

Hello,

Congratulations on completing your B.Tech. I hope you had a great time here.

I had the thought of writing this mail since a while back. I was severely hurt after what happened a few days ago. There were two choices in front of me. First was to mutely accept the wrongs committed on me and second was to fight against it by expressing my discontent so that such unprofessional acts do not happen again. And I decided to go with the latter.

I started writing for Entelechy in my first year and have worked under different conveners and committee members that have given me a fair idea of determining the distinction between what Entelechy is (currently) and what it ought to be. I still remember Tarunima's vision, Sandeep's expectations which they mentioned in their respective farewells. And this last year has been a total deviation from that.

Press Club has become so damn **exclusive**. The chatters and chirps of inclusiveness is just a garb under which favoritism and crony politics is played. Group-ism is rampant in Pressclub. It is only being ignored. There is so much division and I don't know what social fabric you are talking about. It has already been corroded by your favoritism tactics.

Today, we are so much busy in doing self-praise. We feel complacent and think that Entelechy is going great guns, which is far from reality. *This unnecessary self-praise has to end.* Selling the magazine gave us a big reality check. Hardly anyone seemed interested in buying the print apart from those connected to Press Club directly or indirectly. This should make us feel concerned about the magazine.

Never before have I felt so marginalized. Never before has my work been so much belittled. Never before have I seen fingers being raised on my character and my whole hard work of three years reduced to ashes as I have seen lately.

I have been titled as authoritative by you and your pals. What amazes me is that no one (not even you or others) came up to me even once this year and said that I was indeed authoritative. In fact, you all were very happy that the work was being done and you didn't have to do anything. Or you were ignorant of things that were to be done in the committee. In that case, you should be grateful that I covered up for the ignorance.

I have been given the reason that a second year person should be the convener and I should become a mentor. You could have justified your stance if you had given the post of convener to me and made yourself a mentor. YOU have been more authoritative than anyone else. Pressclub has been running in a particular way and the earlier committees have known why it should run in this way. No one has got any special smartness to change it.

It is strange that you stopped us (me and Yognik) from telling certain details to juniors which you yourself did freely. It has filled me with anger unspeakable to see two core-committee members being brainwashed to stop a deserving person from becoming the convener. You called me **power-hungry**, but I saw how **adamant** you and 'your' current convener were. You didn't want to let go of

the post of convener and that's a very subtle way of displaying hunger for power. And secondly, to be hungry for power, *you should first be deserving of that power.*

All reasons that you conveyed me & 'told' your fellow cronies to confront me with were baseless and hollow. They could not give justification for your politics of favoritism. I am also surprised as to why the other two second yearites were not considered (read brainwashed/influenced) to become the convener. They have done the same amount of work for the Pressclub or probably more. Is this because it goes against your idea of feminism? If '*this*' is your idea of Feminism that a girl should be on the topmost post with no regards to credibility, be assured that I will end this feminism in the time to come. In fact, this goes against the idea of actual feminism, that we should try to promote. If you are basking in the glory of your victory thinking that a particular person will be inducted in the committee by making Samriddhi the convener, trust me, **THAT WON'T HAPPEN.** I have seen enough of these favoritism tactics and I will eliminate every bit of it.

I have come to know how you cornered certain people in the beginning of this semester with your friends sitting by your side. What right have you got to do that? Had I come to know it then, I would have dealt it seriously. People can have dissenting views, ideologies and no one has the right to impose his/her views on them. Any such undignified act will receive serious action from my side.

Also, *most active member* nominations are filled for those who have done the work irrespective of whether they have received it previously or not. We are not here to give awards just to motivate people and not considering whether they deserve it or not. **Meritocracy has been brutally murdered.** All we are busy with is giving doles to people.

I have seen how outside people have influenced the decision making so much even when they have done nothing for the club. These people should stay away from giving advices to anyone in matters concerning the Pressclub.

I have been a victim of your politics of favoritism wherein you have sidelined people with merit. The current convener was heavily influenced by you and your pals into joining the Anti-Vedant block and become the convener. It was clearly visible that she hadn't any personal reason for becoming the convener. Here, **I would make it clear that I bear no ill-will towards Samriddhi as she is a perfectly fine writer. The same goes for the other two.** But, she lacked any **statement of purpose**, something which any self-interested candidate has.

Therefore, I, very politely, **refuse to accept her as the convener.** I will do whatever is good for the club and will involve the right people.

Take care in future. All the best.

Regards,

Vedant Tikku