

**COMP9322- Assignment 2 Marking Guide (S2, 2017)**

Group Name:	Examiner: Helen Paik					
Preparation for the Demo				Y	N	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Is everything setup: service running, client apps ready to go? - – You should also download the submission and have it ready for inspection</li><li>Is there a demo scenario (e.g., some test data, workflow scenario, etc.)</li></ul>						
REST-based Service Implementation (Total 45% (with Data service))				A	B	C
Note: for the server-side part, we will examine your code on server-side resources. You will be asked to explain how things are designed and implemented as RESTful services						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>License Renewal Service<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The implementation shows clear separation of the systems (client, service)</li><li>The resources and their URL patterns are identified and implemented according to the REST principles (explain them)</li><li>All required HTTP methods on the resources are designed and implemented, they meet safety and idempotency properties (explain them)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>e.g., on POST of Renewal Requests, what are the conditions being checked by the service?</li><li>e.g., on PUT of Payment, what are the conditions being checked by the service?</li></ul></li><li>The links between resources (i.e., in terms of the service-side giving hints/nudge to the client applications about what can be done given the state of the resource that the client application is just given) are clearly considered and designed/implemented</li><li>Potential points of failures and recovery mechanism have been considered</li><li>Logging (to demonstrate the REST request/response interactions between the client applications and the service) is done. It is clearly printed and easy understand the interactions</li><li>Authentication and authorisation are implemented per spec (i.e., using keys in the header, having clear rules about who can access what in terms of the resources on the service-side) and can be demonstrated clearly</li></ul></li><li>Data Services implementation<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The service offers access to raw data in XML (i.e., returns XML)</li><li>The data service API/URL designs are clear (i.e., the URL gives an indication as to what could be the expected output)</li><li>The service, overall, behaves as expected and operates smoothly</li><li>XSLT or XQuery is properly integrated into the implementation</li></ul></li></ul>						
Client Application Implementation (40%)				A	B	C
Note: for the client application part, we will examine the HTTP traffic traces and the application logic (i.e., the workflow of each user type) – also some common sense test will be applied to your UI/functionality design of the app.						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>On Driver’s workflow: e,g, able to process a renewal request, check updates (i.e., see status), make payment, archive, etc.</li><li>On RMS Officer’s workflow: e.g., process renewal requests, list current requests, etc.</li><li>SOAP service is correctly integrated into the app</li><li>Each user type is clearly defined and authorised to access different part of the system. Each application, overall, behaves as expected and operates smoothly</li><li>Some flexibility is considered in the system (e.g., allowing to change)</li></ul>						
Group Meetings, Overall Quality of the Project Outcomes (15%)				A	B	C
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Two meetings?, Every meeting was well prepared and detailed discussions were possible due to the preparation. Demonstrated good group work</li><li>Quality of the implementation</li></ul>						
Remarks						
A: The outcome is of high quality and no errors    B: the outcome is of average quality with a few minor errors or one major error    C: the outcome is poor (or not implemented, or does not run – not being able to assess)						
Total Mark:        /100						