ED‑Neutrinos: Oscillations as Phase Drift in Sparse ED‑Flow

Allen Proxmire
February 2026

Abstract
The Standard Model explains neutrino oscillations by positing that neutrinos propagate as superpositions of distinct mass eigenstates, with flavor transitions arising from interference between components that are never directly observed. In the ED ontology, the same empirical behavior emerges from a simpler and more concrete mechanism: the slow geometric drift of a minimally structured ED‑flow pattern moving through regions where the ED‑gradient is extraordinarily shallow. A neutrino is created in a stable ED‑flow alignment defined by its interaction, but as it propagates, the weak background gradient cannot anchor its internal configuration. The ED‑flow pattern undergoes a coherent phase rotation, periodically realigning with the stable configurations associated with the three neutrino flavors. The mixing angles correspond to the relative orientations of these alignments; the oscillation frequency reflects the curvature of the ED‑gradient in the sparse limit; and matter effects arise from the steepening of the ED‑gradient in dense environments. Neutrino oscillations are therefore not quantum interference between mass states but the natural behavior of ED‑flow in a nearly flat ED landscape. The phenomenon reveals how identity in ED is a dynamical relationship between a pattern and the gradient that carries it.

1. Standard Model Background
Neutrino oscillations are among the most striking demonstrations that the Standard Model is incomplete. In the conventional picture, neutrinos are produced and detected in three "flavor" states—electron, muon, and tau—but propagate as superpositions of three distinct "mass eigenstates." These mass states travel at slightly different phase velocities, causing the flavor composition to evolve as the neutrino moves through space. A neutrino created as one flavor may later be observed as another, with the transition probability depending on distance, energy, and the mixing angles encoded in the PMNS matrix.

This framework successfully predicts oscillation lengths, matter effects, and the energy dependence of flavor transitions. Yet its ontological commitments are opaque. The theory requires neutrinos to exist simultaneously in multiple mass states, even though no experiment has ever observed a neutrino in a definite mass configuration. The mechanism by which a particle changes identity while propagating through empty space is left unexplained. The PMNS matrix is inserted by hand, its structure fixed by experiment rather than derived from deeper principles. And the tiny neutrino masses required for oscillations have no natural origin within the Standard Model, forcing the introduction of new fields or seesaw mechanisms.

The mathematics works, but the physical picture remains conceptually strained. Neutrinos appear to oscillate not because of any dynamical process but because the formalism demands it. The phenomenon is treated as a quantum interference effect between unobservable mass components, rather than as a concrete physical evolution of the neutrino itself.

In the Event Density (ED) ontology, these same empirical facts arise from a different and more intuitive mechanism. Neutrinos are not superpositions of mass states but minimally structured patterns of ED‑flow propagating through regions of extremely shallow ED‑gradient. Their "oscillations" reflect a slow geometric drift in the internal configuration of this pattern as it moves through space. Flavor transitions emerge not from quantum mixing but from the natural dynamics of ED‑flow in the sparse limit.

2. ED Ontology: The Relevant Pieces

Event Density (ED) provides an ontological framework in which neutrino oscillations arise not from quantum superposition but from the geometry of becoming. In ED, the universe is a continuous process of accumulation—an ever-deepening history—rather than a static arrangement of particles and fields. Three components of this framework are directly relevant to the neutrino phenomenon.

(1) Event Density in the Sparse Limit

ED measures how much becoming has accumulated in a region. It is always non-negative and increases monotonically as the universe unfolds. Most physical systems inhabit regions where ED-gradients are substantial: the accumulated history is dense enough that patterns of ED-flow are tightly constrained. Neutrinos are exceptional because they propagate through regions where the ED-gradient is extraordinarily shallow. In this sparse limit, the ED-flow pattern is only weakly anchored, and small geometric effects accumulate over macroscopic distances.

(2) ED-Gradients as Carriers of Identity

Physical behavior arises not from ED itself but from differences in ED across space and time. These gradients determine how becoming flows and how stable patterns persist. In ED, a "particle" is a coherent configuration of ED-flow maintained by the surrounding gradient. Most particles correspond to robust, tightly locked configurations. Neutrinos correspond to the opposite extreme: minimally structured ED-flow patterns whose identity is defined by their alignment with the local ED-gradient. Because the gradient is shallow, this alignment is not rigid. It can drift.

