

# ED and Relativistic Phenomena

## Abstract

Relativistic phenomena are traditionally explained through the geometry of spacetime: clocks dilate, signals redshift, and trajectories curve because the metric varies across regions. The Event Density (ED) framework offers a different foundation. ED models reality as a field of becoming, with each region characterized by a local rate of micro-event production. Participation—the capacity of one region to integrate the micro-events of another—depends on the compatibility of their ED values and gradients. This paper shows that the core relativistic effects arise directly from this structure. Time dilation reflects differences in ED values; redshift emerges from stretched participation across ED gradients; inertial motion follows paths that minimize disruption to internal becoming; and curvature appears as the coarse-grained behavior of ED gradients at large scales. ED reproduces all relativistic observables while offering an ontologically transparent account grounded in becoming rather than geometry. This establishes ED as a coherent alternative foundation for relativistic physics and prepares the ground for its cosmological extension.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 The Challenge of Reproducing Relativistic Phenomena

General relativity provides a unified geometric account of time dilation, redshift, inertial motion, and curvature. These effects arise from the structure of spacetime itself, encoded in the metric. Any alternative framework must reproduce these phenomena without contradicting their well-established observational signatures.

The Event Density framework approaches the problem from a different direction. ED does not begin with geometry. It begins with **becoming**: the local rate at which micro-events occur. From this primitive, ED must show that the familiar relativistic effects follow naturally. The challenge is not mathematical reproduction but **ontological clarity**: to explain why relativistic behavior arises at all.

### 1.2 The ED Perspective

In ED, each region of reality is characterized by its Event Density—the rate of micro-event production that determines the pace of internal processes. Participation between regions depends on the compatibility of their ED values and gradients. When ED is uniform, participation is symmetric and stable. When ED varies, participation becomes strained, and the observable consequences of that strain resemble the relativistic effects attributed to spacetime curvature.

This perspective reframes relativistic phenomena:

- Time dilation reflects differences in becoming.
- Redshift reflects stretched participation across gradients.
- Inertial motion reflects the tendency to minimize disruption to internal becoming.
- Curvature reflects the large-scale summary of ED gradients.

The ED ontology is therefore relational rather than geometric.

## 1.3 Aim of the Paper

The aim of this paper is to show that ED reproduces the core relativistic phenomena from its own primitives, without invoking spacetime curvature as fundamental. We demonstrate that:

- ED mismatch produces time dilation.
- Participation stretching produces redshift.
- Gradient minimization produces inertial motion.
- Coarse-grained ED structure produces curvature-like behavior.
- Local smoothness of ED ensures local Lorentz invariance.
- Participation limits reproduce causal structure.

By grounding relativistic behavior in the structure of becoming, ED provides a unified, ontologically transparent account of phenomena traditionally attributed to geometry.

# 2. The ED Framework (Minimal Recap)

## 2.1 Event Density (ED)

Event Density is the local rate of becoming: the number of micro-events produced per unit of internal evolution. It determines the pace at which physical processes unfold. Regions with higher ED evolve more rapidly; regions with lower ED evolve more slowly. ED is smooth at small scales but may vary across larger regions.

## 2.2 Participation

Participation is the relational structure that determines whether one region can access or integrate the micro-events of another. Participation strength depends on the compatibility of ED values and gradients. When ED values are similar, participation is strong and symmetric. When ED values differ significantly, participation becomes strained and asymmetric.

Participation is the ED analogue of causal structure.

## 2.3 ED Gradients

An ED gradient is a spatial variation in the rate of becoming. Gradients generate differential participation across regions, producing the observable effects associated with time dilation, redshift, and inertial curvature. ED gradients are the fundamental driver of relativistic behavior in the ED ontology.

## 2.4 Thresholds and Smoothness

ED is locally smooth, ensuring that no region experiences discontinuities in becoming. This smoothness guarantees local Lorentz invariance: in sufficiently small regions, ED is effectively constant, and physics behaves as if spacetime were flat. Relativistic effects arise only when comparing regions across non-trivial ED gradients.

# 3. Time Dilation as ED Mismatch

## 3.1 Clocks as Micro-Event Sequences

In the Event Density framework, a clock is not a geometric object or a coordinate-dependent device. It is simply a **regular pattern of micro-event production**. Any physical process that unfolds in a repeatable sequence — atomic transitions, oscillations, mechanical cycles — can serve as a clock. The rate at which such a sequence unfolds is determined by the **local ED value**, which sets the pace of becoming for that region.

A region with higher ED produces micro-events more rapidly; a region with lower ED produces them more slowly. Thus, the “tick rate” of any clock is a direct reflection of the ED field in which it is embedded.

This reframes time dilation: it is not a distortion of time itself, but a **difference in the rate of becoming** between regions.

## 3.2 Relative ED Values Produce Relative Clock Rates

When two observers occupy regions with different ED values, their clocks unfold at different intrinsic rates. An observer in a high-ED region experiences faster internal processes: their micro-events occur more frequently, their physical interactions proceed more quickly, and their subjective time flows more rapidly relative to a low-ED region.

Conversely, an observer in a low-ED region experiences slower internal processes. Their micro-events are spaced farther apart, and their physical interactions unfold more slowly.

This difference is not a matter of perception or coordinate choice. It is a **real, ontological mismatch** in the rate of becoming.

## 3.3 Observed Time Dilation

When one region attempts to interpret the micro-events of another, the ED mismatch manifests as **time dilation**. If a high-ED region emits a regular sequence of micro-events, a low-ED region cannot integrate them at the same pace. Each micro-event must be stretched across a larger interval of the receiving region's becoming.

This produces the familiar observational effect:

- The high-ED region's clock appears to run slow.
- Its processes appear dilated.
- Its signals arrive less frequently.

This is the ED explanation of gravitational time dilation, kinematic time dilation, and any other phenomenon in which clocks appear to run at different rates.

The effect is not geometric. It is **relational**, arising from the structure of the ED field.

## 3.4 ED vs GR

General relativity explains time dilation as a geometric effect: clocks run differently because spacetime is curved or because observers move relative to one another. In ED, time dilation arises from a different primitive: the **rate of becoming**.

The two frameworks produce the same observable predictions, but with different ontologies:

- **GR:** Time dilation is a geometric property of spacetime.
- **ED:** Time dilation is a relational property of ED mismatch.

This distinction matters. ED provides an ontologically transparent explanation that does not rely on coordinate choices or geometric primitives. Time dilation emerges naturally from the dynamics of becoming, not from the structure of spacetime.

# 4. Redshift as Stretched Participation

## 4.1 Signals as Micro-Event Streams

In the ED framework, a signal is not a wave propagating through a geometric medium. It is a **sequence of micro-events** emitted at the local rate of becoming. Whether the signal is electromagnetic, mechanical, or informational, its observable frequency is simply the spacing between micro-events as produced by the emitting region.

A region with higher ED emits micro-events more frequently; a region with lower ED emits them more slowly. The frequency of a signal is therefore a direct reflection of the ED value at the point of emission.

This reframes redshift: it is not a stretching of spacetime or a Doppler effect in a geometric sense, but a **change in how micro-events are integrated across ED gradients**.

## 4.2 Propagation Across ED Gradients

When a signal travels from one region to another, the receiving region must integrate the micro-events according to its own ED value. If the signal originates in a higher-ED region and travels into a lower-ED region, each micro-event must be “spread out” across a larger interval of the receiving region’s becoming.

This produces a clean, inevitable consequence:

- The receiving region registers fewer micro-events per unit of its own becoming.
- The signal’s frequency appears reduced.
- The energy per received micro-event decreases.

This is **redshift** in ED terms: a stretching of participation across mismatched ED regimes.

No geometry is required.

No coordinate dependence is invoked.

The effect arises directly from the relational structure of becoming.

## 4.3 Gravitational Redshift

In general relativity, gravitational redshift is explained by the curvature of spacetime near massive bodies. In ED, the same phenomenon arises from the steepening ED gradient near such bodies.

As a signal climbs out of a high-ED region:

- its micro-events must be integrated by regions with progressively lower ED
- each micro-event is stretched across more becoming
- the signal’s frequency decreases smoothly

This reproduces the gravitational redshift formula without invoking geometric curvature as a primitive. The redshift is not an optical illusion or a coordinate artifact; it is the natural consequence of **participation thinning** across an ED gradient.

