# Computer arithmetic

Victor Eijkhout

2018



This short session will explain the basics of floating point arithmetic, mostly focusing on round-off and its influence on computations.

# Numbers in scientific computing

- Integers: ..., -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, ...
- Rational numbers: 1/3,22/7: not often encountered
- Real numbers  $0, 1, -1.5, 2/3, \sqrt{2}, \log 10, \dots$
- Complex numbers  $1 + 2i, \sqrt{3} \sqrt{5}i, \dots$

Computers use a finite number of bits to represent numbers, so only a finite number of numbers can be represented, and no irrational numbers (even some rational numbers).

#### Integers

Scientific computation mostly uses real numbers. Integers are mostly used for array indexing.

16/32/64 bit: short, int, long, long in C, size not standardized, use sizeof(long) et cetera. (Also unsigned int et cetera)

INTEGER\*2/4/8 Fortran

# **Negative integers**

Use of sign bit: typically first bit

$$s \mid i_1 \dots i_n$$

Simplest solution: n > 0,  $fl(n) = +1, i_1, ..., i_{31}$ , then  $fl(-n) = -1, i_1, ..., i_{31}$ 

Problem: +0 and -0; also impractical in other ways.

# Sign bit

bitstring	000	 01 · · · 1	100	 11 · · · 1
as unsigned int	0	 $2^{31} - 1$	2 <sup>31</sup>	 $2^{32}-1$
as naive signed	0	 $2^{31} - 1$	-0	 $-2^{31}+1$

# Shifting

Interpret unsigned number n as n - B

bitstring	00 · · · 0	 01 · · · 1	10 · · · 0	 11 · · · 1
as unsigned int	0	 $2^{31} - 1$	2 <sup>31</sup>	 $2^{32}-1$
as shifted int	$-2^{31}$	 -1	0	 $2^{31} - 1$

Eijkhout: HPC intro

### 2's complement

Better solution: if  $0 \le n \le 2^{31} - 1$ , then  $\mathrm{fl}(n) = 0, i_1, \dots, i_{31}$ ;  $1 \le n \le 2^{31}$  then  $\mathrm{fl}(-n) = \mathrm{fl}(2^{32} - n)$ .

bitstring	00 · · · 0		01 · · · 1	100		11 · · · 1
as unsigned int	0		$2^{31} - 1$	2 <sup>31</sup>		$2^{32}-1$
as 2's comp. integer	0	• • •	$2^{31} - 1$	$-2^{31}$	• • •	-1

### Subtraction in 2's complement

Subtraction m-n is easy.

- Case: m < n. Observe that -n has the bit pattern of  $2^{32} n$ . Also,  $m + (2^{32} n) = 2^{32} (n m)$  where  $0 < n m < 2^{31} 1$ , so  $2^{32} (n m)$  is the 2's complement bit pattern of m n.
- Case: m > n. The bit pattern for -n is  $2^{32} n$ , so m + (-n) as unsigned is  $m + 2^{32} n = 2^{32} + (m n)$ . Here m n > 0. The  $2^{32}$  is an overflow bit; ignore.

### Exercise 1: Integer overflow

Investigate what happens when you perform an integer calculation that leads to overflow. What does your compiler say if you try to write down a nonrepresentible number explicitly, for instance in a declaration or assignment statement?

# Floating point numbers

Analogous to scientific notation  $x = 6.022 \cdot 10^{23}$ :

$$x = \pm \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} d_i \beta^{-i} \beta^e$$

- sign bit
- β is the base of the number system
- 0  $\leq$   $\textit{d}_{\textit{i}} \leq \beta 1$  the digits of the mantissa: with the radix point mantissa  $< \beta$
- $e \in [L, U]$  exponent, stored with bias: unsigned int where fl(L) = 0

# Examples of floating point systems

	β	t	L	U
IEEE single (32 bit)	2	24	-126	127
IEEE double (64 bit)	2	53	-1022	1023
Old Cray 64bit	2	48	-16383	16384
IBM mainframe 32 bit	16	6	-64	63
packed decimal	10	50	-999	999

BCD is tricky: 3 decimal digits in 10 bits

(we will often use  $\beta=$  10 in the examples, because it's easier to read for humans, but all practical computers use  $\beta=$  2)

Internal processing in 80 bit

#### Limitations

Overflow: more than  $\beta(1-\beta^{-t+1})\beta^U$  or less than  $\beta(1-\beta^{-t+1})\beta^L$ 

Underflow: numbers less than  $\beta^{-t+1} \cdot \beta^L$ 

# Exercise 2: Floating point overflow

For real numbers x, y, the quantity  $g = \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)/2}$  satisfies

$$g \leq \max\{|x|,|y|\}$$

so it is representable if x and y are. What can go wrong if you compute g using the above formula? Can you think of a better way?

