Project Incremental DumbSAT Readme Team Alliegator

1	Alliegator		
2	Allie Sproul asproul		
3	Rewrite DumbSAT to use an incremental search through possible solutions		
4	Overall success of the project: Mild - it took some effort but I got there eventually		
5	Approximately total time (in hours) to complete: 8		
6	https://github.com/alliesproul/theory_alliegator		
7	List of included files:		
	File/folder Name F	ile Contents and Use	
	Code Files		
		Contains DumbSAT solves with acremental search	
	Test Files		
	data_alliegator.txt C	contains wffs to be parsed through	
	Output Files		
	1 1 · = = •	Contains SAT solver's inputs and utputs in CNF	
		Contains the results of running the AT solver on various test cases	
	e u	contains detailed steps during the xecution of each SAT solver run, seful for debugging or understanding ow the solver arrived at a solution	
	e	contains output of program with xecution times and if clause was atisfiable with satisfying assignments	
	Plots (as needed)		
		contains image of plot of number of ariables vs execution time	
		<u>'</u>	

Programming languages used, and associated libraries: Python: time, random, csy, matplotlib, numpy 9 Key data structures: wff - represents a list of clauses, Assignment - list representing a binary assignment, sizes, times, satisfiable vars, and unsatisfiable vars - all stored results of my program 10 General operation of code: My code parsed the wffs from a file using parse_file, it has an increment function to iterate through binary assignments, it has a satisfiability check that takes a wff and attempts every possible assignment to see if it satisfies the formula, the test wff function runs the satisfiability check and measures the execution time, build wff generates random wffs to create random test cases, and lastly run cases orchestrates multiple test cases, stores results, and writes output files. It also generate CSV files and plots a graph. 11 What test cases you used/added, why you used them, what did they tell you about the correctness of your code: I used the provided test cases in the original DumbSAT code and compared my results to those of the DumbSAT code. I made sure I used both large and small wffs to see the difference in how long they took. I used these because I knew what the correct output should be. These test cases showed me that even with my incremental search, larger problems still took an exponential amount of time. In my final code I added a function to parse an external file and created a test case of my own for my program to run through. This test case was long enough to show the exponential time on my graph but still completed in a reasonable amount of time. 12 How you managed the code development: I used past code I have written as reference such as creating the graphs and parsing through the file. I also asked the professor and TAs for help when needed. I have a function to handle each part of the problem (ie parse file, test wff, etc) and I tested at each step with print statements to ensure my code was working correctly. I tried to start small with what I was adding to the code before adding functionality such as parse file. 13 Detailed discussion of results: My project outputs the execution time for each satisfiable and unsatisfiable wff. It also displays a graph plotting the variable vs the execution time to emphasize the exponential growth of the time needed as the clauses grow in length. My results ultimately show how inefficient the DumbSAT solver is and that the incremental search does not improve its efficiency. As the wffs grow in number of variables, the execution time grows exponentially. 14 How team was organized: N/A 15 What you might do differently if you did the project again: If I did the project again I would work with at least one more person instead of alone. I know in a larger team we would need to complete a larger project, but I think collaborating with others would have made this project easier because we could bounce ideas off of each other. 16 Any additional material: