```
234. Initial rest correspons The initial rest assumption corresponds to a zero-valued auxiliary
  condition at a time in accordance to the input oig signal. He Now, well show that if the
     condition is nonzero or if it is applied at a time that's independent of the input original, the
     system is not LTI. Consider a system of input x(t) and output y(t) such that
       dylt) + 2y(t) = x(t)
      a) Given y(1)=1, use a counter example to disprove its linearity.
          Let yell and yell be outputs to xill + xell such that y
          Let yell), yell) and yell) be the outputs of xill), xill) and
        That yould and youth be the automos to xill and xill = 2xill respectively
             411)= yell) = yell)=1 = 2. yell=2 => not linear.
         b) Given y(1)=1, use a counterexample to disprove its time invariance.
           That am xill = et ult) .
                                                          (checked in 2.33.a.i)
                Yhlt)= A est ult)
                                                                                                                                       > -> 4(1) - (1, 8) 2-2+ + 3 et ) with
                 Let yoll-Betult: d Bet + 2Bet = et # 4 + 70 => B= 3
                YE(1)= YA(1)+YP(1)=> 1= A e2-1+Be => A= (1-\frac{1}{3}e)e2
                 Now, let xell) = xelt-T) = e ult-T)
                · yult = here with
                                                                (checked)
                      Lot youtl=Bet-Tult-T): dt Bet-T +2Bet-T = et-T Y t 7T 4> B= 3
                      => not time imariant
       c) Given y (4)=1, show that the system is incrementally linear
        [ Let xolt) -> yo(t): $\frac{1}{2} \x_0(t) + 2y_0(t) = x_0(t) , \x_0(1) = 1
                          x<sub>0</sub>(t)+x<sub>1</sub>(t) -> y<sub>0</sub>(t)+y<sub>1</sub>(t): dt (y<sub>0</sub>(t)+y<sub>1</sub>(t))+2(y<sub>0</sub>(t)+y<sub>1</sub>(t))=x<sub>0</sub>(t)+x<sub>1</sub>(t), y<sub>0</sub>(t)+x<sub>1</sub>(t)

x<sub>0</sub>(t)+x<sub>2</sub>(t) -> y<sub>0</sub>(t)+y<sub>1</sub>(t): dt (y<sub>0</sub>(t)+y<sub>1</sub>(t))+2(y<sub>0</sub>(t)+y<sub>1</sub>(t))=x<sub>0</sub>(t)+x<sub>2</sub>(t), y<sub>0</sub>(t)+y<sub>1</sub>(t)=1
              Note that xilt) >> yell) and xilt) >> yell)
             Then: a dyolf to dy lt + 20 yolf) + 20 yolf) + 20 yolf) + 20 yolf) + p dyolf) + 20 yolf) + 20 yolf)
                         , axo(1) + ax, (1) = + pxo(1) + pxo(1) + yo(1) = a + p+1 => by subtracting the first equation scaled by lates from both sides
                ay(4)+ py2 (1) # + 40(1) = +1 =>
```

=> d (yo(t) + ay,(t) + pyo(t)) +2(yo(t) + pray,(t) + pray,(t) + pro(t)) = xo(t) + yo(t) + yo(t) + yo(t) + yo(t) + yo(t) + yo(t) = 1 = 1

=> the system is incrementally linear, as we can see from the equation,