

# 1 Ordinals

In this section the logic is always MSO, and the structures are ordinals.

**Notations 1.** Let  $\iota_\alpha(X)$  denote that the set  $X$  is a left-closed, right-open interval isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ .

Let  $\pi_\alpha(X)$  denote that the set  $X$  is a finite union of intervals and is isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ .

Let  $\kappa_\alpha(x)$  denote that  $x$  is a multiple of  $\omega^\alpha$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\beta = \omega^\alpha$  be an ordinals and  $x, y$  some ordinals.

Then,  $[x, y)$  is isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$  iff there exists some  $z$  which is a multiple of  $\omega^\alpha$ , such that  $y$  is the least greater multiple of  $\omega^\alpha$  s.t.  $z \leq x < y$ .

*Proof.* Divide  $x = \gamma \cdot \beta + \delta$  where  $\delta < \beta$ , and as  $x + \beta = y$ ,  $y = \gamma \cdot \beta + \delta + \beta$ .

Now, as  $\omega^\alpha$  is additively indecomposable,  $\delta + \beta = \beta$ , so  $y = \gamma \cdot \beta + \beta = (\gamma + 1) \cdot \beta$ . Choosing  $z = \gamma + 1$ , indeed  $y$  is the least greter multiple of  $\omega^\alpha$ , and  $z \leq x < y$ , as we need.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Over ordinals,  $\iota_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\kappa_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* By the previous lemma,

$$\iota_\alpha(X) \iff \exists x, y, z. X = [x, y] \wedge \kappa_\alpha(z) \wedge y = \min_b \{a : z < b \wedge \kappa_\alpha(b)\}$$

$\square$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\beta = \omega^\alpha$ . Then, a set  $X$  satisfies  $\pi_\alpha$  iff it is a finite sum  $X = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$  where for  $i < m$ ,  $X_i$  is a strict prefix of some interval satisfying  $\iota_\alpha$ , and for  $i = m$ ,  $X_i$  satisfies  $\iota_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $X_i$  is indeed an interval which is less then  $\omega^\alpha$  for  $i < m$  and equals  $\omega^\alpha$  for  $i = m$ . Since  $\omega^\alpha$  is additively indecomposable, the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^m X_i$  is indeed  $\omega^\alpha$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a disjoint sum of intervals isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ .

For the other direction, suppose  $X$  is a disjoint sum of intervals isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ . Let the intervals be  $X_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $\omega^\alpha$  is additively indecomposable, necessarily  $X_i < \beta$  for  $i < m$  and  $X_m = \beta$ .

Also, note that for  $i < m$ , the interval from the left end of  $X_i$  to the right end of  $X_m$  is at least  $\omega^\alpha$ , since it contains  $X_i \cup X_m$  which is isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ , and thus there is an interval in which  $X_i$  is contained which is isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Over ordinals,  $\pi_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\iota_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* By the previous corollary, it is enough to formulate that there exists a finite set of left endpoints and right endpoints, such that except for the last ones, All the intervals are strictly the prefix of an interval isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ , and such that the last interval is isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_\alpha(X) &\iff \exists L \exists R. \\
&\quad \text{finite}(L) \\
&\quad \wedge \text{finite}(R) \\
&\quad \wedge \min(L \cup R) \in L \\
&\quad \wedge \max(L \cup R) \in R \\
&\quad \wedge \forall x, y \in L. x < y \implies \exists z \in R. x < z < y \\
&\quad \wedge \forall x, y \in R. x < y \implies \exists z \in L. x < z < y \\
&\quad \wedge \forall x \in L - \{\max L\} \forall y \in R - \{\max R\} \exists z. y < z \wedge \iota_{[x, z]} \\
&\quad \wedge \iota_{\{\max L, \max R\}}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

□

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\omega^\alpha$  be any ordinal. Let the Cantor normal form be*

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i$$

where  $\beta_i = \omega^{\alpha_i}$ .

Then, a set  $X$  satisfies  $\pi_\alpha$  iff it is an ordered sum  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$  where  $X_i$  satisfies  $\pi_{\alpha_i}$ .

*Proof.* Obviously a disjoint sum of such intervals satisfies  $\pi_\alpha$ .

For the other direction, suppose  $X$  is a union of closed intervals and is isomorphic to  $\omega^\alpha$ . Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \beta$  be the isomorphism map. Recall that  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$  where  $Y_i \cong \beta_i$ .

Let  $X_i := \varphi^{-1}(Y_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then it can easily be seen that  $X_i$  is a disjoint sum of closed intervals. □

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\omega^\alpha$  be any ordinal. Then  $\pi_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\pi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \pi_{\alpha_k}$  for some ordinals  $\beta_1 = \omega^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \beta_k = \omega^{\alpha_k}$ .*

*Proof.* This is pretty much the same trick as the previous corollary, but is very tedious to write down. □

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\omega^\alpha$  be any ordinal.*

*Over ordinals,  $\pi_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_k}$  where  $\beta_1 = \omega^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \beta_k = \omega^{\alpha_k}$ .*

*Proof.* Combine the three previous corollaries. □

**Definition 1.** *Let  $\beta > 0$  be a nonzero ordinal.*

*Let  $\xi$  be any ordinal. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be the unique ordinals such that  $\xi = \gamma\beta + \delta$ .*

*Then, define  $\xi/\beta := \gamma$  and  $\xi \% \beta := \delta$ .*

*That is,  $\xi/\beta$  is the quotient of  $\xi$  by  $\omega^\alpha$ , "rounded down".*

*Now, suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of ordinals.*

*Define  $\mathcal{C}/\beta := \{\xi/\beta : \xi \in \mathcal{C}\}$  Define  $\mathcal{C}\%_\beta := \{\xi \% \beta : \xi \in \mathcal{C}\}$*

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of ordinals, and let  $\beta_1 = \omega^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \beta_k = \omega^{\alpha_k}$  be ordinals, where  $\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_k$ , that is,  $\beta_k \mid \dots \mid \beta_1$ .

