

# Article Title

Dongdong Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Quan Chen<sup>2</sup>, and Rui Wang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>University of Sydney

Student ID: <sup>1</sup>470161133, <sup>2</sup>470199228, <sup>3</sup>470208162

June 8, 2018

## Abstract

*Computer vision has always been a trending filed in deep learning. The main problem in this area is to classify patterns into different classes. Traditional approaches of machine learning such as k-nearest neighbors can be utilized to address this problem. However, this requires preprocessing of images and transform them into matrices. In addition to these methods, multilayer neural networks as a deep learning approach can also be applied to address the classification problem. When convolutional neural network was utilized in computer vision, the accuracy of classification was improved dramatically. In this project, we propose a convolutional neural network with some other techniques to address an image classification problem. The dataset includes all the images of numbers from 0 to 9 and the alphabet letters from A to Z including both lower-case and upper-case letters.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Classifying images of different classes has always been a trending problem in deep learning. This problem requires a discrimination of images among many classes. It is important because it can be applied in many fields. For instance, face recognition can be utilized in public security. it can also help classify disease in medical industry. All of these applications require a mass of images as input and then classify the images into several classes. Convolutional neural network has drawn extensive attention on computer vision classification since it produces state-of-art performance. The classification using convolutional neural network has been proved to be extremely effective computer vision. Today, most of the framework relies on powerful convolutional neural network [1]. Therefore, it is important to understand how to classify images using convolutional neural network.

In this project, we propose a convolutional neural network to finish a multi-class classification problem. Meanwhile, the shift, rotation and scaling of data augmentation are also utilized in this project.

The rest of the report is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the related work on image classification. Section 3 introduces techniques. Section 4 describes the experiment and the results, followed by discussions and conclusions in section 5. The appendix section describes how to run our code.

## II. RELATED WORK

Image classification has always been a trending problem in deep learning. Many approaches have been utilized to address this problem. Traditional machine learning algorithms such as support vector machine, k-nearest neighbors and Naïve-Bayes classifier can be utilized to address this problem. Preprocessing might be necessary to transform the image into data matrix. A remarkable convolutional neural network was proposed in 2012, typically known as AlexNet [1]. It utilized 5 convolutional layers and 3 fully connected layers and got state-of-art results at that time. In 2015, another milestone network known as VGG was created [2]. This is one of the most commonly used convolutional neural network.

## III. TECHNIQUE

In this section, we will introduce the proposed structure of the convolutional neural network as well as other techniques used on it. To explain the structure of the network, we assume the input image to be  $X$ .

### i. Convolutional neural network

The modules of a convolutional neural network usually are convolutional layer, pooling layer and fully connected layer. Convolutional layer assigns a convolution operation to the input image. More specifically, filters with some size (usually 2x2) are used to extract features. Pooling layer can extract the combination of outputs of neurons at on layer to into a

single neuron in the next layer. For instance, max pooling takes the maximum value of the neurons of a cluster from prior layer. Fully connected layer can connect the neurons from one layer to the neurons in another layer. In our design, it has the same function as dense layer.

Given the input data  $X$ , we feed it into a convolutional neural network as the input layer. The structure of the whole network is shown in Figure 1. As the figure indicates, the network utilizes two convolutional layers and max pooling layers. Then the output is fed into dropout layer to avoid overfitting. Next, densely connected layers are utilized to get the final output.

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_1 (InputLayer)	(None, 128, 128, 1)	0
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 128, 128, 1)	0
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)	(None, 128, 128, 32)	832
max_pooling2d_1 (MaxPooling2)	(None, 64, 64, 32)	0
dropout_2 (Dropout)	(None, 64, 64, 32)	0
conv2d_2 (Conv2D)	(None, 64, 64, 64)	51264
max_pooling2d_2 (MaxPooling2)	(None, 32, 32, 64)	0
dropout_3 (Dropout)	(None, 32, 32, 64)	0
conv2d_3 (Conv2D)	(None, 32, 32, 64)	102464
max_pooling2d_3 (MaxPooling2)	(None, 16, 16, 64)	0
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None, 16384)	0
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 1024)	16778240
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 1024)	1049600
dense_3 (Dense)	(None, 62)	63550
Total params: 18,045,950		
Trainable params: 18,045,950		
Non-trainable params: 0		

