# Comparison of Syntax Formats for the Physics Derivation Graph

## Ben Payne<sup>1</sup>\*, Michael Goff<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fun, University Name & Town, city, State Zip <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, Baltimore 21228

September 8, 2015

#### Abstract

A comparison of candidates for how to express elements of the graph. It is assumed here that the Introduction to the Physics Derivation Graph has been read.

## 1 Introduction

The Physics Derivation Graph is a project designed to capture mathematical physics knowledge.

In this report, we compare methods of capturing mathematical syntax required to describe derivations in physics. This survey covers LATEX, Mathematical Markup Language (MathML)[1], Mathematica[2], and SymPy[3]. For MathML, both Presentation and Content forms are included.

User cares about previous experience, how wide spread in their community, speed, ease of input, presentation (rendering), ability to access content across devices, OS independence, ease of setup.

Evaluate criteria relevant to users, including the ability to manually input syntax (section 3), the ability to transform between representations (section 4.1), and the ability to audit correctness (section 4.2).

It is vital that a single syntax be used for the graph content. Suppose each syntax is used for its intended purpose – LaTeXfor rendering equation, SymPy for the CAS, and MathML for portability. This introduces a significant source of error when a single equation requires three distinct representation. The manual entry could result in the three representations not being sychronized. Thus, a single representation satisfying multiple criteria is needed. If no single syntax meets all the needs of the Physics Derivation Graph, then the requirements must be prioritized.

This comparison is between syntax methods which do not serve the same purpose. IATEX is a type-setting language, while Mathematica and SymPy are Computer Algebra Systems (CAS). The reason these

approaches for rendering and CAS were picked is twofold: they are widely used in the scientific community and they address requirements for the Physics Derivation Graph.

We can ignore syntax methods which do not support notation necessary for describing physics. Example of this include ASCII[4] and HTML[5]. Storage of the generated content (essentially a knowledge base for all of physics) isn't expected to exceed a Gigabyte, so compactness in terms of storage isn't a criterion in this evaluation.

## 2 Test Cases

In order to demonstrate the variety of uses in distinct domains of Physics, a set of test cases are provided in this section. These cases are not meant to be exhaustive of either the syntax or the scientific domain. Rather, they are examples of both capability requirements of the Physics Derivation Graph and of the syntax methods.

Case 1 is a second order polynomial. Algebra

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0 \tag{1}$$

Case 2, Stoke's theorem, includes integrals, cross products, and vectors. Calculus

$$\int \int_{\Sigma} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} d\vec{r} = \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \vec{F} d\vec{r}$$
 (2)

Case 3: Tensor analysis. Einstein notation: contravariant = superscript, covariant = subscript. Used in electrodynamics

$$Y^i(X_j) = \delta^i_{\ j} \tag{3}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: ben.is.located@gmail.com

	Table 1:	Character	Count of	Test	Cases
--	----------	-----------	----------	------	-------

Name	case 1	case 2	case 3	case 4a	case 4b	case 4c
Latex	20	101	26	45	39	110
PMathML	324	538	348	372	250	
CMathML	381					
Mathematica						
SymPy						

Case 4 covers notation used in Quantum Mechanics. Symbols such as  $\hbar$  and Dirac notation are typically used.

Case 4a is the creation operator

$$\hat{a}^+|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle\tag{4}$$

Case 4b is the uncertainty principle

$$\sigma_x \sigma_p \ge \frac{\hbar}{2} \tag{5}$$

Character count for the MathML was carried out using

wc -m mathML\_presentation\_case\*.xml

# 4 Qualitative Comparisons of Syntax Methods

LATEX, MathML, and SymPy are free and open source. Mathematica is proprietary and not free.

For Physicists comfortable writing journal articles in IATEX or exploring ideas in Mathematica, these are natural syntax methods. Both IATEX and Mathematica are concise, making them intuitive to read and quick to enter. MathML is a verbose syntax which is lengthy to manually enter and yield difficult to read the native XML.

