Event Density: Magnetism as Directional Participation

Allen Proxmire
February 2026

Abstract
Magnetism is typically described in operational terms—moving charges, spin alignment, and field lines—but these descriptions do not explain what a magnetic field is. In Event Density, magnetism arises from the directional organization of participation channels. Micro-events associated with electron spin have a stable orientation, and when many such channels align, they create an anisotropic participation environment. This directional structure biases the propagation of nearby channels, producing the forces and field-like behavior associated with magnetism. This paper introduces the ED architecture of magnetic behavior, explains how spin alignment generates directional participation, and shows how magnetic fields emerge as coarse-grained summaries of this structure. The result is a unified, ontological account of magnetism that connects naturally to the emergence of spacetime in ED-10.

1. Introduction
Magnetism is one of the most familiar physical phenomena, yet its ontological basis remains obscure. Standard physics describes magnetic fields through Maxwell's equations and quantum spin, but these descriptions do not explain why magnetic structure exists or what a magnetic field fundamentally is. They provide a powerful mathematical framework, but not an underlying architecture.

Event Density offers a different perspective. In ED, physical behavior arises from the distribution and interaction of participation channels—the micro-event structures that shape how systems evolve. Magnetism emerges when these channels acquire a directional bias, creating a region where micro-events preferentially propagate along a shared orientation.

This paper develops the ED account of magnetism. It shows how spin acts as a directional participation pattern, how collective alignment produces magnetic domains, and how magnetic fields emerge as coarse-grained summaries of anisotropic participation environments. The result is a clean, architectural explanation of magnetism that fits naturally into the broader ED framework.

2. Spin as a Directional Participation Pattern
In ED, spin is not an intrinsic property of a particle. It is a stable orientation of the participation channels associated with the particle's micro-events. These channels have two preferred orientations—traditionally labeled "up" and "down"—corresponding to two stable ways micro-events can organize their directional structure.

This interpretation has several consequences:
- Spin is fundamentally geometric: it encodes how participation propagates.
- Spin is stable: the orientation persists unless the participation environment forces a transition.
- Spin is local: it describes the micro-event structure of a specific region.

Spin is therefore the seed of magnetic behavior. It provides the directional structure that, when aligned across many particles, produces macroscopic magnetic effects.

3. Alignment and Collective Directionality

Magnetism arises when many spin-channels align. In ED terms, this means that a large number of micro-events share a common directional orientation. When this happens, the local participation environment becomes anisotropic: it favors propagation along the aligned direction.

This alignment produces:

- magnetic domains, where spin-channels are coherently oriented
- domain walls, where directional structure changes
- macroscopic magnetic behavior, when domains reinforce one another

The stability of these domains depends on the same architectural principles that govern channel stability in ED-09. High channel complexity and a supportive participation environment allow directional structure to persist; asymmetries or perturbations can destabilize it.

4. Magnetic Fields as Coarse-Grained Participation Structure

A magnetic field is the coarse-grained summary of a region where participation channels have a preferred orientation. Field lines correspond to the dominant direction of channel propagation. The strength of the field reflects the degree of alignment and the stability of the underlying directional structure.

This interpretation explains several features of magnetism:

- Poles arise because directional structure has a natural orientation.
- Attraction and repulsion follow from how participation channels integrate with or oppose the dominant structure.
- Lorentz-force-like behavior emerges because moving channels experience directional bias.
- Field lines are not physical objects but summaries of directional participation.

Magnetic fields are therefore not separate entities. They are emergent properties of the participation architecture.

5. Motion, Charge, and Dynamic Participation

Moving charges generate magnetic structure because motion changes the temporal distribution of participation channels. In ED, a moving charge drags its participation structure through space, creating a dynamic directional bias. This bias is what standard physics describes as a magnetic field generated by a current.

Similarly:

- Changing magnetic structure induces currents because it reshapes the participation environment.
- Electric and magnetic effects are unified because they are both expressions of how participation channels propagate and interact.

This provides a clean ontological explanation for the unity of electricity and magnetism.

6. Magnetism and the Emergence of Geometry (Bridge to ED-10)

Directional participation is a precursor to geometric structure. In ED-10, spacetime emerges from stable, committed participation channels that define consistent trajectories and geometric relations. Magnetic structure contributes to this process by creating anisotropic participation environments—regions where directional bias shapes how micro-events propagate.

This connection implies:

- Magnetic fields influence geometric participation.
- Directional structure contributes to the emergence of curvature.
- Magnetic domains can act as local geometric constraints.

Magnetism is therefore not merely a force; it is part of the architecture that shapes emergent geometry.

7. Predictions and Experimental Consequences

The ED account of magnetism yields several predictions:

- Domain formation thresholds depend on channel complexity and participation environment.
- Field‑strength scaling reflects the stability of directional participation, not just spin density.
- Low‑temperature magnetic coherence arises from enhanced channel stability.
- Directional participation gradients may produce subtle deviations from standard field theory in extreme conditions.

These predictions are not quantum–classical boundary effects; they are field‑level participation effects.

8. Conclusion

Magnetism is not a mysterious field or a set of equations. It is a directional participation structure arising from the alignment of spin‑channels across a region. This alignment creates an anisotropic participation environment that biases the propagation of micro‑events, producing the forces and field‑like behavior associated with magnetism.

This account fits naturally into the ED architecture. It explains spin, domain formation, field behavior, and the unity of electricity and magnetism in a single ontological framework. It also provides a clean bridge to ED‑10, where directional participation contributes to the emergence of spacetime.