```
Alex Mosseri
```

- 1a) Theta(n)
- 1b) theta(n^2)
- 1c) theta(log(n))

```
2a)
3n^4 <= 3n^4 + 8n^3 - 3n <= 3n^4 + 8n^4
3n^4 <= 3n^4 + 8n^3 - 3n <= 11n^4

2b) sqrt(17n^2 + 4n - 7)
17n <= sqrt(17n^2 + 4n - 7) <= 17n + 4n
17n <= sqrt(17n^2 + 4n - 7) <= 21n
```

2c)

We say that f(n) = O(g(n)) if there exists positive real constant C and a positive integer constant $n(\operatorname{sub0})$ such that f(n) <= c(g(n)) for all $n >= n(\operatorname{sub0})$. and then if g(n) = O(h(n)) then there exists positive real constant C and a positive integer constant $n(\operatorname{sub0})$ such that g(n) <= c(h(n)) for all $n >= n(\operatorname{sub0})$. So then at the conclusion you could say that f(n) = O(h(n)) if there exists positive real constant C and a positive integer constant $n(\operatorname{sub0})$ such that f(n) <= c(h(n)) for all $n >= n(\operatorname{sub0})$.

3) The running time of this is Theta(log(n)). This is it is proportional to the depth of the recursion tree. At each level, the square root of n is taken.

4)

- 1) The worst case run time for reverse1(last) is O(n^2) because it is going through a for loop n amount of times and then it is also inserting elements into the list at the 0 index which always pushes the data over one when adding another element.
- 2) The worst case run time for reverse2(lst) is O(n) because it is going through the list n amount of times and then it is appending to the end of the list which is a constant amortized