Then the logic  $MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_k}]$  is decidable over  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  
using oracles to decide  $MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_{k-1}}]$  over  $\omega^\alpha$  and over  $\mathcal{C}_{\% \beta_k}$  and decide  $MSO$  over  $\mathcal{C}_{/\beta_k}$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $\beta := \beta_k$ .

By the composition theorem, given  $\varphi$  of quantifier rank  $\rho$ , we can compute  $\psi'$ , with free variables  $\{X_\tau\}_\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a  $\rho$ -type.

such that for any  $\xi = \sum_{i \in \xi/\beta} \xi_i + \delta$ , for  $\delta < \beta$ ,

(denoting  $\xi_{\xi/\beta} := \delta$  we get  $\xi = \sum_{i \in \xi/\beta+1} \xi_i$ ),

$$\xi \models \varphi \iff \xi/\beta + 1, Q_1, \dots, Q_r \models \psi'$$

where  $Q_\tau = \{\xi_i \models \tau : i \in \xi/\beta + 1\}$

Now, since the  $Q_\tau$  are all (except maybe the last index) or nothing (except including maybe last the index), we can actually describe them with two propositional/boolean variables. That is, we can replace each time  $X_\tau$  appears in  $\psi'$ , by  $\{i < \max : y_\tau\} \cup \{\max : z_\tau\}$  where  $y_\tau$  and  $z_\tau$  are two new propositional variables, and then:

$$\xi \models \varphi \iff \xi/\beta + 1, Y_1, Z_1, \dots, Y_r, Z_r \models \psi''$$

where  $Y_\tau := \beta \models \tau$ , and  $Z_\tau := \delta \models \tau$ , and  $\psi''$  is  $\psi'$  after the replacement.

Therefore, given a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of ordinals, we first determine for each  $\tau$  what  $Y_\tau$  is, using the fact that  $\omega^\alpha$  is decidable over  $MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_{k-1}}]$ , and that over  $\omega^\alpha$  we can replace  $\kappa_{\alpha_k}$  with  $= 0$ .

Now, we calculate all the possible values of  $Z_\tau$ : for that, we check if  $\tau$  holds in all of  $\mathcal{C}_{\% \beta}$ , and if  $\neg \tau$  holds in all of  $\mathcal{C}_{\% \beta}$ .

This is possible since  $\mathcal{C}_{\% \beta}$  is decidable over  $MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_{k-1}}]$ , and again, we can replace  $\kappa_{\alpha_k}$  with  $= 0$ .

Finally, for each possible combination of values  $Y_1, Z_1, \dots, Y_r, Z_r$ , we calculate whether  $\psi''$  holds over  $\mathcal{C}_{/\beta}$ . This is possible since  $MSO$  is decidable over  $\mathcal{C}_{/\beta}$ .

Then, suppose that  $\varphi$  holds over all  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Then, it must be the case that  $\psi''$  holds for any such combination.

And also for the contrary, every ordinal  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}$  gives rise to some combination.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** Suppose in the previous theorem that  $\mathcal{C}$  is either:

1. a **single countable** ordinal:  $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi\}$
2. the class of **all countable** ordinals

Then  $MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_k}]$  is decidable.

*Proof.* For a single countable ordinal  $\xi$ :  $\omega^\alpha$  over  $MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_{k-1}}]$  is decidable by induction on  $k$  (for the induction step), since  $MSO$  is decidable over a single countable ordinal (for the base case)

$\mathcal{C}_{/\beta} = \{\xi/\beta\}$  again is decidable for the same reason.

and  $\mathcal{C}_{\% \beta} = \{\xi \% \beta\}$  is a single countable ordinal and so  $MSO$  is decidable over it.

Now, suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all countable ordinals, then  $\mathcal{C}_{/\beta} = \mathcal{C}$ , so  $MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_{k-1}}]$  is decidable over it by induction on  $k$ , or since  $MSO$  is decidable over the class of all countable ordinals (in the base case),

and  $\mathcal{C}_{\% \beta} = \{\delta : \delta < \beta\}$ .  $MSO$  is decidable over it, since a formula  $\varphi$  is true over  $\{\delta : \delta < \beta\}$  iff  $\varphi \vee \exists X. \kappa_\alpha$  is true over the class of all countable ordinals, and we have already established that this  $MSO[\kappa_\alpha]$  is decidable over a single countable ordinal.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Under the same conditions,  $MSO[\pi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \pi_{\alpha_k}]$  is decidable.*

*Proof.* Combine all the results we have had so far.  $\square$