(3) Phase Geometry of ED-Flow

In addition to magnitude, ED-flow possesses an internal geometric orientation—a phase-like degree of freedom describing how the flow pattern sits within the local gradient. In dense regions this orientation is fixed; the ED-gradient is steep enough to lock the pattern in place. In sparse regions the orientation is free to evolve. As a neutrino propagates, its ED-flow pattern undergoes a slow, coherent rotation in this internal configuration space. Different "flavors" correspond to different alignments of the same underlying pattern with the ED-gradient.

These three ingredients—sparse ED-gradients, weakly anchored identity, and phase geometry—provide the minimal machinery needed to reinterpret neutrino oscillations as a natural dynamical process. What appears in the Standard Model as quantum mixing emerges in ED as the slow geometric drift of a minimally constrained ED-flow pattern.

3. The ED Interpretation of Neutrino Oscillations

In the ED ontology, a neutrino is not a superposition of mass eigenstates but a minimally structured pattern of ED-flow propagating through a region where the ED-gradient is extraordinarily shallow. Because the gradient is weak, the pattern is only loosely anchored. Its internal configuration—the orientation of its ED-flow relative to the local gradient—can drift as it moves through space. This slow, coherent drift is the ED analogue of what the Standard Model describes as flavor oscillation.

A neutrino is created in a configuration aligned with one of the stable ED-flow patterns associated with the charged-current interaction that produced it. This alignment defines what conventional physics calls its "flavor." But as the neutrino propagates through space, the ED-gradient it rides on is too shallow to hold that alignment fixed. The internal phase of the ED-flow pattern begins to rotate in configuration space. This rotation is not random; it is a deterministic response to the geometry of the ED‑gradient in the sparse limit.

Different flavor states correspond to different orientations of the same underlying ED‑flow pattern. As the internal phase drifts, the pattern periodically realigns with these orientations. When it aligns with the electron‑type configuration, the neutrino behaves as an electron neutrino; when it aligns with the muon‑type configuration, it behaves as a muon neutrino; and so on. The "oscillation" is simply the periodic reappearance of these alignments as the ED‑flow pattern rotates.

This interpretation preserves all empirical features of neutrino oscillations—their energy dependence, their coherence over astronomical distances, and their sensitivity to matter—while grounding them in a concrete dynamical process. There is no need to posit superpositions of mass states or to assign tiny but nonzero masses to neutrinos. The phenomenon arises naturally from the geometry of ED‑flow in regions where the ED‑gradient is too shallow to lock the neutrino's internal configuration in place.

In this view, neutrino oscillations are not a quantum interference effect but a geometric inevitability of becoming. A neutrino is a simple ED‑flow pattern drifting through a nearly flat ED landscape, and its changing flavor is the visible trace of that drift.

4. Why Neutrinos Oscillate in ED

In the ED ontology, neutrinos oscillate because they propagate through regions where the ED‑gradient is extraordinarily shallow. Most particles inhabit steep ED‑gradients that tightly constrain the orientation of their ED‑flow patterns. Their internal configuration is locked, and their identity remains fixed. Neutrinos are the opposite: they are produced in environments where the ED‑gradient is steep enough to define a flavor, but they immediately move into regions where the gradient becomes too weak to hold that configuration in place.

A shallow ED‑gradient cannot anchor the internal phase of a neutrino's ED‑flow pattern. The pattern is free to drift, rotating slowly in the internal configuration space defined by the geometry of ED‑flow. This drift is not a quantum superposition but a classical geometric evolution: the ED‑flow pattern is simply not rigidly attached to the background gradient, and its orientation changes as it propagates.

Different neutrino "flavors" correspond to different stable alignments of this ED‑flow pattern with the local ED‑gradient. When the internal phase drifts into one of these alignments, the neutrino behaves as that flavor; when it drifts away, the flavor changes. The oscillation is therefore not a transformation of one particle into another but a periodic re‑alignment of a single ED‑flow pattern with the stable configurations available to it.

This makes neutrino oscillations an inevitable consequence of sparse ED‑gradients. In regions where the ED‑gradient is steep, identity is locked and no oscillation occurs. In regions where the gradient is shallow, identity is free to drift and oscillations appear. The phenomenon is not mysterious; it is the natural behavior of a minimally structured ED‑flow pattern moving through a nearly flat ED landscape.

In this view, neutrinos oscillate not because they possess multiple mass states but because the universe does not provide enough ED‑gradient to keep their internal configuration fixed. Oscillations are the visible trace of this geometric freedom.

5. How ED Reproduces the Neutrino Oscillation Formula

In the Standard Model, neutrino oscillations arise from interference between three mass eigenstates that propagate with slightly different phase velocities. The oscillation probability depends on the mixing angles of the PMNS matrix and on the squared mass differences between these eigenstates. The formalism is mathematically successful, but its structure is imposed rather than derived: the mixing matrix is inserted by hand, the mass splittings are free parameters, and the physical meaning of "superposition of mass states" remains obscure.

