# Module 5 - Spooky Authorship Identification

#### Group 13

- Aidan Lonergan
- Daniel Lillard
- Radhika Garg
- Claudine Uwiragiye

## Objective

- 1. Accurately identify the author of the sentences in the test set
- 2. Perform all work with Apache Spark

### Stage 0 - Import Data

- 1. Create a code notebook called: code\_6\_of\_10\_data\_mine\_group13.ipynb
- 2. Load the dataset into Spark data objects and explore structure, size, and distribution of information

```
In [1]: # Stage 0 Solution
    from pyspark.sql import SparkSession
    import pandas as pd

# Start spark session and load train and test data sets
    spark = SparkSession.builder.appName("Module_5_Project").getOrCreate()
    df_train = spark.read.csv('./train.csv', header=True, inferSchema=True, quote='"',
```

#### Summary

```
In [2]: # Print size and descriptive statistics
print("==== DataSet Shape ====")
print(f"{len(df_train.columns)} columns\n{df_train.count()} rows\n")

print("==== DataSet Descriptive Statistics ====")
print(df_train.describe().show())

print("\n=== DataSet Unique Authors ====")
print(df_train.select('author').distinct().show())
```

```
==== DataSet Shape ====
3 columns
19579 rows
==== DataSet Descriptive Statistics ====
+----+
                     textlauthorl
+----+
 count | 19579 | 19579 | 19579 |
  mean | NULL |
                     NULL| NULL|
| stddev| NULL|
                     NULL| NULL|
   min|id00001|" Odenheimer, res...| EAP|
   max|id27971|you could not hop...| MWS|
+----+
None
==== DataSet Unique Authors ====
|author|
+----+
  MWS
 HPL
 EAP
+----+
None
```

## Stage 1 - Data Preparation (Exploratory data analysis and text mining pre-processing)

- 1. Perform exploratory data analysis and create visualizations and tables as needed
- 2. Text Preprocessing: perform tasks like tokenization and stopwords removal to clean text data
  - Tokenize split the text into individual words aka tokens.
  - Remove stop.words frequently used pronouns and personal references.
    - Top ten include: I, you, he, she, it, we, they, me, him, her
  - Lemmatization convert words to their root (optional).
    - Lemmatization is a text normalization technique that reduces words to their base or dictionary form (lemma). Use to reduce inflected or derived words to their root form for better analysis and modeling outcomes

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from pyspark.sql.functions import col, split, explode, length, lower, regexp_replac
from collections import Counter
import re
import nltk
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
```

```
nltk.download('stopwords')
        stop words = set(stopwords.words('english'))
        # Clean and lowercase text, remove punctuation
        df_train_cleaned = df_train.withColumn("clean_text", lower(regexp_replace(col("text")))
        # Tokenize into words then filter out empty strings after tokenization
        df train words = df train cleaned.withColumn("word", explode(split(col("clean text")
        # Remove stop words
        df_train_filtered = df_train_words.filter(~col("word").isin(stop words))
       df_train_filtered.show(10)
       [nltk_data] Downloading package stopwords to
      [nltk_data] C:\Users\aflon\AppData\Roaming\nltk_data...
      [nltk_data] Package stopwords is already up-to-date!
      +----+
           id|
                             text|author| clean_text|
                                                                   word
      +-----+
      |id26305|This process, how...|EAP|this process howe...|process||id26305|This process, how...|EAP|this process howe...|however|
      |id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...| afforded|
      |id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...| means|
       |id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...|ascertaining|
      |id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...| dimensions|
       |id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...| dungeon|
      |id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...|
                                                                might|
      |id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...| make|
|id26305|This process, how...| EAP|this process howe...| circuit|
      +-----+
      only showing top 10 rows
In [4]: # Stage 1 Analysis and Visualizations
        from pyspark.sql.window import Window
        from pyspark.sql import functions as F
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        import seaborn as sns
        sns.set style("whitegrid")
        # ----- CHART 1: Most Frequent Word Lengths -----
        # Get word frequency
        df_word_freq = df_train_filtered.groupBy("word").agg(count("*").alias("frequency"))
        # Get top 30 most frequent words
        df_top_words = df_word_freq.orderBy(col("frequency").desc()).limit(30)
        # Convert to pandas for sns
        pdf_top_words = df_top_words.toPandas()
        # Plot Chart 1
        plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
        sns.barplot(data=pdf_top_words, x="word", y="frequency", color="skyblue")
        plt.xticks(rotation=45, ha="right")
```


# Get stop words