Unicode is needed to support Dirac notation and any other non-ASCII text in MathML

#### 4.1 Transform Syntax

Wolfram Research offers the ability to convert from Mathematica expressions to MathML on their site www.mathmlcentral.com

A CAS typically produces output syntax such as LATEX or MathML in a single format. However, there are often many ways to represent the same math, e.g. Eq. 7.

#### 4.2 Audit Correctness of Derivations

One reason Computer Algebra Systems such as Mathematica and SymPy were included in this survey was

Case 4c: Lüders projection

$$|\psi\rangle \to \sum_{n} |c_n|^2 P_n$$
, where  $P_n = \sum_{i} |\psi_{ni}\rangle\langle\psi_{ni}|$  (6)

# 3 Quantitative Comparison of Test Cases

to address the requirement of checking correctness of derivations.

IATEXand Presentation MathML are intended for rendering equations and are not easily parsed consistently by a CAS. For example, scientists and mathematicians often render the same partial differential operation in multiple ways:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} F = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \dot{F} = \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial t} = \ddot{F}. \tag{7}$$

All of these are equivalent.

### 5 Conclusions

This survey covered IATEX, Mathematica, MathML, and SymPy as candidates for syntax methods to be used for the Physics Derivation Graph. Although IATEXis intuitive for scientists and is concise, it is a typesetting language and not well suited for the web or use in Computer Algebra Systems (CAS). Mathematica is also concise and has wide spread use by scientists, though its cost limits accessibility. Mathematica is also proprietary, which limits the ability to explore the correctness of this CAS

LATEXis concise and is widely used in the scientific community. It does not work well for portability to other representations and is ill-suited for use by CAS. For the initial phases of development for the Physics Derivation Graph, portability and compatibility with a CAS are not the priority. Since getting content into the graph is the priority, the LATEX representation will be used.

If a different syntax is needed in the future (i.e., for a CAS), then each LaTeX expression will need to be translated.

# 6 Bibliography

# References

[1] Mathematical markup language (mathml) version 3.0 2nd edition, 2014.

- [2] Inc. Wolfram Research. *Mathematica*. Champaign, Illinois, version 10.0 edition, 2014.
- [3] SymPy Development Team. SymPy: Python library for symbolic mathematics, 2014.
- [4] American standard code for information interchange, 1968.
- [5] Html 4.01 specification, 1999.

## A Test cases in Latex and MathML

## A.1 Case 1: polynomial

```
ax^2 + bx + c = 0
                                                                                                  (8)
Latex:
a x^2 + b x + c = 0
   SymPy:
#!/bin/python
import sympy
a = sympy.Symbol('a')
b = sympy.Symbol('b')
c = sympy.Symbol('c')
x = sympy.Symbol('x')
a*(x**2) + b*x + c == 0
   Presentation MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
<mrow>
  <mrow>
    \mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{cmn}}} a<\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{mn}}}
    <msup>
      <mi>x</mi>
      <mn>2</mn>
    </msup>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mrow>
      <mn>b</mn>
      <mo>&InvisibleTimes;</mo>
      <mi>x</mi>
    </mrow>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mn>c</mn>
  </mrow>
  < mo > = </mo >
  <mn>0</mn>
</mrow>
Content MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
<apply>
 <eq/>
  <apply>
   <plus/>
    <apply>
     <ci>a</ci>
     <power/><ci> x </ci><ci> 2 </ci>
     </apply>
    <apply>
```

```
<ci>b</ci> <ci> x </ci>
     </apply>
    <apply>
    <ci>ci>c</ci>
    </apply>
   </apply>
   <apply>
    <cn>0</cn>
  </apply>
</apply>
```

### A.2 Case 2: Stoke's theorem

$$\int \int_{\Sigma} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} d\vec{r} = \oint_{\partial \Sigma} \vec{F} d\vec{r} \tag{9}$$