Figure 1: Figure captionxxxxxxxxxxxx

## ii. Activation function

In this design, the activation functions are applied. ReLU activation function is an extensively used activation function in machine learning. It is defined as

$$f(x) = \max(0, x) \quad (1)$$

where  $\max(0, x)$  indicates the maximum value between 0 and  $x$ . ReLU function is one sided and can be used to avoid gradient vanishing problem where at some point, the gradient of the activation function becomes 0. Nevertheless, ReLU function indicates that the negative values of input are treated as 0s, which means some neurons will be dead. In our design, ReLU is chosen as the in the convolutional layers. [3]

## iii. Softmax and cross entropy loss

Before the output layer of the network, softmax function is utilized to assign conditional probabilities to each one of the target classes. The one with highest probability indicates the resulted label for the input data. The function can be expressed as

$$\hat{P}(\text{class}_k|x) = \frac{e^{\text{net}_k}}{\sum_{i=1}^K e^{\text{net}_i}} \quad (2)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i w_{ji} + b_j$ , which is the input to the activation function.  $K$  indicates the total number of  $\text{net}_k$ . In reality, there will be some differences between the output  $\mathbf{z}$  and the ground-truth  $\mathbf{t}$ . To measure these errors and optimize the network, loss functions are defined. Typically, the Euclidean distance between  $\mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  is chosen as the loss function. In practice, we discovered that cross-entropy function

$$J(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{z}) = - \sum_{k=1}^C t_k \log(z_k) \quad (3)$$

results a better performance. [3]

## iv. Adam

In this project, we use Adam as our optimizer. This optimization method uses the averages of both gradients and the second moments of gradients. The update of Adam is given by:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_{t+1} + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t + \epsilon}} \hat{m}_t \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{1 - \beta_1^t}, \quad \hat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{1 - \beta_2^t} \quad (5)$$

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t \quad (6)$$

$$v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2 \quad (7)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a small scalar to avoid division by 0, and  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are the forgetting factors of the gradients and the second moments of gradients.

## v. Dropout

Overfitting is a common issue in deep learning. This problem means that the neural network could be overfitting with one dataset; once the data is changed, the accuracy of this network could decrease significantly. Dropout process sets some neurons of the network to be zero. In this case, equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$y_j = f\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^d x_i w_{ji}\right) r_j + b_j\right) \quad (8)$$

where  $r_j$  represents the Bernoulli random variable with probability  $p$ . [3]

#### vi. Weight decay

This module is applied to address two problems: firstly, it can choose the minimum weight vector to avoid irrelevant components; secondly, it can reduce some of the static noise on the targets [4]. This can be achieved by adding penalization to large weights:

$$\mathcal{L}_{new}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{L}_{old}(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \sum_i w_i^2 \quad (9)$$

[3]

#### vii. Data augmentation

Data augmentation is a technique that can be utilized to reduce the effect of overfitting and increase the number of training data. There are several ways of processing data in data augmentation. In this project, we choose shifting, rotation and scaling as our approaches for image augmentation. All the images in the dataset are processed by augmentation. We shift the images away from their original position by 5%. The direction of shifting is randomly chosen. The images are rotated by 12 degrees. The direction of rotation is random. We also amplify or shrink the image by 5% scaling. This technique is essential for boosting the performance of the network. The data size is increased because each image has three similar images which are shifted, rotated, zoomed in or zoomed out. This approach can also avoid the effect of overfitting since it increases the variety of the input dataset. This helps to increase the accuracy of validation.

## IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The dataset we utilized are images folder files that contain train-set folder within 37,883 png items, vali-set folder within 6,263 png items, and .txt files of their labels. There are 62 corresponding classes for this dataset. It contains ten roman numerals and twenty-six English letters with upper-case and lower-case. Overall, training data is 37,883 png files with its labels, and validating data is 6,263 png files with its labels. Before implementation, the data is randomly sorted. The experiments are implemented in

python3.6 running on a Ubuntu18 LTS 1080Ti, keras 2.1.6 with tensorflow-gpu backend.

#### i. Result




Figure 2: Figure captionxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx




Figure 3: Figure captionxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Our best accuracy is 92.13% which means 493 prediction is wrong. The parameters we use 5e-4, drop rate is 0.3, 0.2, 0.1. Rotation\_range = 12, width\_shift\_range = 0.05, height\_shift\_range = 0.05, zoom\_range = 0.05. Batch size is 256, 200 epochs.

Through this experiment, we develop cross entropy and softmax loss, dropout, weight decay, augmentation and batch normalization.

Due to the label is English letters and roman numerals, it is 62 classes, we translate it to one-hot representation. In those whole process, we applied idea → code → experiment cycle to make all experiment and make table to record each result in order to adjust parameters. We select train-set folder png files for training data, and vali-set folder png files for testing data. There are experiment detailed results and analysis below:

#### ii. Extensive analysis

##### ii.1 data augmentation analysis

In this experiment, we use data augmentation to increase the train data, This is essential for training because it can avoid overfitting in original train data

and generalise it to the real word. Rotation, shift (height and width) and scaling is used to create more train images.

Rotation is important due to some of images' character is italic and is not on the center. When we use this function in our model, accuracy will improve at least 1% when using same epochs.

When using data augmentation, in the first epoch we can get 80% accuracy for validation data while the average score in our batch train data is around 30%. The reason is that data augmentation add more images to train data and more features need to be learned. After closing data augmentation methods, the train accuracy and validation accuracy is close. Validation accuracy is small than train accuracy.

## ii.2 Learning decay analysis

Without Learning decay in Adam, validation accuracy will improve quickly and oscillation heavily around 89% accuracy. By using Learning decay, the validation accuracy line is more smooth and can achieve a better result.

## ii.3 Feature-wise standard normalisation analysis

When use Feature-wise standard normalisation, we find the accuracy is around 2% and cannot come to convergence. This is not applied because the pixel is 0 and 1 because it will lose the essential and original information of train image. Rotation range is set to 12 and it cannot be larger due to the chapter is sensitive for angle and flip. We have set flip and rotation parameter to 40 and find accuracy cannot come to convergence.

## ii.4 Wrong prediction analysis

we counted a table and found wrong results as follow:

We have counted the wrong prediction for our system. From some of the predicted label and true label with correspond to the image, we find some of image is really weird. For example:

## ii.5 Upper and lower character

o->O, V->v, s->S, Z->z, C->c, X->x etc. It's really hard to discriminate those pictures for our system. Zoom methods will be reconsidered carefully.




Figure 4: Figure captionxxxxxxxxxxxx

Table 1: Example table

RealLabel—>WrongLabel	Count
V—>v	23
s—>S	22
O—>0(number)	20
Z—>z	20
C—>c	19
X—>x	19
x—>X	19
W—>w	17
o—>O	17
I—>l(lower L)	15
S—>s	15
c—>C	15
v—>V	15

## ii.6 Number and character

number '0' and character 'O', number '9' and character 'q', number '1' and character 'l' and 'i' is really hard to discriminate.

We have check some of the most wrong predicted label and find it is very hard to distinguish them even by human. Maybe this can be solved to develop more deep neural network to capture more details.

## ii.7 Improvement

We have check the wrong predict picture for those wrong predictions and find our next research will care more on how to discriminate those character and number. Some methods like scaling (Data aug-

mentation) will be carefully considered because it might make our model harder to distinguish those characters.

## V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

### i. Discussions

During our work on the image classification, we experienced the process of building convolutional neural network to classify images. Before doing this project, we only learned the big picture of image classification from lectures. We learned the basic principles of convolutional layer, pooling layer and fully connected layer. This project helps us to implement the network and classify real world dataset. Augmentation technique is applied for the first time in our assignment to boost the performance of the network.

We all consider our project to be a successful project. The entire procedure of implementation gives us the insight of how to build a convolutional neural network and why it is one of the most cutting-edge technique in image classification.

### ii. Conclusions

In this project, we propose a convolutional neural network for multi-class classification.

A statement requiring citation [Figueredo and Wolf, 2009].

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

### iii. Subsection Two

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet

mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

## REFERENCES

[Figueredo and Wolf, 2009] Figueredo, A. J. and Wolf, P. S. A. (2009). Assortative pairing and life history strategy - a cross-cultural study. *Human Nature*, 20:317–330.