Write one program, get two (or three, or many)

BOB 2017, Berlin

Andres Löh

24 February 2017



Motivation

JSON representation

```
class ToJSON a where
```

toJSON :: a -> Value

toEncoding :: a -> Encoding

class FromJSON a where

parseJSON :: Value -> Parser a



Example datatype



Example datatype

```
thisTalk =
  MkTalk
  12
  "Andres Löh"
  "Write one program, get two (or three, or many)"
  Regular
```



```
data Track = Regular | Workshop

instance ToJSON Track where
  toJSON Regular = "Regular"
  toJSON Workshop = "Workshop"
```

```
instance FromJSON Track where
parseJSON =
   withText "category" $ \txt ->
    if    txt == "Regular" then pure Regular
   else if txt == "Workshop" then pure Workshop
   else   fail "unknown category"
```



```
data Talk = MkTalk
    {talkNr :: Int
    , talkAuthor :: Text
    , talkTitle :: Text
    , talkTrack :: Track
}
```

```
data Talk = MkTalk
    {talkNr :: Int
    , talkAuthor :: Text
    , talkTitle :: Text
    , talkTrack :: Track
}
```



```
data Talk = MkTalk
   { talkNr :: Int
   , talkAuthor :: Text
   , talkTitle :: Text
   , talkTrack :: Track
}
```



Desired round-trip property

JSON representation

```
parseMaybe parseJSON (toJSON x) = Just x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = x
```



Desired round-trip property

JSON representation

```
parseMaybe parseJSON (toJSON x) = Just x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = x
```

Example:

```
GHCi> decode (encode thisTalk) == Just thisTalk
True
```



Not just JSON

```
class Binary t where
  put :: t -> Put
  get :: Get t
```



Binary serialization

data Track = Regular | Workshop



```
data Track = Regular | Workshop
```

```
instance Binary Track where
put Regular = putWord8 0
put Workshop = putWord8 1
```



```
instance Binary Track where
  put Regular = putWord8 0
  put Workshop = putWord8 1
```

```
get = do
  i <- getWord8
  case i of
    0 -> return Regular
    1 -> return Workshop
    _ -> fail "out of range"
```



```
data Talk = MkTalk
  { talkNr :: Int
  , talkAuthor :: Text
  , talkTitle :: Text
  , talkTrack :: Track
}
```



```
data Talk = MkTalk
   { talkNr :: Int
   , talkAuthor :: Text
   , talkTitle :: Text
   , talkTrack :: Track
}
```

```
instance Binary Talk where
  put (MkTalk nr author title cat) =
    put nr >> put author >> put title >> put cat
  get =
    MkTalk <$> get <*> get <*> get <*> get
```



Desired round-trip property

Binary serialization

```
runGet get (runPut (put x)) = x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = x
```



Desired round-trip property

Binary serialization

```
runGet get (runPut (put x)) = x
```

Or:

```
decode (encode x) = x
```

Example:

```
GHCi> decode (encode thisTalk) == thisTalk
True
```



Other similar examples

SQL database table rows:

```
class ToRow a where
  toRow :: a -> [Action]
```

```
class FromRow a where
  fromRow :: RowParser a
```

Other similar examples

SQL database table rows:

```
class ToRow a where
  toRow :: a -> [Action]
```

```
class FromRow a where
  fromRow :: RowParser a
```

Textual representation:

```
class Show a where
  showsPrec :: Int -> a -> ShowS
```

```
class Read a where
  readsPrec :: Int -> ReadS a
```



Common theme

- ▶ We write (at least) two programs.
- ► The programs contain the same (very similar) information.
- ► There are desired properties that are easily violated.



(Datatype-)Generic Programming

Derive everything automatically

deriving instance Generic Talk
deriving instance Generic Track



Derive everything automatically

```
deriving instance Generic Talk
deriving instance Generic Track
```

```
instance ToJSON Talk
instance ToJSON Track
instance FromJSON Talk
instance FromJSON Track
instance Binary Talk
instance Binary Track
```



Write no program, get many?



Write no program, get many?

► The datatype is a program!



Write no program, get many?

- ► The datatype is a program!
- Programs follow the structure of the datatypes precisely.
- ► This is not always good.