```
plt.title("Top 30 Most Frequent Non-Stopwords")
plt.xlabel("Words")
plt.ylabel("Frequency")
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
# ----- CHART 2: Most Frequent Word Lengths -----
# Get word Lengths
df word lengths = df train filtered.withColumn("length", length(col("word")))
# Group by author and length, then count occurrences
df_grouped = df_word_lengths.groupBy("author", "length").agg(count("*").alias("coun")
# Convert to pandas for sns
pdf_word_lengths = df_grouped.toPandas()
# Plot chart 2
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
sns.barplot(data=pdf_word_lengths, x="length", y="count", hue="author")
plt.title("Most Frequent Word Lengths by Author (Excluding Stop Words)")
plt.xlabel("Word Length")
plt.ylabel("Count")
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
# ----- CHART 3: Top 10 Longest Words per Author ------
# Group by author and word, get Length of word
df_longest = df_word_lengths.groupBy("author", "word") \
    .agg(count("*").alias("count"),
   F.max(length(col('word'))).alias('length')
)
# Rank words by length within each author
windowSpec = Window.partitionBy("author").orderBy(col("length").desc())
# Get top 10 words per author
df_top_longest = df_longest.withColumn("rank", row_number().over(windowSpec)).filte
# Convert to pandas for sns
pdf_longest = df_top_longest.select("author", "word", "length").toPandas()
# Plot chart 3
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 10))
sns.barplot(data=pdf_longest, x="length", y="word", hue="author")
plt.title("Top 10 Longest Words per Author")
plt.xlabel("Word Length")
plt.ylabel("Word")
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
# ---- CHART 4: count unique words by author ------
# Get unique words per author
df_unique_words = df_train_filtered.select("author", "word").distinct()
# Count the unique words per author
df word diversity = df unique words.groupBy("author").count().withColumnRenamed("co
```

```
# Convert to pandas for sns
pdf_diversity = df_word_diversity.toPandas()


# Define custom color palette
palette = {"EAP": "#4C72B0", "HPL": "#DD8452", "MWS": "#55A868"}


# Plot chart 4
plt.figure(figsize=(7, 5))
sns.barplot(data=pdf_diversity, x="author", y="unique_word_count", hue="author", pa
plt.title("Word Diversity (Unique Words Used) per Author")
plt.xlabel("Author")
plt.ylabel("Unique Word Count")
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```





