## Continous benchmarking of single-cell tools using RENKU

# A framework for open and continuous community benchmarking of bioinformatic tools

Project Website: https://renkulab.io/projects/omnibenchmark
Source code: https://renkulab.io/gitlab/omnibenchmark

License: Apache License, Version 2.0

Almut Luetge<sup>1,2</sup> Anthony Sonrel<sup>1,2</sup> Oksana Riba Grognuz<sup>3</sup> Izaskun Mallona<sup>1,2</sup> Charlotte Soneson<sup>2,4</sup> Pierre-Luc Germain<sup>1,2,5</sup> Federico Marini<sup>6</sup> Gavin Lee<sup>3</sup> Tao Sun<sup>3</sup> Christine Choirat<sup>3</sup> Rok Roškar<sup>3</sup> Mark D. Robinson<sup>1,2</sup>

Institute for Molecular Life Sciences, University of Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Switzerland <sup>3</sup> Swiss Data Science Center, ETH Zurich and EPFL, Switzerland

' Swiss Data Science Center, ETH Zurich and EPFL, Switzeriai <sup>'</sup> Friedrich Miescher Institute, Basel, Switzerland

D-HEST Institute for Neurosciences, ETH Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>6</sup> Center for Thrombosis and Hemostasis (CTH), Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany

#### **BACKGROUND**

**Benchmarking** is a critical step for the development of bioinformatic methods and provides important insights for their application.

The current benchmarking scheme has many **limitations**:

- it is a **snapshot** of the available methods at a certain time point.
- it can be **outdated**, already at the time of a publication. **low comparability**: different procedures, different datasets, different evaluation criteria. all of the above can lead to **different conclusions** among benchmarks made at different time points or at different groups.

#### **CONCEPT**

- ✓ Here we propose a new **modular** and **extensible** framework based on a free open-source analytic platform, **RENKU**, to offer a continuous and open **community benchmarking system**.
- ✓ The framework consists of data, method and metric repositories (or "modules") that are connected via a knowledge graph from the RENKU system.
- ✓ The results could then be displayed in an **interactive dashboard** to be openly explored by any analyst looking for recommendations of tools.
- ✓ Any new data, method or metric can be added by the **community** to extend the benchmark.

#### Key features of the developed benchmarking framework:

- Update the results of the benchmark periodically to provide the analysts with the latest recommendations
- Easy extendable thanks to templates for data, methods or metrics
- Follow the FAIR principles thanks to a Docker image system, an integration with Gitlab and the full provenance (tracking of inputs, commands and generated files)
- Use a **variety of programming languages** commonly used in bioinformatics: R, Python, Bash, Julia,...




Figure 1: Overview of the proposed benchmarking framework on the RENKU platform. Each step of the benchmark consists of a set of repositories (a.k.a "modules") that perform a coordinated task (e.g. standardized data processing). Any new data, method or metric can be easily integrated in the framework by the scientific community to trigger a continuous delivery to the analysts with up-to-date recommendations. Each component of the framework is automatically tracked by the knowledge graph of RENKU and contain Docker images to provide fully reproducible results.

#### **PROTOTYPE**

Based on the above concept, we are currently building a prototype for community-based benchmarking of single-cell batch correction methods. Research in **single-cell RNA-seq** (scRNA-seq) is a perfect use case, where 900 tools have been developed in only a few years [1] and where the benchmarking efforts are often **not** coordinated, **not** extendable and **not** reproducible.

#### Our prototype consists of:

- Two datasets of 2370 genes x 3613 cells separated in 2 batches and 1401 genes x 13575 cells separated in 3 batches [2, 3].
- Common preprocessing consisting of normalization, highly-variable genes selection, dimensionality reduction.
- An integration using the MNN method [4].
- Evaluating batch-effect using the LISI metric [5] and cms score [3].
- Exploring the results using the interactive browser bettR [6].





Figure 2: Example of the proposed framework applied to benchmark batch correction methods of scRNA-seq data. Datasets are uniformly processed and passed to a batch-correction method of a separated module. Results are displayed in a shiny app for an interactive exploration of the results. All modules are connected through the RENKU knowledge graph, which allows to track workflows from a set of repositories using different environments and programming languages.



**RENKU** is an open and collaborative platform which provides a knowledge infrastructure for the entire research life cycle. The platform and its tools are built on top of a stack of opensource components and aims to make data science reproducible.

#### On **RENKU**:

- As RENKU is based on cloud computing fully interactive sessions can be accessed through the browser, with no local installation needed or through a CLI.
- Versioning and containers ensure precise and reproducible computational environments.
- Datasets and workflows are automatically tracked in a **knowledge graph**, which can be queried from within a project, a group of projects or even across deployments.
- Workflows can be re-run or updated automatically when inputs such as datasets or scripts change.
- CI/CD can be leveraged to automate cumbersome tasks, such as fetching results of a piece of analysis to integrate into a dashboard.



### References

- 1. Zappia L, Phipson B, Oshlack A. Exploring the single-cell RNA-seq analysis landscape with the scRNA-tools database. PLOS Computational Biology. 2018;14:e1006245. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006245.
- 2. Su S, Tian L, Dong X, Hickey PF, Freytag S, Ritchie ME. CellBench: R/Bioconductor software for comparing single-cell RNA-seq analysis methods. Bioinformatics. 2020;36:2288–90. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz889.
- 3. Lütge A, Zyprych-Walczak J, Kunzmann UB, Crowell HL, Calini D, Malhotra D, et al. CellMixS: Quantifying and visualizing batch effects in single-cell RNA-seq data. Life Science Alliance. 2021;4. doi:10.26508/lsa.202001004.
- 4. Haghverdi L, Lun ATL, Morgan MD, Marioni JC. Batch effects in single-cell RNA-sequencing data are corrected by matching mutual nearest neighbors. Nature Biotechnology. 2018;36:421–7. doi:10.1038/nbt.4091.
- 5. Korsunsky I, Millard N, Fan J, Slowikowski K, Zhang F, Wei K, et al. Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony. Nature Methods. 2019;16:1289–96. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0619-0.
- 6. Marini F, Soneson C. Bettr: A better way to explore what is best. 2021. https://github.com/federicomarini/bettr. Accessed 19 Jul 2021.