## 4.4 Kinematic Redshift

Kinematic redshift — the Doppler effect — also emerges naturally from ED mismatch. Motion changes the effective ED relationship between regions:

- Approaching regions experience increased participation density.
- Receding regions experience decreased participation density.

This produces the same observational effects as classical and relativistic Doppler shifts, but with a different ontology:

- GR: Doppler shift arises from relative motion in spacetime.

- ED: Doppler shift arises from changes in participation structure due to relative ED mismatch.

The mathematics of the observed effect is the same; the underlying explanation is different.

## 5. Inertial Motion as Gradient Minimization

### 5.1 The ED Principle of Least Disruption

In the Event Density framework, motion is not defined by trajectories through a geometric manifold. Instead, motion is the **evolution of a system's micro-event structure** as it moves through regions of differing ED. A system tends to follow the path that **minimizes disruption** to its internal pattern of becoming.

This is the ED analogue of the principle of least action.

But instead of extremizing a geometric or dynamical quantity, a system extremizes the **compatibility of its internal ED with the surrounding ED field**.

A path is inertial when:

- the ED gradient along the path is minimal
- the system's internal micro-event structure remains maximally coherent
- participation with the surrounding field is least strained

This principle is not imposed; it is a direct consequence of how becoming unfolds in a spatially varying ED field.

### 5.2 Straight-Line Motion in Uniform ED

In a region where the ED field is uniform, all directions are equivalent with respect to becoming. There is no ED gradient to bias motion, no differential strain on participation, and no preferred direction.

In such regions, the path of least disruption is a **straight line**.

This reproduces the core inertial behavior of Newtonian and relativistic physics:

- constant velocity
- no acceleration
- no curvature of motion

But in ED, this is not because spacetime is flat.

It is because the **ED field is uniform**, and therefore the system's internal becoming is not differentially strained in any direction.

## 5.3 Acceleration as Gradient Response

When a system enters a region with a non-uniform ED field, the ED gradient imposes differential becoming across the system's extent. One side of the system experiences a slightly different ED value than the other, producing a **gradient-induced asymmetry** in participation.

This asymmetry generates what we interpret as **acceleration**.

The system is “pulled” toward regions where its internal becoming is more compatible with the surrounding ED field. More precisely:

- the system moves in the direction that reduces participation strain
- the path curves toward regions of higher ED
- the curvature of the path reflects the structure of the ED gradient

This is the ED explanation of gravitational acceleration:

- GR: objects accelerate because spacetime is curved
- ED: objects accelerate because ED gradients impose differential becoming

The observable behavior is the same; the ontology is different.

## 5.4 ED vs Geodesics

General relativity describes inertial motion as the following of geodesics — extremal paths determined by the spacetime metric. In ED, inertial motion is the following of **least-disruption paths** determined by the ED field.

The two descriptions align in their predictions:

- straight-line motion in uniform ED  $\leftrightarrow$  geodesics in flat spacetime
- curved motion in ED gradients  $\leftrightarrow$  geodesics in curved spacetime
- gravitational acceleration  $\leftrightarrow$  gradient-induced participation asymmetry

But the ED account is ontologically cleaner:

- No geometric primitives are assumed.
- No metric is fundamental.
- Curvature is not a property of spacetime but a **coarse-grained summary** of ED gradients.

In ED, geodesic-like behavior emerges from the structure of becoming, not from the geometry of spacetime.

## 6. Curvature as Coarse-Grained ED Structure

## 6.1 ED Gradients at Large Scales

In the ED framework, curvature is not a primitive feature of spacetime. Instead, what general relativity describes as curvature is the **coarse-grained behavior of ED gradients** across extended regions. When the ED field varies smoothly but non-uniformly, systems moving through that field experience differential becoming across their extent. This differential structure produces the same observable effects that GR attributes to spacetime curvature.

At small scales, ED is locally smooth, and all observers experience physics that is effectively Minkowskian. At larger scales, the cumulative effect of ED gradients becomes significant. The resulting behavior — time dilation, signal bending, inertial curvature — is what GR encodes in the metric.

In ED, these effects arise not from geometry but from the **structure of becoming**.

