

Project 1: 2021 (Adaline, Back Propagation and Transfer Learning)

Deadlines: Part A and B are due by April 27. Part C and Part D are due by May 11. Late penalties as below.

You should submit in pairs.

Collaboration: You may ***NOT*** share code between groups, but you may consult between groups freely on difficulties. I suggest you use the Forum to share questions and you may even schedule zoom meetings for discussions.

Part A and Part B should be submitted together before April 27. Late submissions will be accepted with a penalty of 5 points for one day to one week lateness; -10 points for between one week and two weeks late (May 11), -20 points until May 20.

Part C and Part D should be submitted by May 11 with -5 for one week late (May 18), -10 for up to two weeks (May 25) and -20 for three weeks (i.e. by June 5).

Note: There will be an additional project 2 assigned later in the semester.

All data is two dimensional, $\langle x, y \rangle$ where $-1 \leq x, y \leq 1$. The data is all data points $\langle x, y \rangle$ where x is of the form $m/100$ where m is an integer between -100 and +100 and y is of the form $n/100$ with n an integer between -100 and +100. Suppose that all data points with $x > 1/2$ and $y > 1/2$ have the value 1; all other points have the value -1.

Now suppose you do not know this; but you are given a random sample of data of size 1000 together with its value (e.g. the point $\langle 60/100, 80/100 \rangle$ has value 1; while the point $\langle 20/100, 70/100 \rangle$ has the value -1).

(Part A and Part B are due by **April 27**)

Part A. Implement the Adaline learning algorithm and show how it generalizes to develop a decision that works on all the set. Does the accuracy of the result depend on the training set? Present tables and possibly a picture indicating your results.

Suppose the data is of the size $n/10,000$ with n an integer between -10,000 and +10,000. How does this affect your choice of training data and testing data?

Part B: Now change the problem so that points such that $\langle x, y \rangle$ has value 1 only if

$$1/2 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq 3/4$$

What are the best results you obtain using an Adaline? Does the quality of the results change if you use more data? Present tables and perhaps a figure.

Part C and Part D are due by **May 11**

Part C: Now try the same with a back-propagation algorithm instead of the adaline.

You will have to define the architecture (i.e number of neurons and number of levels) You may either implement the algorithm or use a package. BUT YOU WILL NEED TO LOOK INSIDE the results of each neuron separately for Part D

Show a geometric diagram in terms of the **inputs** of the training set for the *output* of *each neuron separately* in the neural network as well as for the output neuron. Present tables of results both for training and testing.

Part D: Now use the trained neurons from the next to last level of Part 3 as input and only an Adaline for the output. (That is, you will give the adaline the output of the neurons from Part 3 in the level below the output, and train only the Adaline.)

Describe how accurate the Adaline can be. Give diagrams.

Draw whatever conclusions you think are appropriate from your results.