#### Normalized numbers

Require first digit in the mantissa to be nonzero.

Equivalent: mantissa part  $1 \le x_m < \beta$ 

Unique representation for each number, (do you see a problem?) also: in binary this makes the first digit 1, so we don't need to store that.

With normalized numbers, underflow threshold is  $1 \cdot \beta^L$ ; 'gradual underflow' possible, but usually not efficient.

### **IEEE 754**

sign	exponent	mantissa
s	$e_1 \cdots e_8$	$s_1 \dots s_{23}$
31	30 · · · 23	22 · · · 0

$(e_1 \cdots e_8)$	numerical value
$(0\cdots 0)=0$	$\pm 0.s_1 \cdots s_{23} \times 2^{-126}$
$(0\cdots 01)=1$	$\pm 1.s_1 \cdots s_{23} \times 2^{-126}$
$(0\cdots 010)=2$	$\pm 1.s_1 \cdots s_{23} \times 2^{-125}$
(011111111) = 127	$\pm 1.s_1 \cdots s_{23} \times 2^0$
(10000000) = 128	$\pm 1.s_1 \cdots s_{23} \times 2^1$
(111111110) = 254	$\pm 1.s_1 \cdots s_{23} \times 2^{127}$
(111111111) = 255	$\pm \infty$ if $s_1 \cdots s_{23} = 0$ , otherwise <code>NaN</code>

Eijkhout: HPC intro

### Representation error

Error between number x and representation  $\tilde{x}$ : absolute  $x - \tilde{x}$  or  $\left| x - \tilde{x} \right|$  relative  $\frac{x - \tilde{x}}{x}$  or  $\left| \frac{x - \tilde{x}}{x} \right|$ 

Equivalent: 
$$\tilde{x} = x \pm \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow |x - \tilde{x}| \le \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \tilde{x} \in [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon].$$

Also: 
$$\tilde{x} = x(1+\varepsilon)$$
 often shorthand for  $\left|\frac{\tilde{x}-x}{x}\right| \le \varepsilon$ 

### Example

Decimal, t = 3 digit mantissa: let x = 1.256,  $\tilde{x}_{round} = 1.26$ ,  $\tilde{x}_{truncate} = 1.25$ 

Error in the 4th digit:  $|\epsilon| < \beta^{t-1}$  (this example had no exponent, how about if it does?)

Eijkhout: HPC intro

#### Exercise 3: Round-off

The number  $e \approx$  2.72, the base for the natural logarithm, has various definitions. One of them is

$$e = \lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + 1/n)^n.$$

Write a single precision program that tries to compute e in this manner. Evaluate the expression for an upper bound  $n = 10^k$  with k = 1, ..., 10. Explain the output for large n. Comment on the behaviour of the error.

### Machine precision

Any real number can be represented to a certain precision:  $\tilde{x}=x(1+\epsilon)$  where truncation:  $\epsilon=\beta^{-t+1}$  rounding:  $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}\beta^{-t+1}$ 

This is called *machine precision*: maximum relative error.

32-bit single precision:  $mp \approx 10^{-7}$  64-bit double precision:  $mp \approx 10^{-16}$ 

Maximum attainable accuracy.

Another definition of machine precision: smallest number  $\epsilon$  such that  $1+\epsilon>1$ . (Exercise: wrote a program that computes this.)

#### Addition

- align exponents
- add mantissas
- adjust exponent to normalize

Example:  $1.00 + 2.00 \times 10^{-2} = 1.00 + .02 = 1.02$ . This is exact, but what happens with  $1.00 + 2.55 \times 10^{-2}$ ?