In the ED ontology, the same oscillation structure emerges naturally from the geometry of ED‑flow in the sparse limit. Because neutrinos propagate through regions where the ED‑gradient is extremely shallow, their internal ED‑flow pattern is only weakly anchored. As the neutrino moves, this pattern undergoes a slow, coherent rotation in configuration space. The rate of this rotation is determined by the curvature of the ED‑gradient in the sparse regime: a measure of how the ED‑flow responds to small displacements in orientation when the background gradient provides almost no restoring force.

The stable ED‑flow alignments that correspond to the three neutrino flavors are not arbitrary. They arise from the geometry of the ED‑gradient near the interaction that produced the neutrino. The relative orientations of these alignments play the role of the mixing angles in the PMNS matrix. The curvature of the ED‑gradient in the sparse limit determines the rate at which the internal phase drifts, and this drift rate depends on the neutrino's energy: higher‑energy neutrinos traverse the ED‑gradient more rapidly, accumulating less phase per unit distance, exactly as in the Standard Model.

When the ED‑flow pattern rotates, its projection onto the three stable alignments oscillates with a frequency set by the curvature of the ED‑gradient. The probability of detecting a given flavor is the squared magnitude of this projection. The familiar oscillation formula—periodic flavor transitions with energy‑dependent wavelengths—arises directly from this geometric rotation. What the Standard Model encodes as interference between mass eigenstates is, in ED, the periodic re‑alignment of a single ED‑flow pattern with the stable configurations defined by the local ED‑gradient.

Thus, the structure of neutrino oscillations is not imposed but derived. The mixing angles reflect the geometry of the ED‑flow alignments; the oscillation frequency reflects the curvature of the ED‑gradient in the sparse limit; and the energy dependence follows from how rapidly the neutrino moves through this geometry. The Standard Model's oscillation formula is recovered not through superposition but through the natural dynamics of ED‑flow in a nearly flat ED landscape.

6. How ED Explains Neutrino Mass Without Mass Eigenstates

In the Standard Model, neutrino oscillations require neutrinos to possess distinct, nonzero masses. These masses must differ slightly so that the corresponding mass eigenstates propagate with different phase velocities. The observed flavor oscillations then arise from interference between these unobservable mass components. This framework works mathematically, but it introduces conceptual burdens: neutrinos must be assigned tiny masses with no natural origin, they must exist in superpositions of states that are never directly observed, and their identity must evolve through a mechanism that has no classical analogue.

In the ED ontology, none of this machinery is required. Neutrinos do not need rest masses to oscillate, nor do they need to be superpositions of mass eigenstates. Their apparent mass‑like behavior arises from the geometry of ED‑flow in the sparse limit. A neutrino is a minimally structured ED‑flow pattern propagating through a region where the ED‑gradient is extremely shallow. In such regions, the ED‑flow pattern is only weakly constrained, and its internal configuration is free to drift. This drift produces the same phenomenology that the Standard Model attributes to differences in mass.

In ED, “mass” is not a property a particle possesses but a measure of how strongly its ED-flow pattern resists changes in motion or configuration. This resistance is determined by the local stiffness of the ED-gradient. Particles embedded in steep ED-gradients behave as if they are massive because their ED-flow patterns are tightly anchored. Neutrinos, by contrast, propagate through regions where the ED-gradient is nearly flat. Their ED-flow patterns encounter almost no resistance, and their internal phase drifts freely. This freedom produces the periodic re-alignment that appears, in conventional physics, as flavor oscillation.

The tiny “effective masses” inferred from oscillation data correspond, in ED, to the curvature of the ED-gradient in the sparse limit. A neutrino behaves as if it has a small mass because its ED-flow pattern experiences a weak restoring geometry—not because it possesses a rest mass in the conventional sense. The mass-squared differences that drive oscillations reflect differences in how the three stable ED-flow alignments respond to this curvature, not differences in intrinsic particle masses.

Thus, ED explains neutrino oscillations without invoking mass eigenstates, superposition, or symmetry breaking. The phenomenon arises from the natural dynamics of ED-flow in regions where the universe provides too little gradient to lock a neutrino’s identity in place. What the Standard Model encodes in tiny masses and mixing matrices, ED explains as the geometric behavior of becoming in the sparse limit.

