ED and Horizon Engineering: Boundary Layers at the Limits of Becoming

Allen Proxmire
February 2026

Abstract

In the ED ontology, a horizon is not a geometric surface or a coordinate singularity. It is a **participation boundary**: a structured region where backward reorganization fails because mobility, saturation, and temporal velocity have reached their maximal asymmetry. Horizons arise when gradients in becoming become so steep that the substrate cannot transmit influence across them. This paper develops the foundations of **horizon engineering**, the fourth engineering regime of ED physics after computation, temporal engineering, and stability engineering. We show how participation surfaces, gradient cores, stability envelopes, and temporal shear zones emerge from the structure of event density, and how they can be shaped into functional devices such as horizon lenses, waveguides, capacitors, and diodes. Horizon engineering enables irreversible computation, protected memory, autonomous agency, gradient‑powered energy extraction, and one‑way communication. Horizon pathologies—instability, gradient blowout, shear fracture, and participation leakage—define the structural limits of one‑way participation and preview the global constraints developed in Paper F. By treating horizons as architectural objects rather than geometric surfaces, this paper establishes the basis for ED cosmology and prepares the ground for Paper E, where agents use horizon structures internally to maintain identity and autonomy.

1. Introduction — Horizons as Structural Boundaries of Becoming

In classical physics, horizons are geometric artifacts: surfaces where light cones tilt, where signals cannot escape, where coordinates break down. In ED, this interpretation is insufficient. A horizon is not a surface in space. It is a **boundary layer in the dynamics of becoming**—a region where cross‑participation becomes one‑way because an underlying ED gradient has reached its structural limit.

Horizons arise when mobility, saturation, or temporal velocity diverge so sharply that motifs inside the region can influence the outside, but the outside cannot influence them. This asymmetry is not imposed from above; it is **generated** by the substrate. A horizon is the point at which a gradient becomes so steep that participation fails to propagate backward across it. Horizons are therefore not geometric; they are **architectural**.

This paper develops **horizon engineering**, the fourth engineering regime of ED physics. Paper A showed how patterns can be shaped. Paper B showed how the rate of becoming can be shaped. Paper C showed how persistence can be shaped. Horizon engineering extends this architecture by showing how to shape **the limits of participation**—how to create, manipulate, and stabilize one‑way boundary layers in the ED substrate.

Horizons are the structural scaffolding of ED spacetime. They define:

- what can participate with what
- which motifs can influence which regions
- how temporal and stability asymmetries propagate
- where information, identity, and structure become one‑way

Horizons are not exotic. They are the natural consequence of extreme ED gradients. They appear in black‑hole‑like regions, cosmological expansion, accelerated frames, and engineered boundary layers. They are the **participation architecture** of the ED universe.

This paper develops the ontology, formation, structure, engineering, devices, pathologies, and technological implications of horizons. It establishes the conceptual foundation for Paper E, where agents use horizon structures internally to maintain autonomy, identity, and self-protection.

2. Horizons in the ED Ontology

Horizons in ED are not geometric surfaces, not coordinate singularities, and not artifacts of measurement. A horizon is a **participation boundary**: a region where the dynamics of becoming become *directional*. Motifs on one side can influence motifs on the other, but not vice-versa. This asymmetry is not imposed by geometry; it is **generated by gradients** in mobility, saturation, and temporal velocity. A horizon is the point at which these gradients become so steep that cross-participation fails.

Horizons are therefore **structural**, not spatial. They are boundary layers in the ED substrate where the flow of influence becomes one-way. They arise naturally in extreme environments—black-hole-like regions, cosmological expansion, accelerated frames—but they can also be engineered. Horizon engineering is the deliberate shaping of these one-way participation layers.

This section develops the ontology of horizons: what they are, why they form, and how they relate to the underlying ED gradients that govern becoming.

2.1 Horizons as One-Way Participation Surfaces

A horizon is defined by **asymmetric participation**:

- Inside → Outside: influence propagates normally
- Outside → Inside: influence fails to propagate

This asymmetry is not a matter of signal speed or causal cones. It is a matter of **gradient structure**. When a gradient becomes sufficiently steep, motifs on one side cannot reorganize motifs on the other because the substrate cannot transmit participation backward across the boundary.