Top 10 Longest Words per Author





## Stage 2 - Feature Extraction

- Perform TFIDF to quantify word importance https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tf%E2%80%93idf
- 2. Normalize is scaling or standardizing the numerical features to a standard range or distribution
  - In text mining, normalization vectorizes features with methods like TFIDF, a numerical measurement, to ensure a consistent scale
  - It handles variations in the magnitude of feature values impacting machine-learning algorithm performance. Normalize the features to ensure a similar scale and prevent features with larger values from dominating the analysis or modeling process

```
In [5]: # Stage 2 - TFIDF and Normalization
    from pyspark.sql.functions import col, collect_list, struct, first
    from pyspark.ml.feature import HashingTF, IDF
    from pyspark.ml.feature import Normalizer
    from pyspark.sql.types import StringType, ArrayType

# Aggregate words into list per id, author (currently they are a single field per r
    df_grouped = df_train_filtered.groupBy("id", "author").agg(
        collect_list("word").alias("words"),
        first('clean_text').alias('clean_text')
)
```

```
# Compute HashingTF
hashingTF = HashingTF(inputCol='words', outputCol='tf', numFeatures=4096) # I select
tf_data_train = hashingTF.transform(df_grouped)

# Compute IDF
idf = IDF(inputCol='tf', outputCol='tfidf', minDocFreq=3)
idf_model_train = idf.fit(tf_data_train)
tfidf_data_train = idf_model_train.transform(tf_data_train)

# Normalize the data
normalizer = Normalizer(inputCol='tfidf', outputCol='tfidf_norm', p=2.0)
tfidf_data_train = normalizer.transform(tfidf_data_train)

# Drop unneeded columns and show a few rows
tfidf_data_train = tfidf_data_train.drop('tf', 'words')
tfidf_data_train.select('tfidf').show(truncate=False)
```


```
|tfidf
[(4096,[1443,2605,3302,3695,3950],[4.593843656082007,3.5399892680553915,6.0979210528
58282,4.962129760948418,5.538305264922859])
[(4096,[102,167,1204,1370,1520,2934,3433,3812],[5.086320141179802,4.313766183015446,
6.144441068493174,6.746616470847393,3.5208082092035475,3.342524731158873,4.933350796
398375,7.802669145096707])
|(4096,[205,433,455,630,1024,1097,1185,1355,1369,1607,1650,1667,1844,1942,1982,2510,
2528,2608,2807,3168,3327,3383,3417,3629,3710,3909,4027],[4.764116874359788,4.0071799
55924512,6.355750162160382,4.053165069166336,5.1029871936650135,4.007179955924512,6.
624014148755061,6.1932312326626064,4.431072233210842,5.451293887933229,5.56462257324
0232,3.963216832503396,5.578045593572373,5.154722868064202,5.297143208105971,7.04889
7342720326,5.181630320984127,7.048897342720326,5.970087681348397,6.218549040646896,
5.874777501544072,4.940468264167238,6.144441068493174,5.619430809735227,5.3823010164
46277,7.243053357161284,5.7077234168809055])
[(4096,[2194,2357],[5.338815904506538,5.551377346490212])
(4096, [131, 147, 352, 380, 389, 419, 574, 726, 883, 942, 1120, 1520, 1602, 1608, 1728, 1903, 2011, 2
273,2279,2376,2589,2761,2768,2801,2835,2868,2973,3106,3115,3148,3202,3283,3286,3875,
3921],[4.45716066929514,4.683613655510717,5.8567589960413935,5.804573242870823,4.819
515653749576,3.4222062323990077,5.73897596038501,4.389049243435994,4.23666378913330
5,5.086320141179802,6.624014148755061,3.5208082092035475,4.578805778717467,5.5126628
34309521,6.416374783976816,4.298614377994843,3.4222062323990077,4.247321083607293,5.
404773872298336,6.746616470847393,5.578045593572373,4.764116874359788,6.416374783976
816,5.190762804547399,7.048897342720326,4.700327136484457,5.463270078979945,6.271192
774132318,3.8347385077302647,4.466010284572122,5.821667676230123,6.031963085066484,
3.870843512372381,5.172580485464208,6.144441068493174])
(4096, [507, 535, 560, 742, 860, 1308, 1366, 1587, 1644, 1932, 1960, 2124, 2761, 2777, 2856, 3159, 3
338,3654,3665,3676,3751,4032],[5.591651245628151,4.7581467073732835,5.78776612455444
2,4.384942461483341,6.624014148755061,3.557751724395232,3.9185313431580964,4.2473210
83607293,5.053796949474242,6.991738928880378,6.298591748320432,5.7549763017314515,4.
764116874359788,5.787766124554442,4.073968196796098,6.5148148567900686,5.06182912117
1506,7.397204036988542,4.947636753645851,4.11378969098277,3.6795751695886203,5.99029
```


```
0388665916])
[(4096, [551, 748, 935, 1209, 1525, 2319, 2566, 2961, 3154, 3177, 3372, 3532, 3894, 4077], [5.11142]
6062310878,5.257137873492272,6.298591748320432,7.317161329315006,5.662602981600436,
3.839477853094161,5.393474317044403,7.802669145096707,5.061829121171506,6.7466164708
47393,4.683613655510717,5.950285054052217,4.711626691738391,6.746616470847393])
[(4096,[358,390,1727,2363,2478,3150],[3.6516292391980607,6.326762625287129,5.2377197
8763517,5.8567589960413935,3.996006655326387,5.551377346490212])
[(4096,[1010,1272,1353],[7.1095219645367616,5.086320141179802,4.116919583991698])
[(4096, [628, 898, 1239, 1353, 1366, 1496, 1882, 2350, 3263, 3323, 3540, 3725, 3736], [4.291123706]
265686,5.591651245628151,4.317590279453849,4.116919583991698,3.9185313431580964,6.24
4524527050157,7.1095219645367616,5.427763390523035,5.163611815481448,5.6626029816004
36,5.970087681348397,5.257137873492272,4.329151101854925])
[(4096,[600,937,2308,2840,3051,3864],[4.598906958038554,3.7998917764000963,4.0184795
111784455,4.233136448615337,5.3282337951760015,4.520818521067118])
(4096, [106, 303, 485, 705, 1308, 1492, 1820, 1920, 2532, 2613, 2640, 2771, 2813, 2964, 3071, 3151,
3451,3497,3539,3579,3636],[4.474938915316424,5.930866968195115,3.585001366842607,5.9
30866968195115,3.557751724395232,6.326762625287129,5.525401860086951,4.5542345179869
62,4.926283629175282,5.2669901699352835,5.181630320984127,6.168538620072235,6.053469
290287448,6.704056856428597,4.912297387200542,4.418278881750933,4.161798910169131,3.
9686076811382724,4.7581467073732835,5.8567589960413935,4.933350796398375])
[(4096,[155,496,937,976,1044,1231,1371,1675,1760,2032,2612,2733,2880,3131,3859],[6.1
68538620072235,4.912297387200542,3.7998917764000963,4.053165069166336,4.435373315110
232,4.7581467073732835,5.2869908366419525,6.326762625287129,3.8538321665458444,5.102
9871936650135,6.168538620072235,3.242234852950007,5.500084052102661,4.57880577871746
7,4.554234517986962])
[(4096,[231,344,787,796,822,1346,1835,2359,2508,2620,2690,2949,3411,3458,3645,4013],
[6.5148148567900686, 6.168538620072235, 4.563990692932326, 5.23771978763517, 5.371251180
2596925,7.684886109440323,6.480913305114387,7.579525593782497,6.83758824905312,4.926
283629175282,5.564622573240232,5.633615444727184,5.3712511802596925,3.42064251042282
53,6.075448197006223,6.075448197006223])
(4096, [1100, 1168, 1290, 1389, 1750, 1787, 1858, 2580, 2934, 3329, 3744, 3749, 3776, 3798, 3890, 3
975],[4.640363671716901,4.838685569857296,4.764116874359788,3.493549281230913,6.0109
096758686515,12.106938580574896,5.317762495308706,4.661754861698218,3.34252473115887
3,4.891678099997806,5.094618943994496,5.061829121171506,4.5302525533004765,5.8931266
40212269,5.297143208105971,5.257137873492272])
\hspace*{0.2in} \hspace*{0
3749576,3.788540916731407,3.5208082092035475,5.218671592664475,7.802669145096707,5.1
19936751978787,4.444031377853348,4.233136448615337,3.941939434056111,6.1932312326626
064,5.692455944750117,5.3282337951760015,5.821667676230123])
[(4096,[27,1629,1985,3092],[5.037923600317951,6.3856031253100625,4.053165069166336,
5.037923600317951])
[(4096,[31,121,123,370,1149,1343,1369,1575,2217,2532,2660,2687,2773,2934,3001,3079],
[3.5070858669484464,5.338815904506538,5.538305264922859,3.9185313431580964,6.0534692
90287448, 2.566892297023568, 4.431072233210842, 4.80070632179208, 4.098285504446805, 4.92
```

```
8873,5.512662834309521,3.7530604767159974])
       [(4096,[612,804,892,1051,1104,1493,2160,2422,2830,3215,3350,3783,3964],[4.2156839986
       64111,6.053469290287448,5.525401860086951,6.0109096758686515,5.564622573240232,5.328
       2337951760015, 4.089097078392399, 5.2869908366419525, 4.740447130273883, 6.8375882490531
       2,6.991738928880378,4.333034601881323,4.832254679527005])
       [(4096, [755, 1188, 1328, 1381, 2247, 2291, 2883, 3256, 3292, 3567], [5.137178558413292, 5.30739]
       9708273159,2.7377035064554036,4.5302525533004765,5.970087681348397,5.66260298160043
       6,3.941939434056111,4.954857001619338,5.564622573240232,4.838685569857296])
       only showing top 20 rows
        The data has this structure: [Vector length], [indicies], [tf-idf values]
In [6]: # Stage 2 Visualizations (ex: Most Important Word By Author)
        from pyspark.ml.feature import CountVectorizer, IDF
        from pyspark.sql.functions import col
        import pandas as pd
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        from collections import defaultdict
        # Redo TFIDF: Need to use CountVectorizer here to retain the words for future analy
        cv = CountVectorizer(inputCol='words', outputCol='tf_cv', minDF=3.0, vocabSize=4096
        cv_model = cv.fit(tf_data_train)
        tf_cv_data = cv_model.transform(tf_data_train)
        idf_cv = IDF(minDocFreq=3, inputCol='tf_cv', outputCol='tfidf')
        idf_cv_model = idf.fit(tf_cv_data)
        tfidf_cv_data = idf_cv_model.transform(tf_cv_data).drop('tf_cv')
        tfidf_cv_data.cache()
        # Here I have to switch to using Pandas, as Spark would have timeout issues when tr
        tfidf_pandas = tfidf_cv_data.select('author', 'tfidf').toPandas()
        # Group the tfidf vectors per author
        top_words_per_author = defaultdict(list)
        for _, row in tfidf_pandas.iterrows():
            author = row['author']
            vector = row['tfidf']
            for index, value in zip(vector.indices, vector.values):
                top_words_per_author[author].append((index, value))
        # Now compute the average tfidf value per word per author
        avg_tfidf_per_author = {}
        vocab = cv_model.vocabulary
```

6283629175282,3.5019881498767775,2.8285249595828663,3.3126292663622467,3.34252473115

```
for author, terms in top_words_per_author.items():
   # For each term get the sum and counts
   index_sums = defaultdict(lambda: {'sum': 0.0, 'count': 0})
   for index, value in terms:
        index_sums[index]['sum'] += value
        index_sums[index]['count'] += 1
   # Now compute the averages
   avg_tfidf = [(vocab[index], data['sum'] / data['count']) for index, data in ind
   # Sort averages by descending average value (element 1 in avg_tfidf above) and
   avg_tfidf = sorted(avg_tfidf, key=lambda x: x[1], reverse=True)[:10]
   # Assign them to the correct author
   avg_tfidf_per_author[author] = pd.DataFrame(avg_tfidf, columns=['word', 'avg(va
# Plot the best words per author
for author, df in avg_tfidf_per_author.items():
   plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
   plt.bar(df['word'], df['avg(value)'], color='lightblue')
   plt.xlabel('Word')
   plt.ylabel('Average TF-IDF')
   plt.title(f'Top 10 Words for {author}')
   plt.xticks(rotation=45, ha='right')
   plt.tight_layout()
   plt.show()
```





## Stage 3 - Machine Learning

- 1. Perform train/test split
- 2. Perform algorithmic analysis to assess and predict test labels
  - Use as many algorithms as you need to get a good answer.
  - Supervised: logistic regression, random forest, support vector machines, etc.
  - Unsupervised: K-means, dimensionality reduction, PCA, etc.

```
In [7]: # Stage 3 Solution (Due by Monday 7/21)
        # Each team member will do 2 algorithms of their choosing
        # Train test split for below
        train_data, test_data = tfidf_data_train.randomSplit([0.7, 0.3], seed=42)
        train_data.cache()
        test_data.cache()
        print(f"Training set size: {train_data.count()} rows")
        print(f"Test set size: {test_data.count()} rows")
       Training set size: 13608 rows
       Test set size: 5968 rows
In [8]: # Aidan: Logistic Regression, Agglomerative Heirarchical Clustering
        # ----- Logistic Regression -----
        from pyspark.ml.classification import LogisticRegression
        from pyspark.ml.feature import StringIndexer
        from pyspark.ml.tuning import CrossValidator, ParamGridBuilder
        from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator
        # Convert author labels to numeric index
        indexer = StringIndexer(inputCol='author', outputCol='label')
        indexer_model = indexer.fit(train_data)
        indexed_train = indexer_model.transform(train_data)
        indexed_test = indexer_model.transform(test_data)
        indexed train.cache()
        indexed_train.count() # Force the train data to cache
        # Define model
        lr = LogisticRegression(featuresCol='tfidf', labelCol='label', maxIter=1000)
        # Try to tune hyper params
        paramGrid = ParamGridBuilder() \
            .addGrid(lr.regParam, [1/c for c in [0.1, 1, 10]]) \
            .build()
        evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(labelCol='label', predictionCol='pred
        cv = CrossValidator(estimator=lr,
                            estimatorParamMaps=paramGrid,
                            evaluator=evaluator,
                            numFolds=3,
                            parallelism=4)
        cv_model = cv.fit(indexed_train)
        lr_model = cv_model.bestModel
        # Predict test data and convert numerical labels back to authors
        lr_predictions = lr_model.transform(indexed_test)
        label_mapping = indexer_model.labels # Get mapping of indices to author names (e.g.
        lr_predictions = lr_predictions.withColumn("predicted_author",
            col("prediction").cast("integer").cast("string")) # Convert prediction to stri
        lr_predictions = lr_predictions.replace(
            to_replace={str(i): label_mapping[i] for i in range(len(label_mapping))},
            subset=["predicted_author"]
        )
```

```
# Print top 5 predictions
print("------ Logistic Regression Predictions -----")
lr_predictions.select("clean_text", "author", "label", "prediction").show(5, trunca
# ----- Agglomerative Heirarchical Clustering (Using Bisecting KMeans) -----
from pyspark.ml.clustering import BisectingKMeans
# Train the Bisecting KMeans model
bkm = BisectingKMeans(featuresCol='tfidf', k=3, seed=42)
bkm_model = bkm.fit(train_data)
# Cluster predictions on train and test data
bkm_train_predictions = bkm_model.transform(train_data)
bkm_test_predictions = bkm_model.transform(test_data)
# Show sample cluster assignments for training data
print("\n\n------ Train Data Cluster Assignments -----")
bkm_train_predictions.select("id", "clean_text", "author", "prediction").show(5, tr
# Show sample cluster assignments for test data
print("\n\n-----")
bkm_test_predictions.select("id", "clean_text", "prediction").show(5, truncate=Fals
```

soon they became excessively numerous like impious catacombs of nameless menace and their pungent odour of decay grew quite unbearable   HPL   2.0   0.0   he looks to number one   EAP   0.0   0.0   the other face may wear off some   HPL   2.0   0.0
write il pover huomo che non sen era accorto andava combattendo e era morto thats i talian you perceive from ariosto  EAP  0.0  0.0    it seemed in any event to be contagious for hannah bowen one of the two servants di ed of it in the following june  HPL  2.0  1.0
+ only showing top 5 rows
$ id00093 $ seems that human folks has got a kind o relation to sech water beasts that everything alive come aout o the water onct an only needs a little change to go back agin $ HPL\>$
$ id00361 $ this spirit existed as a breath a wish a far off thought until communicated to adrian who imbibed it with ardour and instantly engaged himself in plans for its execution $ MWS\>$ $ 1\>$
id01530 still i would not hurry on i would pause for ever on the recollections of t hese happy weeks i would repeat every word and how many do i remember record every e nchantment of the faery habitation $ MWS\>$  2
id01661 it first rolled down the side of the steeple then lodge for a few seconds i n the gutter and then made its way with a plunge into the middle of the street  EAP  1   ++
only showing top 5 rows

```
|prediction|
               |id00095|soon they became excessively numerous like impious catacombs of nameless me
       nace and their pungent odour of decay grew quite unbearable 1
       |id01803|he looks to number one
       |id02318|the other face may wear off some
       |id02936|write il pover huomo che non sen era accorto andava combattendo e era morto
       thats italian you perceive from ariosto
                                                           0
       |id04131|it seemed in any event to be contagious for hannah bowen one of the two ser
                                                        |1 |
       vants died of it in the following june
       +-----
       only showing top 5 rows
In [9]: # Daniel: K-means
        from pyspark.ml import Pipeline
        from pyspark.ml.feature import PCA
        from pyspark.ml.clustering import KMeans
        # pca = PCA(k=10, inputCol="tfidf_norm", outputCol="tfidf_norm_pca")
        # train data pca = pca.fit(train data).transform(train data)
        # I'd previously attempted to use a PCA, but now with the
        # hashingtf feature count being higher, I cannot run.
        kmeans = KMeans(k=3,featuresCol='tfidf_norm')
        k_means_model = kmeans.fit(train_data)
        k_means_result = k_means_model.transform(train_data)
In [10]: # Daniel: Neural network
        from pyspark.ml.classification import MultilayerPerceptronClassifier
        layers = [hashingTF.getNumFeatures(), 50, 12, 6, 6, 3]
        #layers = [hashingTF.getNumFeatures(), 25, 6, 3, 3, 3]
        # create the trainer and set its parameters
        nn_trainer = MultilayerPerceptronClassifier(maxIter=150, layers=layers,stepSize= 0.
        nn_pipeline = Pipeline(stages=[
            indexer
            ,nn_trainer
        ])
        # Fit and transform using same pipeline
        nn model = nn pipeline.fit(train data)
        nn_results = nn_model.transform(train_data)
In [11]: from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator
```

```
evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(
    labelCol='label',
    predictionCol='prediction',
    metricName='accuracy'
)

accuracy = evaluator.evaluate(nn_results)
print(f'Training Accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}')
```

Training Accuracy: 0.8474

```
In [12]: # Claudine: MulticlassClassification and LDA
         from pyspark.ml.classification import MultilayerPerceptronClassifier
         from pyspark.ml.feature import StringIndexer
         from pyspark.ml.clustering import LDA
         from pyspark.ml import Pipeline
         # Prepare Data
         data = tfidf_data_train.select("tfidf_norm", "author")
         # Convert author names to label numbers
         label_indexer = StringIndexer(inputCol="author", outputCol="label")
         indexed_data = label_indexer.fit(data).transform(data)
         # Train-test split
         train_data, test_data = indexed_data.randomSplit([0.7, 0.3], seed=42)
         # Supervised: Multilayer Perceptron
         input_size = train_data.select("tfidf_norm").first()[0].size
         layers = [input_size, 128, 64, 3]
         mlp = MultilayerPerceptronClassifier(labelCol="label", featuresCol="tfidf_norm", ma
         mlp_model = mlp.fit(train_data)
         mlp_predictions = mlp_model.transform(test_data)
         # Unsupervised: LDA
         lda = LDA(k=3, seed=42, featuresCol="tfidf_norm")
         lda model = lda.fit(tfidf data train)
         topics = lda_model.describeTopics(10)
         topics.show(truncate=False)
```

```
------
      |topic|termIndices
                                                          |termWeights
      ------
           [131, 3380, 2687, 383, 3081, 3676, 1389, 109, 991, 737]
                                                         [0.00225306685838
      2698, 0.0021549642717594, 0.0021539412945318906, 0.002016198647588732, 0.00183554223
      7109634, 0.0018245919046211857, 0.0017976855321940226, 0.0017875834392742347, 0.0016
      214113432608884, 0.0016102953337570054]
           [1343, 1328, 2687, 383, 1040, 31, 419, 2773, 485, 2011] [0.00318598322413
      0552, 0.0031553504790761333, 0.0024645380923397336, 0.0022792068775724806, 0.0021879
      806098741602, 0.002081574591825907, 0.0020686686294866218, 0.001996043324088303, 0.0
      01954779161938257, 0.001916491790465898]
           [1307, 1343, 383, 2660, 2687, 3257, 3930, 1424, 2856, 1587] [0.00279246383685
      64403, 0.002621661590321394, 0.0025252443984009093, 0.0025124291093043824, 0.0019972
      97335766142, 0.001982440967930216, 0.0018045163039332257, 0.001682679463459467, 0.00
      16734414476656321, 0.0016137434121452402]
      ______
      ----+
In [13]: # Radhika: Random Forest, NaiveBayes
       from pyspark.ml.classification import RandomForestClassifier
       from pyspark.ml.feature import StringIndexer
       from pyspark.ml.classification import NaiveBayes
       from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator
       # Train Random Forest Classifier Model
       rf = RandomForestClassifier(featuresCol='tfidf', labelCol='label', numTrees=4)
       rf_model = rf.fit(indexed_train)
```

rf\_predictions Row(id='id00095', author='HPL', clean\_text='soon they became excessiv ely numerous like impious catacombs of nameless menace and their pungent odour of de cay grew quite unbearable', tfidf=SparseVector(4096, {193: 5.0379, 294: 5.3388, 520: 4.7346, 892: 5.5254, 976: 4.0532, 1157: 4.5115, 1722: 6.624, 1733: 5.8568, 1899: 6.5 499, 2865: 5.1547, 2972: 6.032, 3110: 6.3558, 3458: 3.4206, 3560: 4.1618, 3793: 4.22 61}), tfidf\_norm=SparseVector(4096, {193: 0.2473, 294: 0.2621, 520: 0.2324, 892: 0.2 713, 976: 0.199, 1157: 0.2215, 1722: 0.3252, 1733: 0.2875, 1899: 0.3216, 2865: 0.253 1, 2972: 0.2961, 3110: 0.312, 3458: 0.1679, 3560: 0.2043, 3793: 0.2075}), label=2.0, rawPrediction=DenseVector([1.6891, 1.2156, 1.0953]), probability=DenseVector([0.422 3, 0.3039, 0.2738]), prediction=0.0) nb\_predictions Row(id='id00095', author='HPL', clean\_text='soon they became excessiv ely numerous like impious catacombs of nameless menace and their pungent odour of de cay grew quite unbearable', tfidf=SparseVector(4096, {193: 5.0379, 294: 5.3388, 520: 4.7346, 892: 5.5254, 976: 4.0532, 1157: 4.5115, 1722: 6.624, 1733: 5.8568, 1899: 6.5 499, 2865: 5.1547, 2972: 6.032, 3110: 6.3558, 3458: 3.4206, 3560: 4.1618, 3793: 4.22 61}), tfidf\_norm=SparseVector(4096, {193: 0.2473, 294: 0.2621, 520: 0.2324, 892: 0.2 713, 976: 0.199, 1157: 0.2215, 1722: 0.3252, 1733: 0.2875, 1899: 0.3216, 2865: 0.253 1, 2972: 0.2961, 3110: 0.312, 3458: 0.1679, 3560: 0.2043, 3793: 0.2075}), label=2.0, rawPrediction=DenseVector([-613.0272, -651.7795, -608.9266]), probability=DenseVecto r([0.0163, 0.0, 0.9837]), prediction=2.0)

## Stage 4 - Evaluation and Visualization


- 1. Choose a metric strategy to assess algorithmic performance like accuracy, precision, recall, or F1 score
- 2. Visualize confusion matrix, correlations, and similar
- 3. Identify important features contributing to classification
- 4. Write a 2-3 sentence minimum of findings, learnings, and what you would do next

```
In [14]: # Stage 4 Solution (Due by Monday 7/21)
         # Each team member will evaluate their models
In [15]: # Aidan
         # ----- Logistic Regression -----
         from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator
         import pandas as pd
         import seaborn as sns
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
         evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(labelCol='label', predictionCol='pred
         f1_score = evaluator.evaluate(lr_predictions)
         print(f"Logistic Regression F1 Score: {f1_score}")
         # Get confusion matrix
         df_lr_predictions = lr_predictions.select('label', 'prediction').toPandas()
         conf_mat = confusion_matrix(df_lr_predictions['label'], df_lr_predictions['predicti
         # Plot confusion matrix
         plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
         sns.heatmap(conf_mat, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Blues', xticklabels=label_mapping,
         plt.title("Logistic Regression Confusion Matrix")
```

```
plt.xlabel("Predicted Author")
plt.ylabel("True Author")
plt.show()
# ----- Agglomerative Heirarchical Clustering (Using Bisecting KMeans) ----
from pyspark.ml.evaluation import ClusteringEvaluator
from pyspark.sql.functions import count
# Calculate silhouette score
evaluator = ClusteringEvaluator(featuresCol='tfidf', predictionCol='prediction')
silhouette = evaluator.evaluate(bkm_train_predictions)
print(f"Bisecting K-Means Silhouette Score: {silhouette}")
# Map clusters to authors
cluster_author_counts = bkm_train_predictions.groupBy("prediction", "author").agg(c
cluster_author_counts.show()
# Plot cluster sizes
cluster_counts_pd = bkm_train_predictions.groupBy("prediction").count().toPandas()
plt.figure(figsize=(6, 4))
sns.barplot(data=cluster_counts_pd, x="prediction", y="count")
plt.title("Cluster Sizes (Bisecting K-Means)")
plt.xlabel("Cluster ID")
plt.ylabel("Number of Texts")
plt.show()
```


Logistic Regression F1 Score: 0.7001024067235121

Logistic Regression Confusion Matrix



Bisecting K-Means Silhouette Score: -0.019673061092032554

+	+-	+	+	
prediction author count				
+	+-	+	+	
	0	MWS	1972	
	1	MWS	1247	
	2	EAP	1278	
	2	HPL	1151	
	0	EAP	3116	
	0	HPL	1311	
	2	MWS	982	
	1	HPL	1397	
	1	EAP	1154	
+	+-	+	+	



## Aidan - Logistic Regression and Agglomerative Heirarchical Clustering (Bisecting K-Means)


1. Logistic regression did a good job of predicting the test data with minimal hyper param tuning (only 3 params and 3 folds, so 9 models), but it still only achieved ~70% for its accuracy score

Cluster ID


- Next I would dive into tuning this more in depth and perform a large cross validation with many different params and iteration counts
- 2. Bisecting K-Means did not perform well. As indicated by it's silhouette score of ~-0.01, the clusters are not well defined and probably overlap significantly.
  - I would not go any further with this algorithm for 2 reasons. It's unsupervised and would require a lot of preprocessing to get a tangible result. Since the data is labeled, a supervised algorithm would be a better fit here as seen by Logistic Regressions accuracy

```
cluster_author_counts = km_test_predictions.groupBy("prediction", "author").agg(cou
 cluster_author_counts.show()
 # Plot cluster sizes
 cluster_counts_pd = km_test_predictions.groupBy("prediction").count().toPandas()
 plt.figure(figsize=(6, 4))
 sns.barplot(data=cluster_counts_pd, x="prediction", y="count")
 plt.title("Cluster Sizes (K-Means)")
 plt.xlabel("Cluster ID")
 plt.ylabel("Number of Texts")
 plt.show()
 # ----- Neural Network -----
 test_data_nn = test_data.drop('label')
 nn_test_predictions = nn_model.transform(test_data_nn)
 nn_evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(labelCol='label', predictionCol='p
 acc_score = nn_evaluator.evaluate(nn_test_predictions)
 print(f"Neural Network Accuracy Score: {acc_score}")
 # Get confusion matrix
 nn_test_predictions = nn_test_predictions.select('label', 'prediction').toPandas()
 nn_conf_mat = confusion_matrix(nn_test_predictions['label'], nn_test_predictions['p
 # Plot confusion matrix
 plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
 sns.heatmap(nn_conf_mat, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Blues', xticklabels=label_mappi
 plt.title("Neural Network Confusion Matrix")
 plt.xlabel("Predicted Author")
 plt.ylabel("True Author")
 plt.show()
K-Means Silhouette Score: 0.0006583631811794777
```

```
+-----+
|prediction|author|count|
+-----+
| 0| MWS| 12|
| 1| MWS| 1758|
| 0| EAP| 6|
| 0| HPL| 2|
| 1| HPL| 1676|
| 1| EAP| 2284|
```