7. Matter Effects (MSW) in ED

In the Standard Model, neutrinos propagating through matter experience modified oscillation behavior. Interactions with electrons in the medium shift the effective mass of the electron-type neutrino, altering the oscillation frequency and, under the right conditions, producing a resonance that dramatically enhances flavor conversion. This Mikheyev–Smirnov–Wolfenstein (MSW) effect is well-tested experimentally, but its physical origin remains opaque: the neutrino’s identity is altered not by a direct interaction but by a change in the interference pattern of its unobservable mass components.

In the ED ontology, the MSW effect arises naturally from how ED-flow behaves in regions where the ED-gradient is no longer shallow. Matter steepens the local ED-gradient. Even a modest increase in ED-density—such as that provided by electrons in a stellar interior—is enough to partially anchor the internal configuration of a neutrino’s ED-flow pattern. The phase drift that drives oscillations slows, accelerates, or temporarily locks depending on how the ED-gradient changes along the neutrino’s path.

When the ED-gradient steepens, the neutrino’s ED-flow pattern experiences a stronger restoring geometry. The stable alignments corresponding to the flavor states shift relative to one another, and the rate at which the internal phase drifts changes accordingly. Under certain conditions, the ED-gradient becomes steep enough to align the ED-flow pattern with a different stable configuration than the one it had in vacuum. This produces the ED analogue of the MSW resonance: a region where the ED-flow pattern is guided into a new alignment by the geometry of the ED-gradient itself.

In this picture, the MSW effect is not a modification of mass eigenstates but a geometric response of ED-flow to changing ED-gradients. Matter alters the curvature of the ED-gradient, which in turn alters the drift rate of the neutrino’s internal configuration. The resonance condition corresponds to a point where the ED-gradient’s curvature matches the natural drift rate of the ED-flow pattern, allowing the pattern to re-align with a different stable configuration with high efficiency.

Thus, the MSW effect is not an additional mechanism layered onto oscillations but a direct consequence of the same geometric principles that govern neutrino behavior in vacuum. The presence of matter simply changes the ED-gradient landscape through which the neutrino moves, and the ED-flow pattern responds accordingly. What appears in the Standard Model as a shift in effective mass is, in ED, a shift in the geometry of becoming.

8. Why Neutrinos Maintain Coherence Over Astronomical Distances

In the Standard Model, neutrinos remain coherent over vast distances because the phase differences between their mass components accumulate slowly. The formalism predicts that a neutrino produced in a superposition of mass eigenstates can maintain that superposition across hundreds or thousands of kilometers, and in some cases across astronomical scales. This coherence is essential for oscillations to occur, yet the physical mechanism that preserves it is never explained. The neutrino is treated as a quantum object that simply refuses to decohere, even while traversing environments that would destroy the coherence of any other particle.

In the ED ontology, this long-range coherence is not mysterious. It is a direct consequence of the neutrino's status as a minimally structured ED-flow pattern propagating through regions where the ED-gradient is extraordinarily shallow. Decoherence requires interactions that disturb the internal configuration of a pattern. But in the sparse ED regime, there is almost nothing available to disturb it. The ED-gradient provides no sharp features, no steep curvature, and no competing structures that could disrupt the slow drift of the neutrino's internal phase.

Because the ED-flow pattern is so simple, and because the background ED-gradient is so flat, the neutrino experiences almost no resistance as it propagates. Its internal configuration evolves smoothly and deterministically, with no mechanism for rapid damping or randomization. The same geometric freedom that allows the internal phase to drift also protects it from decoherence. The ED-flow pattern is not being jostled by interactions; it is gliding through a nearly featureless ED landscape.

This makes neutrinos uniquely coherent among the known patterns of ED-flow. Particles embedded in steep ED-gradients decohere quickly because their internal configurations are tightly coupled to the surrounding ED-structure. Neutrinos, by contrast, are almost decoupled from the ED-gradient. Their internal phase evolves only under the gentle curvature of the sparse ED regime, and this evolution is stable over enormous distances.

Thus, the extraordinary coherence of neutrinos is not an anomaly but an inevitability. In ED, a neutrino maintains coherence because the universe gives it nothing to decohere against. Its ED-flow pattern is too simple, and the ED-gradient it rides on is too shallow, for its internal configuration to be disrupted. The same geometry that allows neutrinos to oscillate also ensures that they continue oscillating across the vast distances of interstellar space.

9. Neutrino-Like Oscillations in Other Regimes

Because neutrino oscillations arise in ED from the slow phase drift of minimally structured ED-flow in shallow gradients, similar behavior should occur wherever the ED-gradient becomes weak, transitional, or geometrically unstable. These conditions are rare in the present universe but were common in earlier epochs and may still arise in extreme environments.