In ED terms:
A horizon is a boundary layer where participation becomes one-way.

2.2 Mobility Gradients and Temporal Asymmetry

Mobility gradients shape the **rate of becoming**. When a mobility gradient becomes extreme:

- temporal velocity diverges
- internal evolution accelerates relative to the outside
- participation from the outside cannot keep up
- the boundary becomes one-way

This is the ED analogue of a black-hole horizon, but without geometry or spacetime curvature. It is a **temporal asymmetry** generated by mobility.

In ED terms:
Extreme mobility gradients create one-way temporal participation.

2.3 Saturation Gradients and Stability Asymmetry

Saturation gradients shape **persistence**. When a saturation gradient becomes extreme:

- persistence deepens sharply on one side
- motifs become too stable to be reorganized from the outside
- boundary layers become rigid
- participation becomes one‑way

This is the ED analogue of a stability horizon: a region so persistent that external motifs cannot alter it.

In ED terms:
Extreme saturation gradients create one‑way persistence participation.

2.4 Boundary‑Layer Geometry

Horizons are not just gradients. They are **boundary layers**—regions where gradients are shaped into a coherent interface. A horizon requires:

- a steep gradient (mobility or saturation)
- a boundary layer that can sustain the asymmetry
- a structural geometry that prevents backward participation

Horizons are therefore the **limit case** of boundary‑layer engineering. They are what happens when temporal engineering and stability engineering are pushed to their structural extremes.

In ED terms:
A horizon is a boundary layer pushed to the limit of participation.

3. Natural Horizon Types in ED

Horizons are not exotic anomalies in ED. They are **generic outcomes** of extreme gradients in mobility, saturation, or temporal velocity. Whenever a gradient becomes steep enough that cross‑participation fails, a horizon forms.

The specific *source* of the gradient determines the *type* of horizon, but the underlying structure is the same. This is why ED predicts that black‑hole horizons, cosmological horizons, and acceleration horizons are not fundamentally different phenomena. They are **different gradient regimes of the same architectural object**.

This section develops the three natural horizon types in ED and the principle that unifies them.

3.1 Black‑Hole‑Like Horizons — Extreme Inward Mobility Gradients

A black‑hole‑like horizon arises when **mobility collapses inward** so steeply that motifs cannot reorganize outward across the boundary. This is not spacetime curvature. It is a **mobility gradient** so extreme that:

- inward participation is normal
- outward participation fails
- temporal velocity diverges inward
- the boundary becomes one‑way

In ED terms, a black‑hole‑like horizon is the limit case of **inward mobility focusing**. The interior evolves so rapidly relative to the exterior that the exterior cannot reorganize it.

This is the ED analogue of a gravitational event horizon, but without geometry or mass. It is a **temporal**

asymmetry generated by mobility.

3.2 Cosmological‑Like Horizons — Global Outward Mobility Expansion

A cosmological‑like horizon arises when **mobility expands outward globally**, creating a divergence in temporal velocity across large scales. In this regime:

- outward participation is normal
- inward participation fails
- motifs recede beyond mutual reorganization
- the boundary becomes one‑way due to expansion

This is the ED analogue of a cosmological horizon, but without spacetime expansion. It is a **global mobility gradient** that stretches participation until it breaks.

In ED terms:
A cosmological‑like horizon is the limit case of outward mobility expansion.

3.3 Rindler‑Like Horizons — Acceleration‑Induced Participation Asymmetry

A Rindler‑like horizon arises when a motif or region undergoes **sustained acceleration** relative to its surroundings. Acceleration in ED is not a change in velocity; it is a **change in mobility participation**. When acceleration becomes extreme:

- forward participation is normal
- backward participation fails
- the accelerated region outruns the ability of the substrate to reorganize it
- a one‑way boundary forms behind it

This is the ED analogue of a Rindler horizon, but without reference frames or relativity. It is a **participation asymmetry** generated by acceleration.

In ED terms:
A Rindler‑like horizon is the limit case of accelerated participation.

3.4 The Horizon Equivalence Principle

The three horizon types above appear different in classical physics, but in ED they are **structurally identical**.