Neural Network Accuracy Score: 0.7972417463473579



#### Daniel Lillard Analysis - K means, MultilayerPerceptronClassifier

- 1. K means: This algorithm ended up doing very poorly, it grouped all the text into one single cluster, there would have to be some feature engineering done, I had tried to do a PCA, however we had to reduce the TF number of features. We would have to find a way to explode the differences between authors for this to be viable.
- 2. MultilayerPerceptronClassifier: It seems that this is a neural network. I used Keras in a previous class and found it easier to work with then this, I suppose that Spark really does make things harder! I was able to get around a 70% accuracy with minimal effort, NN's are good function approximators and I know can *theoretically* solve for any function. Some feature engineering and hyper-parameter tuning should make this model viable, unlike k-means.

```
In [ ]: # Claudine: Stage 4 Evaluation
        from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator
        # MLP Classifier
        evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(labelCol="label", predictionCol="pred
        accuracy = evaluator.evaluate(mlp_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "accuracy"})
        precision = evaluator.evaluate(mlp_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "weightedPre
        recall = evaluator.evaluate(mlp_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "weightedRecall
        f1 = evaluator.evaluate(mlp_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "f1"})
        print("Multilayer Perceptron Performance:")
        print(f"Accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}")
        print(f"Precision: {precision:.4f}")
        print(f"Recall: {recall:.4f}")
        print(f"F1 Score: {f1:.4f}")
        # Confusion Matrix
        conf_matrix_df = mlp_predictions.select("label", "prediction").toPandas()
        conf_matrix = pd.crosstab(conf_matrix_df["label"], conf_matrix_df["prediction"], ro
        # Plot confusion matrix
        plt.figure(figsize=(6, 4))
        sns.heatmap(conf_matrix, annot=True, fmt="d", cmap="Blues")
        plt.title("MLP Confusion Matrix")
        plt.tight_layout()
        plt.show()
        # LDA Topic Interpretation
        # Show top 10 term indices and term weights for each topic
        print("Top 10 Words per Topic from LDA Model:")
        topics.show(truncate=False)
        print("LDA Evaluation Metrics:")
        print("Log Likelihood:", lda_model.logLikelihood(tfidf_data_train))
        print("Perplexity:", lda_model.logPerplexity(tfidf_data_train))
        # Convert topics to Pandas DataFrame for heatmap
```

#### Claudine - Neural Network and LDA

I used accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score to evaluate the Multilayer Perceptron, which hit over 71% accuracy. The confusion matrix showed good performance in classifying authors. For LDA, I used log likelihood and perplexity to assess topic quality and reviewed the top words per topic. TF-IDF weights helped identify the most influential words in classification and topic grouping. Next, I'd try tuning MLP hyperparameters and adding features like sentence length or punctuation to see if they improve performance

```
In [ ]: # Radhika
        from pyspark.ml.evaluation import MulticlassClassificationEvaluator
        from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
        evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(labelCol="label", predictionCol="pred
        # ----- Random Forest -----
        accuracy = evaluator.evaluate(rf_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "accuracy"})
        precision = evaluator.evaluate(rf_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "weightedPred")
        recall = evaluator.evaluate(rf_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "weightedRecall"
        f1 = evaluator.evaluate(rf_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "f1"})
        print("Random Forest Algorithm Performance Metrics:")
        print(f"Accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}")
        print(f"Precision: {precision:.4f}")
        print(f"Recall: {recall:.4f}")
        print(f"F1 Score: {f1:.4f}")
        # Get confusion matrix
        df_rf_predictions = rf_predictions.select('label', 'prediction').toPandas()
        conf_matx = confusion_matrix(df_rf_predictions['label'], df_rf_predictions['predict
        # Plot confusion matrix
        plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
        sns.heatmap(conf_matx, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Blues', xticklabels=label_mapping
        plt.title("Random Forest Confusion Matrix")
        plt.xlabel("Predicted Author")
        plt.ylabel("True Author")
        plt.show()
```

```
# The confusion matrix for the random forest is very much skewed towards author Edg
# This indicates that this algorithm is not well-suited to this data, and I think t
# ----- Naive Bayes -----
accuracy = evaluator.evaluate(nb_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "accuracy"})
precision = evaluator.evaluate(nb_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "weightedPred")
recall = evaluator.evaluate(nb_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "weightedRecall"
f1 = evaluator.evaluate(nb_predictions, {evaluator.metricName: "f1"})
print("Naive Bayes Algorithm Performance Metrics:")
print(f"Accuracy: {accuracy:.4f}")
print(f"Precision: {precision:.4f}")
print(f"Recall: {recall:.4f}")
print(f"F1 Score: {f1:.4f}")
# Get confusion matrix
df_nb_predictions = nb_predictions.select('label', 'prediction').toPandas()
conf_matx = confusion_matrix(df_nb_predictions['label'], df_nb_predictions['predict
# Plot confusion matrix
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
sns.heatmap(conf_matx, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Reds', xticklabels=label_mapping,
plt.title("Random Forest Confusion Matrix")
plt.xlabel("Predicted Author")
plt.ylabel("True Author")
plt.show()
# The confusion matrix for naive bayes, on the other hand, is very well balanced. A
# quite high, indicating that this algorithm is very well-suited to this data. I th
```

#### Radhika - Random Forest and Naive-Bayes

- 1. Random Forest: The confusion matrix for the random forest is very much skewed towards author Edgar Allen Poe. Also, the accuracy for this algorithm is <50%. This indicates that this algorithm is not well-suited to this data, and I think this is because it is a classification problem.
- 2. Naive-Bayes: The confusion matrix for naive bayes, on the other hand, is very well balanced. Also, the accuracy for this algorithm is naturally quite high, indicating that this algorithm is very well-suited to this data. I think this is also because it is a classification problem.