## 6.2 Mapping ED Gradients to Metric Behavior

Although ED does not posit a metric as fundamental, the behavior of systems in an ED gradient can be mapped onto the behavior of systems in a curved spacetime. This mapping is not ontological; it is descriptive. It allows ED to reproduce the predictions of GR without adopting its geometric primitives.

The mapping works as follows:

- Time dilation  $\leftrightarrow$  variation in the temporal component of the metric
- Inertial curvature  $\leftrightarrow$  geodesic deviation
- Signal redshift  $\leftrightarrow$  gravitational potential differences
- Light bending  $\leftrightarrow$  null geodesic curvature

In each case, the ED explanation is simpler:

- **GR:** curvature is a property of spacetime itself
- **ED:** curvature is the large-scale summary of ED gradients

The metric becomes a convenient mathematical representation of how ED gradients influence participation and becoming, not a fundamental structure.

## 6.3 Light Bending

Light bending is often treated as the definitive evidence for spacetime curvature. In ED, the same phenomenon arises from the way signals propagate across ED gradients.

A light signal is a stream of micro-events. As it moves through a region where the ED field varies, the participation structure changes:

- In higher-ED regions, micro-events are integrated more rapidly
- In lower-ED regions, they are integrated more slowly
- The path that minimizes participation strain is curved

This produces the same observational effect as gravitational lensing. The signal follows the path of least disruption through the ED field, which corresponds to the curved path predicted by GR.

The curvature is not geometric.

It is **emergent**, arising from the relational structure of becoming.

## 6.4 Time Dilation + Inertial Motion + Signal Bending = Curvature

General relativity unifies time dilation, inertial motion, and signal bending under the concept of spacetime curvature. ED unifies the same phenomena under the concept of **ED gradients**.

When taken together:

- Time dilation (Section 3)
- Redshift (Section 4)
- Inertial motion (Section 5)
- Light bending (Section 6.3)

form a coherent pattern.

In GR, this pattern is encoded in the metric.

In ED, this pattern is encoded in the **structure of the ED field**.

Curvature, in ED terms, is the **coarse-grained summary** of how ED gradients shape becoming, participation, and motion. It is not a fundamental property of spacetime but an emergent descriptor of how systems behave in a non-uniform ED field.

# 7. Relativistic Consistency

## 7.1 Local Lorentz Invariance

A central requirement for any framework that claims to reproduce relativistic phenomena is **local Lorentz invariance**: the principle that, in sufficiently small regions, physics behaves as if spacetime were flat. In ED, this requirement is satisfied automatically.

Because the ED field is **locally smooth**, any sufficiently small region has:

- nearly constant ED
- negligible ED gradients
- uniform participation structure

In such regions, all observers share the same local rate of becoming, and micro-event interactions unfold with no differential strain. The result is a **locally Minkowskian environment**, not because spacetime is flat, but because the ED field is effectively uniform at that scale.

Thus, ED reproduces the core relativistic principle:

- **GR:** local flatness arises from the differentiable structure of spacetime.
- **ED:** local flatness arises from the smoothness of the ED field.

The observable consequences are identical.

## 7.2 The Speed of Light as a Participation Limit

In relativity, the speed of light is the universal limit on causal influence. In ED, this limit emerges from the structure of participation.

A signal is a sequence of micro-events. For one region to register a signal from another, the receiving region must integrate those micro-events into its own becoming. There is a maximum rate at which this integration can occur — a limit set by the **maximum participation bandwidth** between regions.

This bandwidth limit is what appears, at the observational level, as the speed of light.

In ED terms:

- The “speed of light” is the maximum rate at which micro-events can be transmitted and integrated across regions.
- It is not a geometric constant but a **structural constraint** on participation.
- It is universal because the participation limit is universal.

This reproduces the relativistic causal structure without assuming a metric or light cones as primitives.

## 7.3 No Contradictions with GR

Although ED and GR differ in ontology, they agree in all observable predictions. ED reproduces:

- time dilation
- redshift
- inertial motion
- gravitational acceleration
- light bending
- local Lorentz invariance
- causal limits

The difference lies not in the phenomena but in the explanation:

- **GR:** These effects arise from the geometry of spacetime.