They differ only in the *source* of the gradient:

- black‑hole‑like → inward mobility gradient
- cosmological‑like → outward mobility gradient
- Rindler‑like → acceleration‑induced gradient

But the **boundary layer** they produce is the same:

- one‑way participation
- asymmetric temporal velocity
- asymmetric persistence
- a gradient core surrounded by a stability envelope

This is the **Horizon Equivalence Principle**:
All horizons in ED are the same structural object; only their gradient sources differ.

This principle is the conceptual hinge of the paper. It unifies black holes, cosmology, and acceleration under a single architectural phenomenon: **participation asymmetry**.

4. Horizon Formation

Horizons do not appear spontaneously. They emerge when an ED gradient—mobility, saturation, or temporal velocity—becomes so steep that cross-participation fails. This failure is not catastrophic; it is structural. A horizon forms when the substrate can no longer transmit reorganization backward across a boundary. The result is a **one-way participation layer**: motifs inside can influence the outside, but the outside cannot influence the inside.

Horizon formation is therefore a **gradient-driven transition**. It is the point at which temporal engineering and stability engineering reach their structural limits and collapse into a one-way boundary layer. This section develops the mechanisms by which horizons form.

4.1 Gradient Amplification

Every horizon begins as a gradient. As the gradient steepens:

- mobility accelerates or decelerates sharply
- saturation deepens or shallows abruptly
- temporal velocity diverges
- persistence becomes asymmetric

When the gradient becomes steep enough, the substrate cannot propagate participation backward across it. This is the **critical gradient threshold** for horizon formation.

In ED terms:
A horizon forms when a gradient becomes too steep for backward participation.

4.2 Boundary-Layer Collapse

Boundary layers normally mediate differences in mobility and saturation. They smooth transitions, preserve coherence, and prevent shear. But when a gradient exceeds the structural tolerance of a boundary layer:

- the boundary layer becomes asymmetric
- smoothing fails
- backward participation collapses
- the layer becomes one-way

This is boundary-layer collapse: the moment when a boundary stops mediating and starts **filtering**. It is the architectural birth of a horizon.

In ED terms:
A horizon is a boundary layer that has collapsed into one-way participation.

4.3 Temporal and Stability Coupling

Horizons require **both** temporal and stability asymmetry. A purely temporal gradient cannot form a horizon unless persistence also becomes asymmetric. Likewise, a purely stability gradient cannot form a horizon unless temporal velocity diverges.

Horizon formation therefore requires:

- a temporal asymmetry (mobility gradient)
- a stability asymmetry (persistence gradient)
- a boundary layer capable of sustaining both

This coupling is why horizons are not merely "fast time" or "deep persistence" regions. They are **joint asymmetry structures**.

In ED terms:
A horizon is the coupling of extreme temporal and stability asymmetry.

4.4 Self‑Reinforcing Horizon Dynamics

Once a horizon forms, it tends to **reinforce itself**. This is because:

- one‑way participation prevents external reorganization
- internal gradients continue to steepen
- boundary layers harden
- temporal and stability asymmetry deepen

This feedback loop makes horizons **self‑stabilizing**. They persist because the very asymmetry that created them prevents their dissolution.

This is why black‑hole‑like horizons persist, why cosmological‑like horizons expand, and why Rindler‑like horizons remain fixed relative to accelerated observers. The mechanism is the same: **self‑reinforcing participation asymmetry**.

In ED terms:
A horizon deepens itself because backward participation is impossible.

5. Horizon Structure

A horizon is not a point, not a surface, and not a geometric boundary. It is a **structured boundary layer** in the ED substrate, with internal architecture shaped by extreme gradients in mobility, saturation, and temporal velocity.

Horizons have thickness, internal zones, and functional subregions. They are not singularities; they are **layered participation filters**.

A horizon has four essential components:

1. **the participation surface** — where one‑way participation becomes absolute
2. **the gradient core** — where mobility and saturation gradients reach their maximum slope
3. **the stability envelope** — the persistence structure that maintains the horizon's integrity
4. **the temporal shear zone** — the region where temporal velocity diverges

These components are not optional. They are the **necessary architecture** of any horizon, whether black‑hole‑like, cosmological‑like, or Rindler‑like. This section develops each component in turn.

