defined by geometric light cones or metric intervals.

Instead, it is defined by participation: the capacity of micro‑events in one region to be accessed, registered, or integrated by another region. Two regions are causally connected when their ED values and gradients permit stable cross‑participation. They are causally disconnected when the ED gradient between them becomes too steep for micro‑events to be mutually integrated.

This reframes causal structure as a relational property of the ED field. Causality is not a geometric constraint imposed from outside; it is an emergent feature of how regions of becoming interact. When ED values are compatible, participation is reciprocal and causal influence is symmetric. When ED values diverge, participation becomes asymmetric and eventually fails.

In this view, causal access is not a binary property but a graded one. Participation strength decreases smoothly as ED mismatch increases, and causal influence weakens accordingly. Horizons arise when this graded structure reaches a threshold beyond which participation becomes one‑way.

6.2 One‑Way Participation

As shown in Section 5, signals emitted from a high‑ED region become increasingly difficult for a low‑ED region to integrate. The reverse, however, is not true. Signals traveling from a low‑ED region into a high‑ED region encounter no such difficulty. The high‑ED region produces micro‑events at a faster rate and can easily integrate the slower micro‑event stream from the outside.

This asymmetry is the key to understanding horizons in ED terms. A horizon forms when the ED gradient becomes so steep that inside → outside participation fails, while outside → inside participation remains viable. The horizon is therefore the surface at which causal influence becomes one‑way.

This one‑way participation is not a geometric constraint but a structural consequence of the ED field. It arises naturally from the mismatch in becoming between regions. The horizon is the point where the external region can no longer keep up with the internal region's micro‑event production, while the internal region can still integrate the external region's slower signals.

This explains why:

- infallers can still receive signals from the outside
- external observers cannot receive signals from the infaller
- the horizon is a boundary of causal decoupling, not a physical barrier

6.3 The ED Definition of a Horizon

In ED terms, a horizon is defined by a single, clean condition:

A horizon is the surface at which cross‑participation between two regions becomes one‑way due to an extreme ED gradient.

This definition captures all familiar horizon phenomena:

- Redshift arises from stretched participation across the gradient.
- Freezing arises from the external region's inability to integrate high‑ED micro‑events.
- Fading arises from the thinning of participation strength.
- Causal disconnection arises when inside → outside participation becomes non‑viable.

- Smooth infall arises because no local threshold is crossed.

The ED definition is not observer-dependent.

It does not rely on coordinate choices.
It does not require geometric singularities.

It is a relational threshold in the structure of becoming.

This reframes the horizon as a natural consequence of the ED field, not a mysterious geometric artifact. The horizon is where the relational fabric of participation fails, not where spacetime itself breaks down.

7. Consequences and Comparisons

7.1 Comparison with General Relativity
General relativity provides two descriptions of horizon behavior that appear contradictory:

Local description:
- An infalling observer experiences nothing unusual at the horizon.

External description:
- The infaller appears to freeze, fade, and never cross the horizon.

These descriptions are reconciled in GR by appealing to coordinate choices and observer-dependent frames. While mathematically correct, this reconciliation lacks an underlying ontological explanation. The ED framework resolves the tension by identifying the horizon as a relational threshold in the structure of becoming, not a geometric boundary.

In ED:
- The infaller experiences smooth, finite ED locally → nothing special happens.
- The external observer experiences extreme ED mismatch → freezing and fading.
- Both descriptions arise from the same underlying ED gradient.

The ED account is therefore observer-independent at the ontological level and observer-dependent only at the level of participation, providing a unified explanation without invoking coordinate artifacts.

7.2 Implications for Information (Revised)
The ED framework offers a clean resolution to the information-loss puzzle. In GR, information appears to vanish behind the horizon because external observers lose access to the infaller's degrees of freedom. In ED, this loss of access is not paradoxical: it is the natural consequence of decoupling across an extreme ED gradient.

Information is not a substance stored inside a region.
It is the pattern of becoming encoded in ED gradients.

Those gradients continue to evolve smoothly inside the horizon, but the external region can no longer maintain cross-participation with them.

Thus:

- The internal ED gradients persist and continue to encode the region's history.
- The external region loses the ability to integrate those gradients.
- No destruction of information occurs — only causal inaccessibility.

The ED account therefore preserves information without requiring exotic mechanisms such as firewalls, complementarity, or non-locality. The apparent loss arises entirely from the failure of participation across the decoupling surface.

7.3 Implications for Hawking Radiation (Conceptual Only)

While a full derivation of Hawking radiation belongs in a later paper, the ED framework provides a conceptual interpretation that aligns with the known phenomenology. Hawking radiation arises from quantum field behavior near the horizon, where the structure of spacetime becomes unstable. In ED terms, this instability corresponds to the extreme steepening of the ED gradient at the decoupling surface.

Conceptually:

- The ED gradient near the horizon is highly non-linear.
- Participation between neighboring regions becomes unstable.
- This instability may manifest as particle production at the boundary.

The ED perspective does not replace the quantum field-theoretic derivation but provides an ontological backdrop: Hawking radiation emerges from the gradient-induced instability of participation at the horizon.

This interpretation is consistent with the ED definition of a horizon and suggests a natural direction for future work integrating ED with quantum field theory.

8. Conclusion

Horizons, in the Event Density framework, are not geometric boundaries or coordinate artifacts. They are decoupling surfaces: relational thresholds where the ED gradient between two regions becomes too steep to sustain reciprocal participation. This single structural insight unifies all familiar horizon phenomena.

For the infalling observer, the ED field remains locally smooth and finite. Their internal processes unfold coherently, and no local threshold is crossed. In ED terms, nothing special happens because the horizon is not a feature of their region; it is a feature of the relationship between their region and the external one.

For the external observer, the steepening ED gradient stretches participation to the point of failure. Signals from the infaller become increasingly redshifted, sparse, and eventually inaccessible. Freezing and fading are not illusions but the natural consequence of attempting to integrate micro-events produced in a region whose becoming accelerates beyond the external region's capacity.

This relational account resolves long-standing conceptual tensions in general relativity. It explains the asymmetry between infaller and external observer without invoking coordinate dependence, optical artifacts, or paradoxical mechanisms. It also reframes the information problem: information is not destroyed but becomes causally inaccessible once participation across the decoupling surface fails.

By grounding horizons in the structure of becoming, ED provides a unified, ontologically transparent account of causal disconnection. Horizons emerge not from geometric singularities but from the intrinsic dynamics of the ED field.

This paper establishes the ED interpretation of horizons and causal structure. The next step is to show how ED reproduces and clarifies the full suite of relativistic phenomena — including time dilation, inertial motion, and curvature — from the same underlying principles. That is the task of Paper 7: *ED and Relativistic Phenomena*.