# Week02

# 作业 1.

#### 循环次数

	SerialGC	ParallelGC	CMS	G1GC
256M	4139	3330	4385	Not counted
512M	8955	9812	10849	10579
1G	7555	8856	13310	10223
4G	8286	7838	10314	12648

#### Full GC计数

	SerialGC	ParallelGC		
256M	43 (OOM)	34 (OOM)	24	13
512M	1	11	7	0
1G	0	1	0	0
4G	0	0	0	0

#### Young + Mixed GC计算

	Serial GC	ParallelGC		
256M	10	46	32	71 young + 11 mixed
512M	4	39	20	60 young + 28 mixed
1G	9	23	12	10 young + 8 mixed
4G	2	3	10	14 young

## 作业 2. -Xmx1G -Xms1G

Use G1GC

```
~~ wrk -t8 -c40 -d60s http://localhost:8088/api/hello
```

```
Running 1m test @ http://localhost:8088/api/hello 8 threads and 40 connections
Thread Stats Avg Stdev Max +/- Stdev
Latency 14.00ms 35.14ms 470.33ms 90.71%
Req/Sec 2.11k 0.89k 5.24k 65.88%
1000404 requests in 1.00m, 119.44MB read
Requests/sec: 16651.12
Transfer/sec: 1.99MB
```

Use CMS

```
~~ wrk -t8 -c40 -d60s http://localhost:8088/api/hello
```

```
Running 1m test @ http://localhost:8088/api/hello 8 threads and 40 connections
Thread Stats Avg Stdev Max +/- Stdev
Latency 14.17ms 33.19ms 410.07ms 90.48%
Req/Sec 1.73k 757.63 4.25k 67.38%
824167 requests in 1.00m, 98.40MB read
Requests/sec: 13718.15
Transfer/sec: 1.64MB
```

Use ParallelGC

```
~~ wrk -t8 -c40 -d60s http://localhost:8088/api/hello
```

```
Running 1m test @ http://localhost:8088/api/hello
8 threads and 40 connections
Thread Stats Avg Stdev Max +/- Stdev
Latency 17.18ms 45.04ms 635.47ms 91.22%
Req/Sec 1.90k 0.87k 6.48k 64.27%
904916 requests in 1.00m, 108.04MB read
Requests/sec: 15059.90
Transfer/sec: 1.80MB
```

Use Serial GC

```
~~ wrk -t8 -c40 -d60s http://localhost:8088/api/hello
```

```
Running 1m test @ http://localhost:8088/api/hello
8 threads and 40 connections
Thread Stats Avg Stdev Max +/- Stdev
Latency 13.97ms 36.79ms 428.27ms 91.30%
Req/Sec 2.09k 0.95k 5.48k 64.01%
994376 requests in 1.00m, 118.72MB read
Requests/sec: 16561.21
Transfer/sec: 1.98MB
```

## 作业 4.

从1中可见,Heap很小时,GC回收器的选择对系统性能影响不大。增加Heap,可以有效的减少GC,提高系统性能。当Heap 超过4G时,G1GC的优势明显。在1G时,CMS有微弱优势。还需要结合时间消耗得出更准确判断。

比较ParallelGC和CMS在Xmx1G时的表现,可以看出ParallelGC发生的GC更频繁,单次消耗时间更短,CMS消耗时间长但是STW更短。

从2中可见,G1GC表现最佳,吞吐量最高而延迟较低,CMS的延迟也很低但是吞吐量最低,ParallelGC的吞吐量表现不错但是延迟高。 SerialGC的表现出乎意料的好,仅次于G1GC, 可见测试最好跑在一个专用的机器以排除其它干扰因素。