

# 1 Ordinals

In this section the logic is always MSO, and the structures are ordinals.

**Notations 1.** Let  $\iota_\alpha(X)$  denote that the set  $X$  is a closed interval isomorphic to  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\pi_\alpha(X)$  denote that the set  $X$  is a finite union of intervals and is isomorphic to  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\kappa_\alpha(x)$  denote that  $x$  is a multiple of  $\alpha$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\alpha = \omega^\beta$  be an ordinals and  $x, y \in \alpha$  some elements.

Then,  $[x, y]$  is isomorphic to  $\alpha$  iff there exists some  $z$  which is a multiple of  $\alpha$ , such that  $y$  is the least greater multiple of  $\alpha$  and  $z \leq x < y$ .

*Proof.* Divide  $x = \gamma \cdot \alpha + \delta$  where  $\delta < \alpha$ , and as  $x + \alpha = y$ ,  $y = \gamma \cdot \alpha + \delta + \alpha$ .

Now, as  $\alpha$  is additively indecomposable,  $\delta + \alpha = \alpha$ , so  $y = \gamma \cdot \alpha + \alpha = (\gamma + 1) \cdot \alpha$ . Choosing  $z = \gamma \cdot \alpha$ , indeed  $y$  is the least greter multiple of  $\alpha$ , and  $z \leq x < y$ , as we need.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\alpha = \omega^\beta$ . Over ordinals,  $\iota_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\kappa_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* By the previous lemma,

$$\iota_\alpha(X) \iff \exists x, y, z. X = [x, y] \wedge \kappa_z \wedge y = \min_a \{a : z < a \wedge \kappa_\alpha(a)\}$$

$\square$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\alpha = \omega^\beta$ . Then, a set  $X$  satisfies  $\pi_\alpha$  iff it is a finite sum  $X = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$  where for  $i < m$ ,  $X_i$  is a strict prefix of some interval satisfying  $\iota_\alpha$ , and for  $i = m$ ,  $X_i$  satisfies  $\iota_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $X_i$  is indeed an interval which is less then  $\alpha$  for  $i < m$  and equals  $\alpha$  for  $i = m$ . Since  $\alpha$  is additively indecomposable, the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^m X_i$  is indeed  $\alpha$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a disjoint sum of intervals isomorphic to  $\alpha$ .

For the other direction, suppose  $X$  is a disjoint sum of intervals isomorphic to  $\alpha$ . Let the intervals be  $X_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $\alpha$  is additively indecomposable, necessarily  $X_i < \alpha$  for  $i < m$  and  $X_m = \alpha$ .

Also, note that for  $i < m$ , the interval from the left end of  $X_i$  to the right end of  $X_m$  is at least  $\alpha$ , since it contains  $X_i \cup X_m$  which is isomorphic to  $\alpha$ , and thus there is an interval in which  $X_i$  is contained which is isomorphic to  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\alpha = \omega^\beta$ . Then  $\pi_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\iota_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* By the previous corollary, it is enough to formulate that there exists a finite set of left endpoints and right endpoints, such that except for the last ones, All the intervals are strictly the prefix of an interval isomorphic to  $\alpha$ , and such that the last interval is isomorphic to  $\alpha$ .

$$\pi_\alpha(X) \iff \exists L \exists R. \text{finite}(L) \wedge \text{finite}(R) \wedge \min(L \cup R) \in L \wedge \max(L \cup R) \in R \wedge \forall x, y \in L. x < y \implies \exists z \in$$

$\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\alpha$  be any ordinal. Let the cantor decomposition be*

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$$

where  $\alpha_i = \omega^{\beta_i}$ .

Then, a set  $X$  satisfies  $\pi_\alpha$  iff it is an ordered sum  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$  where  $X_i$  satisfies  $\pi_{\alpha_i}$ .

*Proof.* Obviously a disjoint sum of such intervals satisfies  $\pi_\alpha$ .

For the other direction, suppose  $X$  is a union of closed intervals and is isomorphic to  $\alpha$ . Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \alpha$  be the isomorphism map. Recall that  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$  where  $Y_i \cong \alpha_i$ .

Let  $X_i := \varphi^{-1}(Y_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then it can easily be seen that  $X_i$  is a disjoint sum of closed intervals.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\alpha$  be any ordinal. Then  $\pi_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\pi_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \pi_{\alpha_k}$  for some ordinals  $\alpha_1 = \omega^{\beta_1}, \dots, \alpha_k = \omega^{\beta_k}$ .*

*Proof.* This is pretty much the same trick as the previous corollary, but is very tedious to write down.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be any ordinal.*

*Over ordinals,  $\pi_\alpha$  is expressible via  $\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_k}$  where  $\alpha_1 = \omega^{\beta_1}, \dots, \alpha_k = \omega^{\beta_k}$ .*

*Proof.* Combine the three previous corollaries.  $\square$

**Definition 1.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$  be a nonzero ordinal.*

*Let  $\xi$  be any ordinal. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be the unique ordinals such that  $\xi = \gamma\alpha + \delta$ .*

*Then, define  $\xi/\alpha := \gamma$ .*

*That is,  $\xi/\alpha$  is the quotient of  $\xi$  by  $\alpha$ , "rounded down".*

*Now, suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of ordinals.*

*Define  $\mathcal{C}/\alpha := \{\xi/\alpha : \xi \in \mathcal{C}\}$*

*Define  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \{\delta_{\alpha \nmid \xi} : \xi \in \mathcal{C}\} = \{0 : \xi \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha \mid \xi\} \cup \{1 : \xi \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha \nmid \xi\}$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of ordinals, and let  $\alpha_1 = \omega^{\beta_1}, \dots, \alpha_k = \omega^{\beta_k}$  be ordinals, where  $\beta_1 \geq \dots \geq \beta_k$ , that is,  $\alpha_k \mid \dots \mid \alpha_1$ .*

*There is a computable function from a fully-quantified formula  $\varphi$  in*

$$MSO[\kappa_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \kappa_{\alpha_k}]$$

*to fully-quantified formulae  $\psi, \chi$  in*

$$MSO\left[\kappa_{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_k}}, \dots, \kappa_{\frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{\alpha_k}}\right]$$

*such that  $\varphi$  is true over  $\mathcal{C}$  iff  $\psi$  is true over  $\mathcal{C}_{/\alpha_k} + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $\alpha := \alpha_k$ .

By the composition theorem, given  $\varphi$  of quantifier rank  $\rho$ , we can compute  $\psi'$ , with free variables  $\{X_\tau\}_\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a  $\rho$ -type.  
such that for any  $\xi = \sum_{i \in \xi/\alpha} \xi_i + \delta$ , for  $\delta < \alpha$ ,  
(denoting  $\xi_{\xi/\alpha} := \delta$  we get  $\xi = \sum_{i \in \xi/\alpha+1} \xi_i$ ),

$$\xi \models \varphi \iff \xi/\alpha + 1, Q_1, \dots, Q_r \models \psi'$$

where  $Q_\tau = \{\xi_i \models \tau : i \in \xi/\alpha + 1\}$

Now, let  $v(X)$  be the formula  $X \subseteq \{max\} \vee X^c \subseteq \{max\}$  (that is, up to the maximal element,  $X$  is all or nothing).

Then, set  $\psi := "\forall \tau" \forall X_t v(X_t) \implies \psi'$ .

TBC.

□