Disadvantages of generic programming

- External representations are implicit.
- ► And under the control of (third-party) library authors.
- Limited flexibility.

All or nothing?

Either:

- Use the derived instances.
- Enjoy the lack of boilerplate.
- Possibly live with a suboptimal external (or internal) representation.

Or:

- Write instances yourself.
- Stay in control.
- Lots of hand-written, error-prone code with subtle proof obligations.



Is there another option?

What if there are different requirements?

```
{ "nr": 12
, "author": "Andres Löh"
, "title": "Write one program, get two (or three, or many)"
, "category": "Regular"
}
```

VS.

```
{ "nr": 12
, "author": "Andres Löh"
, "title": "Write one program, get two (or three, or many)"
, "is-workshop": false
}
```



The solution

A single description for both (all) desired functions:

```
instance Json Track where
  grammar =
     fromPrism _Regular . "Regular"
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . "Workshop"
```



A single description

- Explicit. Can be different from datatype.
- Still strongly typed.
- Easy to adapt.



Switching representations

```
instance Json Track where
  grammar =
      fromPrism _Regular . "Regular"
  <> fromPrism _Workshop . "Workshop"
```



Switching representations

```
instance Json Talk where
  grammar =
     fromPrism _Talk
     . object
          ( prop "nr"
                prop "name"
                prop "title"
                property "is-workshop" boolTrack
          )
```



Switching representations

```
instance Json Talk where
 grammar =
      fromPrism _Talk
    . object
         ( prop "nr"
         . prop "name"
         . prop "title"
         . ( property "is-workshop" boolTrack
            <> defaultValue Regular
```



A closer look

Prisms

- ► A prism generalizes a Haskell constructor.
- ► Combines a constructor function with a compatible matcher.



Prisms

- ► A prism generalizes a Haskell constructor.
- Combines a constructor function with a compatible matcher.



Prisms

- A prism generalizes a Haskell constructor.
- Combines a constructor function with a compatible matcher.

Laws:

```
backward p (forward p a) = a backward p b = Just a \Rightarrow forward p a = b
```



```
MkTalk :: Int -> Text -> Text -> Track -> Talk
```



```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b

data Talk = MkTalk
    {talkNr :: Int
    , talkAuthor :: Text
    , talkTitle :: Text
    , talkTrack :: Track
    }
}
```

```
MkTalk :: Int -> Text -> Text -> Track -> Talk

(Int, Text, Text, Track) -> Talk
```



```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b

data Talk = MkTalk
    {talkNr :: Int
    , talkAuthor :: Text
    , talkTitle :: Text
    , talkTrack :: Track
}
```



```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b

data Talk = MkTalk
    {talkNr :: Int
    , talkAuthor :: Text
    , talkTitle :: Text
    , talkTrack :: Track
}
```



```
stackPrism :: (a -> b) -> (b -> Maybe a) -> StackPrism a b

data Talk = MkTalk
    {talkNr :: Int
    , talkAuthor :: Text
    , talkTitle :: Text
    , talkTrack :: Track
}
```



```
_Talk ::
StackPrism
(Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
```

```
_Talk ::
    StackPrism
        (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)

_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
_True :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
```

```
_Talk ::
 StackPrism
    (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
_True :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
_Nothing :: StackPrism s (Maybe a :- s)
_Just :: StackPrism (a :- s) (Maybe a :- s)
```

```
_Talk ::
 StackPrism
    (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
_True :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
_Nothing :: StackPrism s (Maybe a :- s)
_Just :: StackPrism (a :- s) (Maybe a :- s)
_Pair :: StackPrism (a :- b :- s) ((a, b) :- s)
```



```
_Talk ::
 StackPrism
   (Int :- Text :- Text :- Track :- s) (Track :- s)
_False :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
_True :: StackPrism s (Bool :- s)
_Nothing :: StackPrism s (Maybe a :- s)
_Just :: StackPrism (a :- s) (Maybe a :- s)
_Pair :: StackPrism (a :- b :- s) ((a, b) :- s)
_Nil :: StackPrism s ([a] :- s)
_Cons :: StackPrism (a :- [a] :- s) ([a] :- s)
```