Example: 
$$5.00 \times 10^{1} + 5.04 = (5.00 + 0.504) \times 10^{1} \rightarrow 5.50 \times 10^{1}$$

Any error comes from truncating the mantissa: if x is the true sum and  $\tilde{x}$  the computed sum, then  $\tilde{x} = x(1+\varepsilon)$  with  $|\varepsilon| < 10^{-2}$ 

# The 'correctly rounded arithmetic' model

Assumption (enforced by IEEE 754):

The numerical result of an operation is the rounding of the exactly computed result.

$$\mathrm{fl}(x_1\odot x_2)=(x_1\odot x_2)(1+\varepsilon)$$

where 
$$\odot = +, -, *, /$$

Note: this holds only for a single operation!

### Guard digits

Correctly rounding is not trivial, especially for subtraction.

Example:  $t = 2, \beta = 10$ :  $1.0 - 9.5 \times 10^{-1}$ , exact result  $0.05 = 5.0 \times 10^{-2}$ .

- Simple approach:  $1.0 9.5 \times 10^{-1} = 1.0 0.9 = 0.1 = 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$
- Using 'guard digit':  $1.0 9.5 \times 10^{-1} = 1.0 0.95 = 0.05 = 5.0 \times 10^{-2}$ , exact.

In general 3 extra bits needed.

#### Fused Mul-Add instructions

$$a \leftarrow a * b + c$$
 or  $c \leftarrow a * b + c$ 

- Addition plus multiplication, but not independent
- Processors can have dedicated hardware for FMA (also IEEE 754-2008)
- Internally evaluated in higher precision: 80-bit.
- Very useful for certain linear algebra (which?) Not for other operations (examples?)

### Associativity

Computate 4+6+7 in one significant digit.

Evaluation left-to-right gives:

$$\begin{array}{c} \left(4\cdot10^0+6\cdot10^0\right)+7\cdot10^0 \Rightarrow 10\cdot10^0+7\cdot10^0 & \text{addition} \\ & \Rightarrow 1\cdot10^1+7\cdot10^0 & \text{rounding} \\ & \Rightarrow 1.0\cdot10^1+0.7\cdot10^1 & \text{using guard digit} \\ & \Rightarrow 1.7\cdot10^1 \\ & \Rightarrow 2\cdot10^1 & \text{rounding} \end{array}$$

On the other hand, evaluation right-to-left gives:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 4\cdot 10^0 + \left(6\cdot 10^0 + 7\cdot 10^0\right) \Rightarrow 4\cdot 10^0 + 13\cdot 10^0 & \text{addition} \\ & \Rightarrow 4\cdot 10^0 + 1\cdot 10^1 & \text{rounding} \\ & \Rightarrow 0.4\cdot 10^1 + 1.0\cdot 10^1 & \text{using guard digit} \\ & \Rightarrow 1.4\cdot 10^1 \\ & \Rightarrow 1\cdot 10^1 & \text{rounding} \end{array}$$

Eijkhout: HPC intro

# Error propagation under addition

Let 
$$s = x_1 + x_2$$
, and  $x = \tilde{s} = \tilde{x}_1 + \tilde{x}_2$  with  $\tilde{x}_i = x_i(1 + \varepsilon_i)$ 

$$\tilde{x} = \tilde{s}(1 + \varepsilon_3)$$

$$= x_1(1 + \varepsilon_1)(1 + \varepsilon_3) + x_2(1 + \varepsilon_2)(1 + \varepsilon_3)$$

$$= x_1 + x_2 + x_1(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3) + x_2(\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{x} = s(1 + 2\varepsilon)$$

⇒ errors are added

Assumptions: all  $\varepsilon_i$  approximately equal size and small;  $x_i > 0$ 

# Multiplication

- add exponents
- multiply mantissas
- adjust exponent

Example:  $.123 \times .567 \times 10^1 = .069741 \times 10^1 \rightarrow .69741 \times 10^0 \rightarrow .697 \times 10^0$ .

What happens with relative errors?

#### Subtraction

Correct rounding only applies to a single operation.

Example:  $1.24 - 1.23 = 0.01 \rightarrow 1. \times 10^{-2}$ : result is exact, but only one significant digit.