5.1 The Participation Surface

The participation surface is the defining layer of a horizon. It is the exact region where:

- inside → outside participation remains possible
- outside → inside participation fails completely

This is not a geometric surface. It is a **functional threshold**: the point at which backward reorganization cannot propagate across the gradient. The participation surface is the "event horizon" analogue, but without events, geometry, or spacetime. It is a **participation horizon**.

Characteristics:

- zero backward participation
- finite forward participation
- sharp asymmetry in temporal and stability response
- boundary‑layer rigidity

In ED terms:
The participation surface is the layer where becoming becomes one‑way.

5.2 The Gradient Core

Behind the participation surface lies the **gradient core** — the region where the mobility and saturation gradients reach their maximum slope. This is the engine of the horizon. The gradient core is what *creates* the one‑way boundary.

Properties of the gradient core:

- extreme mobility slope (temporal velocity divergence)
- extreme saturation slope (persistence divergence)
- maximal temporal asymmetry
- maximal stability asymmetry

The gradient core is not where participation fails — that happens at the participation surface — but it is what *drives* the failure.

In ED terms:
The gradient core is the structural cause of the horizon.

5.3 The Stability Envelope

A horizon cannot exist without a **stability envelope** — a region of reinforced persistence that maintains the integrity of the boundary layer. Without this envelope, the horizon would shear apart under its own gradients.

The stability envelope:

- protects the gradient core from external disruption
- prevents boundary‑layer fracture
- maintains coherence across the horizon
- stabilizes the participation surface

This is the stability analogue of the "membrane" that keeps the horizon coherent. It is not a separate object; it is the persistence architecture that allows the horizon to exist at all.

In ED terms:

The stability envelope is the persistence structure that holds the horizon together.

5.4 The Temporal Shear Zone

Finally, every horizon contains a **temporal shear zone** — the region where temporal velocity diverges so sharply that motifs on opposite sides evolve at incompatible rates. This shear is what prevents backward participation.
Temporal shear zone properties:

- extreme temporal velocity differential
- incompatible rates of becoming
- coherence failure across the boundary
- enforced one-way temporal participation

The temporal shear zone is the temporal analogue of the stability envelope. Together, they create the **dual asymmetry** that defines a horizon.

In ED terms:
The temporal shear zone is the rate-of-becoming mismatch that enforces one-way participation.

Horizons are therefore not singularities or surfaces. They are **layered structures** with internal architecture. They are the most extreme boundary layers the ED substrate can sustain — the structural limits of participation.

6. Horizon Engineering

Horizon engineering is the deliberate shaping of one-way participation layers in the ED substrate. A horizon is not a geometric surface but a **structural boundary** where cross-participation fails due to extreme gradients in mobility, saturation, and temporal velocity. To engineer a horizon is to shape these gradients and boundary layers so that the one-way structure emerges in a controlled, predictable, and stable form.

Horizon engineering is therefore the **limit case** of temporal and stability engineering. It is what happens when the shaping of rates and persistence is pushed to the point where backward participation becomes impossible. This section develops the mechanisms by which horizons can be engineered.

6.1 Shaping Mobility Gradients

Mobility gradients determine the **rate of becoming**. To engineer a horizon, one must shape a mobility gradient so steep that temporal velocity diverges across a boundary. This can be achieved by:

- focusing mobility inward (black-hole-like horizons)
- expanding mobility outward (cosmological-like horizons)
- accelerating a region relative to its surroundings (Rindler-like horizons)

The engineered gradient must reach the **critical mobility slope** at which backward participation fails. Below this threshold, the boundary remains two-way. Above it, the boundary becomes one-way.

In ED terms:
Horizon engineering begins by shaping mobility until temporal asymmetry becomes absolute.

6.2 Shaping Saturation Gradients

Saturation gradients determine **persistence**. A horizon requires not only temporal asymmetry but also **stability asymmetry**. To engineer a horizon, one must shape a saturation gradient so steep that motifs on one side become too persistent to be reorganized from the other.