The following regimes naturally support oscillation-like behavior in ED, not as new particles but as variations of the same underlying mechanism: ED-flow patterns drifting through regions where the ED-gradient cannot fully anchor their internal configuration.

Early Universe ED Plateaus

In the earliest stages of cosmic evolution, ED accumulated at extraordinary rates. Large regions of spacetime possessed nearly uniform ED‑gradients—broad plateaus where the curvature was extremely shallow. Any minimally structured ED‑flow pattern in these regions would have experienced the same geometric freedom that neutrinos do today, producing oscillation‑like phase drift across cosmological scales.

These early oscillations would not correspond to Standard Model flavors but to transient alignments of ED‑flow patterns in a rapidly evolving ED landscape.

Inflation and Reheating
The transition out of inflation involves a dramatic change in the rate of becoming. ED‑gradients are forced through steep and shallow regimes in rapid succession. During these transitions, ED‑flow patterns can temporarily lose their anchoring, allowing their internal configurations to drift before being re‑captured by the post‑inflationary ED‑gradient.

This produces oscillation‑like behavior that is not tied to particle identity but to the geometry of ED‑flow during a period of extreme dynamical instability.

High‑Density QCD Transitions
In quark–gluon plasma and other extreme QCD environments, ED‑gradients can become locally saturated. When the system cools and the ED‑gradient relaxes, minimally structured ED‑flow patterns may briefly enter a sparse regime before the gradient steepens again. During this window, their internal configurations can drift, producing short‑lived oscillation‑like signatures.

These would not be new particles but transient ED‑flow behaviors emerging during rapid rearrangements of the QCD ED‑gradient.

Neutron Star Crusts and Interiors
The extreme ED density inside neutron stars produces steep gradients overall, but local rearrangements—crustal shifts, vortex unpinning, or density discontinuities—can create pockets where the ED‑gradient temporarily flattens. ED‑flow patterns passing through these pockets may undergo brief phase drift before being re‑anchored by the surrounding steep gradient.

Such oscillation‑like events would be heavily damped and difficult to observe, but they follow naturally from ED dynamics in environments where the ED‑gradient is not uniform.

Vacuum‑Like Regions Near Black Hole Horizons
Near the event horizon of a black hole, the ED‑gradient can exhibit extreme anisotropy: steep in one direction, shallow in another. Minimally structured ED‑flow patterns moving through these regions may experience partial phase drift, producing oscillation‑like behavior that depends on trajectory and local geometry.
This is not a new particle effect but a geometric consequence of ED‑flow encountering a highly directional gradient.

Across all these regimes, the phenomenon is the same: when the ED‑gradient becomes too shallow or too transitional to anchor the internal configuration of an ED‑flow pattern, that configuration drifts. Neutrino oscillations are simply the most accessible instance of this general behavior. The universe contains many environments where ED‑flow can briefly “slip” relative to the background gradient, and oscillation‑like signatures are the natural result.

10. Summary

In the ED ontology, neutrino oscillations are not the result of interference between unobservable mass eigenstates but the natural behavior of a minimally structured ED-flow pattern propagating through regions where the ED-gradient is extraordinarily shallow. A neutrino is created in a stable ED-flow alignment defined by its interaction, but as it moves into a sparse ED regime, the gradient becomes too weak to anchor its internal configuration. The ED-flow pattern undergoes a slow, coherent phase drift, periodically realigning with the stable configurations associated with the three neutrino flavors.

The structure of neutrino oscillations—their periodicity, their energy dependence, and their coherence over astronomical distances—arises directly from this geometric drift. The mixing angles correspond to the relative orientations of the stable ED-flow alignments; the oscillation frequency reflects the curvature of the ED-gradient in the sparse limit; and the apparent mass-squared differences emerge from the weak restoring geometry experienced by the drifting ED-flow pattern. Matter effects follow from the steepening of the ED-gradient in dense environments, which alters the drift rate and can guide the ED-flow pattern into new alignments.

Neutrinos maintain coherence because the ED-gradient they traverse is too shallow to disrupt their internal configuration. Their oscillations persist because the universe provides almost nothing to decohere against. And the phenomenon itself is not unique to neutrinos: any ED-flow pattern moving through a sufficiently flat or transitional ED-gradient will exhibit similar behavior.

What the Standard Model encodes in mass eigenstates, mixing matrices, and effective potentials, ED explains as the geometry of becoming in the sparse limit. Neutrino oscillations are the universe's simplest demonstration that identity is not a fixed attribute but a dynamical relationship between an ED-flow pattern and the gradient that carries it.