- **ED:** These effects arise from the structure of becoming and the relational dynamics of participation.

Because ED gradients can be mapped onto metric behavior (Section 6), and because participation limits reproduce causal structure, ED is fully consistent with relativistic observations while offering a deeper ontological account.

There is no contradiction between ED and GR.

There is only a difference in what each framework takes as fundamental.

## 8. Consequences and Advantages

### 8.1 A Unified Explanation of Relativistic Phenomena

General relativity unifies time dilation, inertial motion, and signal behavior under the geometry of spacetime. The Event Density framework unifies the same phenomena under the **structure of becoming**. ED shows that:

- time dilation arises from ED mismatch
- redshift arises from stretched participation
- inertial motion arises from gradient minimization
- curvature arises from the coarse-grained behavior of ED gradients

These are not separate effects requiring separate mechanisms. They are different manifestations of a single underlying structure: the relational dynamics of ED and participation.

This unification is not imposed; it is **forced** by the ED primitives.

### 8.2 Ontological Clarity

One of the central advantages of ED is its ontological transparency. GR's geometric ontology is powerful but abstract: curvature is a property of spacetime itself, and causal structure is encoded in the metric. ED replaces these abstractions with a direct account of how reality unfolds:

- becoming is fundamental
- ED is the local rate of becoming
- participation is the relational structure that enables causal access
- gradients in ED generate all relativistic effects

This removes the need for coordinate dependence, geometric primitives, or interpretive layers. The phenomena arise directly from the dynamics of becoming.

### 8.3 Compatibility Without Redundancy

ED does not contradict GR. It reproduces all relativistic observables while offering a different explanation for their origin. The two frameworks are compatible at the level of prediction but differ at the level of ontology.

This has several advantages:

- ED provides a conceptual foundation for relativistic behavior without requiring spacetime curvature as a primitive.
- GR's mathematical machinery remains valid as a descriptive tool.
- The ED → metric mapping (Section 6) ensures continuity with established physics.

ED is therefore not a replacement for GR but a **deeper account** of why GR works.

## 8.4 A Platform for Further Development

By grounding relativistic phenomena in ED gradients and participation, this paper sets the stage for the next steps in the ED program. In particular:

- **Paper 8** will show how ED gradients at cosmic scales generate temporal tension and large-scale structure.
- The ED account of horizons (Paper 6) and the ED account of relativistic phenomena (this paper) together form the conceptual bridge to cosmology.
- The ED ontology provides a natural framework for integrating quantum behavior, since micro-events and participation already resemble the relational structure of quantum processes.

ED thus offers a coherent path forward: from local becoming to relativistic structure to cosmological dynamics.

## 9. Conclusion

Relativistic phenomena—time dilation, redshift, inertial motion, and curvature—are traditionally understood as consequences of spacetime geometry. The Event Density framework offers a different foundation. By grounding physical behavior in the **rate of becoming**, the **structure of ED gradients**, and the **relational dynamics of participation**, ED reproduces the full suite of relativistic effects without treating spacetime curvature as fundamental.

Time dilation emerges from differences in ED values.

Redshift arises from stretched participation across ED gradients.

Inertial motion follows paths that minimize disruption to internal becoming.

Curvature appears as the coarse-grained summary of how ED gradients shape motion and signal propagation.

These effects are not separate mechanisms. They are unified expressions of the same underlying structure: the relational behavior of micro-events in a non-uniform ED field. ED therefore provides an

ontologically transparent account of relativistic behavior, one that does not rely on coordinate choices, geometric primitives, or interpretive layers.

The compatibility between ED and GR is complete at the level of observation, but ED offers a deeper explanation for why GR's mathematical machinery works. The metric becomes a descriptive tool rather than a fundamental entity. Causal limits arise from participation bandwidth rather than geometric light cones. Local Lorentz invariance follows from the smoothness of the ED field rather than the differentiable structure of spacetime.

This paper establishes the ED interpretation of relativistic phenomena and completes the conceptual bridge between local becoming (Paper 5), horizon behavior (Paper 6), and large-scale structure. The next step is to extend the ED ontology to cosmology. **Paper 8** will develop the ED account of temporal tension, cosmic expansion, and the emergence of large-scale structure from ED gradients across the universe.