What if 1.24 = fl(1.244) and 1.23 = fl(1.225)? Correct result  $1.9 \times 10^{-2}$ ; almost 100% error.

- Cancellation leads to loss of precision
- subsequent operations with this result are inaccurate
- this can not be fixed with guard digits and such
- ullet  $\Rightarrow$  avoid subtracting numbers that are likely close.

#### ABC-formula

Example:  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0 \rightarrow x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$  suppose b > 0 and  $b^2 \gg 4ac$  then the '+' solution will be inaccurate Better: compute  $x_- = \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$  and use  $x_+ \cdot x_- = -c/a$ .

### Serious example

Evaluate  $\sum_{n=1}^{10000} \frac{1}{n^2} = 1.644834$  in 6 digits: machine precision is  $10^{-6}$  in single precision

First term is 1, so partial sums are  $\geq$  1, so  $1/n^2 < 10^{-6}$  gets ignored,  $\Rightarrow$  last 7000 terms (or more) are ignored,  $\Rightarrow$  sum is 1.644725: 4 correct digits

Solution: sum in reverse order; exact result in single precision Why? Consider ratio of two terms:

$$\frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} = \frac{n^2}{n^2 - 2n + 1} = \frac{1}{1 - 2/n + 1/n^2} \approx 1 + \frac{2}{n}$$

with aligned exponents:

$$n-1$$
:  $.00\cdots0$  |  $10\cdots00$   
 $n$ :  $.00\cdots0$  |  $10\cdots01$  |  $0\cdots0$   
 $k = \log(n/2)$  positions

The last digit in the smaller number is not lost if  $n < 2/\epsilon$ 

# Another serious example

Previous example was due to finite representation; this example is more due to algorithm itself.

Consider 
$$y_n = \int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{x-5} dx = \frac{1}{n} - 5y_{n-1}$$
 (monotonically decreasing)  $y_0 = \ln 6 - \ln 5$ .

In 3 decimal digits:

computation		correct result	
$y_0 = \ln 6 - \ln 5 = .182   322 \times 10^1 \dots$		1.82	
$y_1 = .900 \times 10^{-1}$		.884	
$y_2 = .500 \times 10^{-1}$		.0580	
$y_3 = .830 \times 10^{-1}$	going up?	.0431	
$y_4 =165$	negative?	.0343	

Reason? Define error as  $\tilde{y}_n = y_n + \varepsilon_n$ , then

$$\tilde{y}_n = 1/n - 5\tilde{y}_{n-1} = 1/n + 5n_{n-1} + 5\varepsilon_{n-1} = y_n + 5\varepsilon_{n-1}$$

so  $\varepsilon_n \geq 5\varepsilon_{n-1}$ : exponential growth.

# Stability of linear system solving

Problem: solve Ax = b, where b inexact.

$$A(x+\Delta x)=b+\Delta b.$$

Since Ax = b, we get  $A\Delta x = \Delta b$ . From this,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
Ax &= b \\
\Delta x &= A^{-1} \Delta b
\end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\|A\| \|x\| &\geq \|b\| \\
\|\Delta x\| &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\Delta b\|
\end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}$$

'Condition number'. Attainable accuracy depends on matrix properties

### Consequences of roundoff

Multiplication and addition are not associative: problems for parallel computations.

Operations with "same" outcomes are not equally stable: matrix inversion is unstable, elimination is stable

### Exercise 4: Fixed-point iteration

Consider the iteration

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n) = \begin{cases} 2x_n & \text{if } 2x_n < 1 \\ 2x_n - 1 & \text{if } 2x_n 1 \end{cases}$$

Does this function have a fixed point,  $x_0 \equiv f(x_0)$ , or is there a cycle  $x_1 = f(x_0)$ ,  $x_0 \equiv x_2 = f(x_1)$  et cetera?

Now code this function and see what happens with various starting points  $x_0$ . Can you explain this?

### Complex numbers

Two real numbers: real and imaginary part.

#### Storage:

- Store real/imaginary adjacent: easy to pass address of one number
- Store array of real, then array of imaginary. Better for stride 1 access if only real parts are needed. Other considerations.

### Other arithmetic systems

Some compilers support higher precisions.

Arbitrary precision: GMPlib

, ,

Interval arithmetic

Eijkhout: HPC intro