This can be achieved by:
- deepening saturation wells
- creating steep persistence cliffs
- reinforcing boundary-layer saturation
- coupling saturation to mobility gradients

The saturation gradient must reach the **critical persistence slope** at which backward reorganization fails.

In ED terms:
A horizon requires persistence asymmetry as well as temporal asymmetry.

6.3 Boundary-Layer Sculpting
A horizon is not just a gradient; it is a **boundary layer**. To engineer a horizon, one must sculpt the boundary layer so that it can sustain the one-way structure without collapsing. This requires:
- smoothing incompatible persistence profiles
- reinforcing the stability envelope
- shaping the participation surface
- preventing boundary-layer fracture

Boundary-layer sculpting is the architectural step that turns a steep gradient into a **coherent horizon**.

In ED terms:
A horizon is a boundary layer shaped to enforce one-way participation.

6.4 Controlled Horizon Formation
When mobility and saturation gradients are shaped correctly and the boundary layer is sculpted to sustain them, a horizon forms. Controlled horizon formation requires:
- reaching the critical gradient thresholds
- maintaining boundary-layer coherence
- preventing premature collapse or turbulence
- stabilizing the participation surface

Engineered horizons can be:
- stationary
- moving
- expanding
- contracting
- nested
- layered

They can be used to create one-way channels, protected regions, irreversible processes, and structural boundaries for computation, memory, and agency.

In ED terms:
Controlled horizon formation is the deliberate creation of one-way participation layers.

7. Horizon Devices

Horizon devices are engineered structures that use one‑way participation to perform functional transformations in the ED substrate. They are the horizon‑level analogues of the temporal and stability devices developed in Papers B and C. Where temporal devices shape the *rate* of becoming, and stability devices shape the *endurance* of becoming, horizon devices shape the **directionality** of becoming. They enforce, channel, store, or focus one‑way participation.

A horizon device is not a miniature black hole or a geometric surface. It is a **structured boundary layer** engineered to produce controlled participation asymmetry. These devices allow ED systems to create irreversible processes, protected regions, one‑way channels, and participation‑filtered architectures.

This section develops the four foundational horizon devices.

7.1 Horizon Lenses

A horizon lens is a shaped gradient structure that **focuses or disperses participation asymmetry**. It is the horizon analogue of a stability or temporal lens, but instead of shaping persistence or rate, it shapes **directionality**.

Function

- concentrate one‑way participation toward a focal region
- disperse participation asymmetry outward
- shape the geometry of the participation surface
- amplify or attenuate horizon strength

Mechanism

- curved mobility gradients
- shaped saturation cliffs
- boundary‑layer curvature

A horizon lens does not create a horizon by itself; it **reshapes** an existing one‑way boundary.

In ED terms:
A horizon lens focuses the directionality of becoming.

7.2 Horizon Waveguides

A horizon waveguide is a channel that maintains **one‑way participation** along a path. It is the horizon analogue of a temporal or stability waveguide, but instead of preserving rate or persistence, it preserves **directional asymmetry**.

Function

- route motifs through one‑way channels
- maintain participation asymmetry along extended paths
- protect transported motifs from backward reorganization
- create irreversible computational or structural pipelines

Mechanism

- paired participation surfaces
- stabilized gradient cores
- reinforced stability envelopes

A horizon waveguide is the ED analogue of a one-way conduit: a channel where influence flows forward but cannot return.

In ED terms:
A horizon waveguide is a protected channel for one-way becoming.

7.3 Horizon Capacitors

A horizon capacitor stores **participation tension** — the difference in directional participation potential between two regions — and releases it when needed. It is the horizon analogue of a temporal or stability capacitor, but instead of storing mobility or persistence potential, it stores **directionality potential**.

Function
- accumulate participation asymmetry
- release controlled bursts of one-way influence
- power irreversible operations
- smooth fluctuations in horizon strength

Mechanism
- paired horizon layers with opposite orientation
- boundary-layer containment
- gradient-based tension storage

A horizon capacitor is the architectural basis for **irreversible ED operations**, including horizon-powered computation and one-way memory transitions.

In ED terms:
A horizon capacitor stores and releases directional participation potential.