Obtaining stack prisms

These can be derived mechanically:

```
PrismList (P _Talk) =
  mkPrismList :: StackPrisms Talk
PrismList (P _Regular :& P _Workshop) =
  mkPrismList :: StackPrisms Track
```

Works via datatype-generic programming:

```
mkPrismList ::
   (MkPrismList (Rep a), Generic a) => StackPrisms a
```



Another look at the descriptions

```
instance Json Talk where
 grammar =
      fromPrism _Talk
    . object
         ( prop "nr"
         . prop "name"
         . prop "title"
         . ( property "is-workshop" boolTrack
            <> defaultValue Regular
```

```
boolTrack =
    fromPrism _Regular . false
<> fromPrism _Workshop . true
```



Grammars

Also parameterized by stacks:

Grammar n a b

Here:

- n is the syntactic category,
- a is the "source" stack,
- b is the "target" stack.

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
```



```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)

GHCi> :type false
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a
```



```
GHCi>:type fromPrism _Regular
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)

GHCi>:type false
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a

GHCi>:type fromPrism _Regular . false
... :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (Track :- b)
```



```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
GHCi> :type false
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular . false
... :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (Track :- b)
GHCi> gdecode (fromPrism _Regular . false) "false"
Just Regular
```



Just "false"

Grammars

```
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular
fromPrism _Regular :: Grammar n a (Track :- a)
GHCi> :type false
false :: Grammar Val (Value :- a) a
GHCi> :type fromPrism _Regular . false
... :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (Track :- b)
GHCi> gdecode (fromPrism _Regular . false) "false"
Just Regular
```

GHCi> gencode (fromPrism _Regular . false) Regular



Combinators Grammars

Composition:

```
(.) :: Grammar n b c -> Grammar n a b -> Grammar n a c
```



Combinators Grammars

Composition:

(.) :: Grammar n b c -> Grammar n a b -> Grammar n a c

Choice:

(<>) :: Grammar n a b -> Grammar n a b



Interpretations

```
class Json a where
 grammar :: Grammar Val (Value :- b) (a :- b)
gencode ::
     Grammar Val (Value :- ()) (a :- ())
  -> a -> Maybe ByteString
gdecode ::
     Grammar Val (Value :- ()) (a :- ())
  -> ByteString -> Maybe a
```



Round-trip properties? Grammars

The expectation is that:

```
gencode g a = Just b \Rightarrow gdecode g b = Just a
```



A final look at the descriptions

```
instance Json Talk where
 grammar =
      fromPrism _Talk
    . object
         ( prop "nr"
         . prop "name"
         . prop "title"
         . ( property "is-workshop" boolTrack
            <> defaultValue Regular
```

```
boolTrack =
    fromPrism _Regular . false
<> fromPrism _Workshop . true
```



Stepping back

What have we achieved?

- ► A better representation.
- Sufficient to compute multiple interpretations.
- Works for interpretations having different directions.
- Widely applicable?



This and other solutions

The code shown for JSON is based on:

JsonGrammar

by Martijn van Steenbergen

This and other solutions

The code shown for JSON is based on:

JsonGrammar

by Martijn van Steenbergen

The same idea (stack prisms, composition, DSL, interpretations) can be applied to other scenarios:

- ▶ binary serialization,
- SQL database table rows,
- ► human-readable textual representations,
- ▶ ...



Some other notable libraries

invertible-syntax

by Tillmann Rendel (also Haskell Symposium 2010 paper)

roundtrip, roundtrip-string, roundtrip-xml, roundtrip-aeson

by Stefan Wehr and David Leuschner

(roundtrip-aeson by Thomas Sutton and Christian Marie)

boomerang, web-routes-boomerang

by Jeremy Shaw

(where web-routes-boomerang is based on Zwaluw, by Sjoerd Visscher and (again) Martijn van Steenbergen)



Type level

servant

by Alp Mestanogullari, Sönke Hahn, Julian Arni and others



The more general message

- Choose suitable representations for your programs.
- If you write several programs that are interrelated in complicated ways, you are doing it wrong.
- Some scenarios in specific applications may be much easier (additional conventions and constraints).