Latex:

```
\int_{\infty} \sqrt{F}\cdot dv e^{F}\cdot dv
  SymPy:
#!/bin/python
import sympy
\# \left( \sum_{s\in \mathbb{F}} \det d\right) = \int_{s\in \mathbb{F}} ds \ d\
# http://docs.sympy.org/0.7.3/tutorial/calculus.html#integrals
x = sympy.Symbol('x')
sympy.integrate(x,x) == sympy.integrate(x,x)
```

```
Presentation MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
<mo>&#x222B;</mo>
<msub>
    <mo>&#x222B;</mo>
    <mo>&#x3A3;</mo>
</msub>
<mover>
  <mo>&#x2207;</mo>
  <mo>&rarr;</mo>
</mover>
<mo>&#x2A2F;</mo>
<mover>
<mi>F</mi>
<mo>&rarr;</mo>
</mover>
<mi>&#xB7;</mi>
\mbox{mi>d</mi>}
<mo>&#x3A3;</mo>
< mo> = </mo>
<msub>
    <mo>&#x222E;</mo>
    <mrow>
        <mo>&#x2202;</mo>
```

```
<mo>&#x3A3;</mo>
    </mrow>
</msub>
 <mover>
<mi>F</mi>
<mo>&rarr;</mo>
 </mover>
<mi>&#xB7;</mi>
<mi>d</mi>
<mover>
<mi>r</mi>
<mo>&rarr;</mo>
</mover>
Content MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
A.3 Case 3: Tensor analysis
                                        Y^i(X_j) = \delta^i_{\ j}
                                                                                         (10)
Latex:
Y^i(X_j) = \beta_i(X_j)
  SymPy:
#!/bin/python
import sympy
# Y^i(X_j) = \Delta_i^i\{j\}
# http://docs.sympy.org/dev/modules/tensor/tensor.html
Y = sympy.Symbol('Y')
X = sympy.Symbol('X')
  Presentation MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
<msup>
    <mi>Y</mi>
    <mi>i</mi>
</msup>
<mo maxsize='1'>(</mo>
<msub>
    <mi>X</mi>
    <mi>j</mi>
</msub>
<mo maxsize='1'>)</mo>
< mo > = </mo >
<msubsup>
    <mtext>&#x394;</mtext>
    <mrow>
        <mspace width='1em'></mspace>
        <mi>j</mi>
```

```
</mrow>
    <mi>i</mi>
</msubsup>
Content MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
A.4 Case 4a: creation operator
                                    \hat{a}^+|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle
                                                                                         (11)
\hat{a}^+ |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle
  SymPy:
#!/bin/python
import sympy
\# \hat{a}^+ |n\rangle = \frac{n+1}{n+1} |n+1\rangle
# http://docs.sympy.org/dev/modules/physics/secondquant.html
n = sympy.Symbol('n')
sympy.sqrt(n+1)
  Presentation MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
<msup>
<mover>
    <mtext>a</mtext>
<mo>&#x5E;</mo>
</mover>
    <mo>&#x2020;</mo>
</msup>
<mo maxsize='1'>|</mo>
<mi>n</mi>
<mo maxsize='1'>&#x232A;</mo>
< mo> = </mo>
<msqrt>
    mi>n</mi>
    <mo>+</mo>
    <mn>1</mn>
</msqrt>
<mo maxsize='1'>|</mo>
mi>n</mi>
<mo>+</mo>
<mn>1</mn>
```

-

Content MathML:

<mo maxsize='1'>&#x232A;</mo>

<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">

## A.5 Case 4b: uncertainty principle

### A.6 Case 4c: Lüders projection

$$|\psi\rangle \to \sum_{n} |c_n|^2 P_n$$
, where  $P_n = \sum_{i} |\psi_{ni}\rangle\langle\psi_{ni}|$  (13)

 $\label{local_psi_rangle} $$ \operatorname{\c_n}^2 P_n, \ \operatorname{\c_n}^2 P_n = \sum_i |\pi_i|^2 \pi_i \leq \exp_i \|\pi_i\|^2 \right] $$$ 

SymPy:

```
#!/bin/python
import sympy
# |\psi\rangle \rightarrow \sum_n |c_n|^2 P_n,\ \rm{where}\ P_n =
\sum_i |\psi_{ni}\rangle \langle \psi_{ni}|
omega = sympy.Symbol('omega') # from outputs
f = sympy.Symbol('f') # from inputs
pi = sympy.pi
```

Presentation MathML:

```
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
</math>
    Content MathML:
<math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">
</math>
```