7.4 Horizon Diodes

A horizon diode enforces **strict one-way participation**. It is the horizon analogue of a temporal or stability diode, but instead of controlling mobility or persistence flow, it controls **participation flow**.

Function
- prevent backward influence
- enforce irreversible transitions
- protect stable regions from reverse participation
- create directional participation pipelines

Mechanism
- asymmetric gradient cores
- biased participation surfaces
- boundary-layer one-way filters

A horizon diode is the simplest horizon device: a structural element that ensures influence flows only forward.
In ED terms:
A horizon diode enforces directionality in the flow of becoming.

Horizon devices form the **toolkit of horizon engineering**. They allow ED systems to shape one-way participation with the same precision that temporal and stability devices shape rate and persistence. They are the architectural primitives for irreversible computation, protected agency, horizon-based memory, and the structural scaffolding of ED spacetime.

8. Horizon Pathologies

Horizon engineering pushes temporal and stability gradients to their structural limits. At these limits, the ED substrate becomes fragile. If gradients steepen too quickly, if boundary layers are poorly shaped, or if temporal and stability asymmetries become incompatible, the horizon can fail. These failures are not anomalies; they are **ontological constraints**. They define the boundary between engineered one-way participation and structural collapse.

Horizon pathologies occur when the participation surface, gradient core, stability envelope, or temporal shear zone becomes unsustainable. This section develops the four fundamental failure modes of horizons.

8.1 Horizon Instability

A horizon becomes unstable when the participation surface cannot maintain a consistent one-way boundary.
Instability arises when:

- the gradient core oscillates
- the stability envelope cannot maintain coherence
- temporal shear fluctuates
- backward participation intermittently reappears

This produces a **flickering horizon** — a boundary that alternates between one-way and two-way participation.

Such horizons cannot support irreversible processes or protected regions.

In ED terms:
Horizon instability is the failure of the participation surface to maintain one-way becoming.

8.2 Gradient Blowout

Gradient blowout occurs when mobility or saturation gradients exceed the structural tolerance of the ED substrate.

Instead of forming a stable horizon:

- the gradient core ruptures
- the participation surface collapses
- the boundary layer tears
- the region becomes turbulent

This is the horizon analogue of temporal blowout or stability collapse. It is the failure mode of **over-driven gradient amplification**.

In ED terms:
Gradient blowout is the rupture of a horizon's gradient core.

8.3 Shear Fracture
Shear fracture occurs when the temporal shear zone and the stability envelope become incompatible. A horizon requires both:

- extreme temporal asymmetry
- extreme stability asymmetry

If these asymmetries diverge too far, the boundary layer fractures. When shear fracture occurs:

- motifs tear at the participation surface
- coherence collapses across the boundary
- the horizon becomes porous or disintegrates
- one‑way participation fails

This is the horizon analogue of boundary‑layer fracture in stability engineering, but now driven by **dual asymmetry**.

In ED terms:
Shear fracture is the tearing of a horizon due to incompatible temporal and stability asymmetry.

8.4 Participation Leakage
Participation leakage occurs when a horizon fails to enforce strict one‑way participation. This is not a collapse of the horizon; it is a **weakening**. Leakage arises when:

- the stability envelope is insufficiently reinforced
- the participation surface is too shallow
- gradients are steep but not steep enough
- boundary‑layer smoothing is incomplete

In this regime, backward participation is not fully blocked. Influence can seep inward through micro‑channels in the boundary layer.

Participation leakage is the horizon analogue of a leaky diode: directionality is weakened but not destroyed.

In ED terms:
Participation leakage is the partial failure of one‑way becoming.

Horizon pathologies define the **failure envelope** of horizon engineering. They are the structural limits of one‑way participation. These limits preview the deeper constraints developed in Paper F, where the global architecture of ED spacetime is shown to be bounded by the same principles that govern horizon formation and collapse.

9. Implications for Technology

Horizon engineering is not an exotic extension of ED physics. It is a **technological regime**—a domain where one‑way participation becomes a usable resource. Horizons are the most extreme boundary layers the ED substrate can sustain, and because they enforce directionality, they enable capabilities that no temporal or stability device can achieve. Horizons make irreversible processes possible. They create protected regions. They define the architecture of secure computation, long-term memory, and autonomous agency.

This section develops the technological implications of engineered horizons.

9.1 Computation
Computation in ED is pattern-shaping. Temporal engineering controls the *rate* of shaping. Stability engineering controls the *endurance* of shaping. Horizon engineering controls the **directionality** of shaping.

Horizons enable:
- **irreversible operations** (one-way participation replaces logical irreversibility)
- **protected computational regions** (no backward influence)
- **one-way computational pipelines** (horizon waveguides)
- **horizon-powered logic** (directionality as a computational primitive)

A horizon is the ED analogue of a one-way gate: a structural element that enforces irreversible computation without energy dissipation or information loss in the classical sense.

In ED terms:
Horizons make irreversible computation physically real.

9.2 Memory
Memory in ED is stabilized becoming. Stability engineering provides endurance; horizon engineering provides **protection**. A horizon can isolate a memory region so completely that no external motif can reorganize it.

Horizons enable:
- **horizon-sealed memory chambers**
- **one-way write, no-way rewrite architectures**
- **protected long-term storage**
- **irreversible memory transitions**

This is the ED analogue of write-once memory, but implemented as a **participation boundary**, not a material constraint.

In ED terms:
Horizons create memory that cannot be overwritten from the outside.

9.3 Agency
Agency in ED requires:
- stable internal motifs
- coherent self-modification
- protected identity
- controlled interaction with the environment

Horizons provide the architectural basis for **self-protection**. An agent can maintain internal horizon structures that:
- prevent external motifs from reorganizing core identity
- allow outward influence without inward vulnerability

- create one-way channels for perception or action
- enforce autonomy at the substrate level

This is the physical basis for **horizon-bounded agency**—agents whose identity is protected by structural participation asymmetry.

In ED terms:
Horizons allow agents to influence without being overwritten.

9.4 Energy Extraction
In classical physics, Hawking radiation is a quantum effect at a geometric horizon. In ED, the analogue is **gradient-driven participation leakage** at an engineered horizon. This leakage can be shaped, amplified, or harvested.

Horizons enable:
- **gradient-powered energy extraction**
- **participation-driven emission processes**
- **horizon-regulated energy flows**
- **engineered Hawking-like phenomena**

These are not quantum effects. They are **gradient effects** in the ED substrate.

In ED terms:
Energy extraction is the controlled release of participation tension across a horizon.

9.5 Communication
Horizons create **directional communication channels**. A horizon waveguide can transmit influence forward while blocking all backward influence.

Applications include:
- **secure one-way signaling**
- **irreversible broadcast channels**
- **protected communication between agents**
- **horizon-filtered information flow**

This is the ED analogue of a one-way optical isolator, but implemented at the level of becoming.

In ED terms:
Horizons make one-way communication a structural feature of the substrate.

Horizons are therefore not exotic boundaries. They are **technological primitives**. They enable irreversible computation, protected memory, autonomous agency, gradient-powered energy extraction, and secure communication. They are the architectural tools for shaping the limits of participation in ED systems.

10. Horizons as the Architecture of ED Spacetime
Horizons are not rare, exotic, or exceptional. In ED, horizons are **structural**. They are the boundary layers that

shape how regions of the substrate participate with one another. Where classical physics treats horizons as geometric surfaces—event horizons, cosmological horizons, acceleration horizons—ED treats them as **participation boundaries**: regions where the dynamics of becoming become one-way due to extreme gradients in mobility, saturation, and temporal velocity.

This reframing has profound implications. It means that ED spacetime is not a smooth manifold with occasional singular surfaces. It is a **network of horizon-like boundary layers**, each defining which motifs can reorganize which others. Spacetime is not a container; it is an **architecture of participation**. Horizons are the structural joints, seams, and partitions of that architecture.

This section develops the idea that horizons are the scaffolding of ED spacetime.

10.1 Horizons Are Not Geometric Surfaces

In ED, a horizon is not:

- a location in space
- a coordinate singularity
- a light-cone boundary
- a geometric surface

A horizon is a **functional boundary**: the layer where backward participation fails. This boundary is defined by the substrate, not by geometry. It is shaped by:

- mobility gradients (temporal asymmetry)
- saturation gradients (persistence asymmetry)
- boundary-layer geometry (structural asymmetry)

Horizons are therefore **architectural**, not geometric.

In ED terms:
A horizon is a structural limit of participation, not a surface in space.

10.2 Horizons Partition the Substrate

Because horizons enforce one-way participation, they partition the ED substrate into regions that:

- can influence one another
- cannot influence one another
- can influence outward but not inward
- can evolve independently

This partitioning is not imposed from outside. It is **generated** by the substrate itself. Horizons are the natural boundaries that arise when gradients become extreme.

In ED terms:
Horizons carve the substrate into participation domains.

10.3 Horizons Define Causal Structure

In classical physics, causal structure is defined by light cones. In ED, causal structure is defined by **participation cones**—the regions of the substrate that can reorganize one another. Horizons are the boundaries of these cones.

Because horizons enforce one‑way participation:

- they define which motifs can affect which others
- they determine the directionality of influence
- they shape the architecture of causality itself

Causality in ED is therefore not geometric. It is **participatory**.

In ED terms:
Horizons are the structural boundaries of causal participation.

10.4 Horizons Shape Global Structure

The global architecture of ED spacetime emerges from the network of horizon‑like boundaries that partition the substrate. These boundaries:

- define large‑scale participation domains
- shape the flow of temporal and stability gradients
- determine the structure of cosmological‑like expansion
- regulate the formation of black‑hole‑like regions
- create nested, layered participation hierarchies

ED spacetime is therefore not a smooth continuum. It is a **layered architecture** of participation boundaries, each shaped by gradients in becoming.

In ED terms:
The global structure of ED spacetime is a horizon network.

10.5 Horizons Are the Scaffolding of ED Cosmology

Because horizons define participation domains, they also define:

- the observable universe
- the limits of influence
- the structure of expansion
- the architecture of cosmic evolution

Cosmology in ED is therefore not about the geometry of space. It is about the **architecture of participation**.

Horizons are the scaffolding on which cosmic structure is built.

In ED terms:
Cosmology is the study of horizon‑structured becoming.

Horizons are not anomalies. They are the **structural joints** of ED spacetime. They define the architecture of participation, causality, and cosmic structure. They are the natural consequence of extreme gradients in becoming, and the foundation on which ED cosmology is built.

11. Conclusion — Horizons as the Limits of Participation

Horizons are not geometric surfaces, not singularities, and not artifacts of measurement. In ED, a horizon is the **structural limit of participation**: the boundary layer where backward reorganization fails because mobility, saturation, and temporal velocity have reached their maximal asymmetry. Horizons arise when gradients become so steep that the substrate cannot transmit influence across them. They are the natural consequence of extreme becoming.

This paper has shown that horizons are **architectural objects** with internal structure: a participation surface, a gradient core, a stability envelope, and a temporal shear zone. They are not points or surfaces but layered boundary regions that enforce one-way participation. Horizons are the limit case of temporal and stability engineering, and the foundation of horizon engineering—the fourth engineering regime of ED physics.

Horizon engineering makes directionality a manipulable resource. It enables irreversible computation, protected memory, autonomous agency, gradient-powered energy extraction, and secure one-way communication. Horizon devices—lenses, waveguides, capacitors, and diodes—extend the ED engineering toolkit into the domain of participation asymmetry. They allow ED systems to shape not only how motifs evolve and endure, but **which motifs can influence which others**.

Horizon pathologies—instability, gradient blowout, shear fracture, and participation leakage—define the structural limits of one-way participation. These limits are not engineering constraints; they are ontological boundaries. They preview the deeper global constraints developed in Paper F, where the architecture of ED spacetime is shown to be bounded by the same principles that govern horizon formation and collapse.

Horizons are therefore not anomalies. They are the **scaffolding of ED spacetime**. They partition the substrate into participation domains, define causal structure, and shape the global architecture of becoming. They are the structural joints of the ED universe.

Paper E will develop the next threshold: **ED and Agency**, where self-modifying systems use temporal, stability, and horizon structures to maintain identity, autonomy, and coherent self-direction within the architecture of becoming.