CENG 483

Introduction to Computer Vision

Fall 2021-2022

Take Home Exam 1 Instance Recognition with Color Histograms Student ID:

Please fill in the sections below only with the requested information. If you have additional things you want to mention, you can use the last section. For all of the configurations make sure that your quantization interval is divisible by 256 in order to obtain equal bins.

1 3D Color Histogram

In this section, give your results without dividing the images into grids. Your histogram must have at most 4096 bins. E.g. Assume that you choose 16 for quantization interval then you will have 16 bins for each channel and 4096 bins for your 3D color histogram.

- Pick 4 different quantization intervals and give your top-1 accuracy results for each of them on every query dataset.
- Explain the differences in results and possible causes of them if there are any.

| Results | | | | | |
|----------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Interval | Bins | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support |
| 16 | 16 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.11 | 1.0 |
| 32 | 8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.11 | 1.0 |
| 64 | 4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.12 | 1.0 |
| 128 | 2 | 0.935 | 1.0 | 0.085 | 1.0 |

Query-1 dataset includes scaled versions of images in Support dataset, ie. birds are larger in the pictures. Since scaling is not very extreme, histogram was successful to capture the essential pixel distribution for matching the pictures. Query-1 is perfectly detected for all interval sizes except for (128x2) which has larger interval size corresponding to lower spatiality in terms of pixel values. However, 0.935 of accuracy can still be considered as successfull in Query-1. For intv=128, there is actually a problem and it would be even much severe for the case of intv>128 or intv=256 for the extreme case. It's because of the fact that, scaling up emphasizes bird pixels more, namely there are more bird pixels rather than background pixels as in the Support set. Increasing the interval size decreases the number of bins that pixels can be matched with, hence increasing the difference between support and query-1 as a result of the different pixel density between bird and background.

Query-2 dataset also includes the same images, but with rotations (90, 180, 270 degrees). Since we are calculating histogram for the full image without any spatial partitions/grids and it only counts for the frequencies of pixel values, histogram is invariant to rotation in this case. Therefore, as expected Query-2 set perfectly matches to Support set. Note that, in query-2 increasing interval size doesn't decrease the performance because pixel density between bird and background is the same for both rotated and original sets.

Query-3 dataset is composed of same images as in Support dataset without rotation or scaling, but different transformations are applied on these images altering the pixel values. For example, American Pipit turns into yellow from brown. Those transformations might be contrast and hue transformations which can alter the pixel values. As it's clear from the Query-3 set, applying an entire histogram over images wouldn't be sufficient to capture its correspondence in Support set. Although semantically the images correspond to the same entity, modified pixel values deviate/fool our full histogram approach resulting in poor accuracies for all interval sizes.

Additionally, I've included Support to Support matching for sanity check and comparison as a baseline. As it's clear, it perfectly matches with itself.

2 Per Channel Color histogram

In this section, give your results without dividing the images into grids.

• Pick 5 different quantization intervals and give your top-1 accuracy results for each of them on

| 1 | | |
|----------------------|------|--|
| every query dataset. | | |
| | | |

| Results | | | | | | |
|----------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Interval | Bins | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | |
| 8 | 32 | 0.98 | 1.0 | 0.125 | 1.0 | |
| 16 | 16 | 0.98 | 1.0 | 0.12 | 1.0 | |
| 32 | 8 | 0.98 | 1.0 | 0.135 | 1.0 | |
| 64 | 4 | 0.935 | 1.0 | 0.14 | 1.0 | |
| 128 | 2 | 0.585 | 0.995 | 0.04 | 1.0 | |

• Explain the differences in results and possible causes of them if there are any.

Overall accuracies are worse than 3D histogram. This is expected because 3D histogram can represent more color combinations, preserving color value spatiality. For example, for intv=16 3D histogram has 16x16x16=4096 bins for (R,G,B) to associate pixels whereas per-channel histogram has 16 bins for each channel (R/G/B). In configurations with decreased bin size we expect less accuracy because pixels will be associated/mapped with less choices causing in a sense information loss. The extreme case would be only 1 bin per channel which can be easily fooled, because every histogram will be the same (each pixel fits into 0-255 interval for each channel) regardless of the input that generated that histogram.

Overall accuracies decrease for all queries as interval size increases as a result decreasing spatial or local color characteristics.

Query-1 accuracy decreases dramatically for intv=128 with similar reasoning as in 3D histograms background and bird pixel density. However, it decreases much severely compared to 3D histogram which is due to color histogram's weakness for less color spatiality.

Query-2 results are all almost correct except for the intv=128 which was 1.0 in 3D histogram. Once again, per-channel histograms accuracy starts to decrease earlier than 3D histogram's. If we had 256x1 configuration, accuracy loss would be much more dramatic. Query-2 accuracies are higher than Query-1's because of the fact that Query-2 includes the same pixels as in Support set, whereas Query-1 has more bird pixels which doesn't help the accuracy because our base is Support set with which we measure its KL divergence or distribution similarity.

Query-3 results are still very low, mainly due to the same reasoning as in 3D histogram for this case. In short, pixel values are significantly different. For example, some images are darkened and some brightened: contrast, and hue transformations. These transformations assign pixels different bins compared to support set's. Compared to 3D histogram both methods more or less fail around similar orders of magnitude. However, for this case spatial partitions on images can make a difference which I suspect will increase our representation power in the sense that parts of different images will have more unlikely similarities when cumulated in a histogram.

Before starting the next section, please pick up the best configuration for two properties above and continue with them.

3 Grid Based Feature Extraction - Query set 1

Give your top-1 accuracy for all of the configurations below.

| Results Spatial Grid: 3d histogram (intv=64) | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.555 | 0.155 | 1.0 | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 1.0 | |
| 16 x 16 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.255 | 1.0 | |
| 12 x 12 | 1.0 | 0.31 | 0.3 | 1.0 | |

| Results Spatial Grid: per-channel histogram (intv=32) | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 1.0 | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.205 | 0.26 | 1.0 | |
| 16 x 16 | 1.0 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 1.0 | |
| 12 x 12 | 0.995 | 0.1 | 0.265 | 1.0 | |

3.1 48×48 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

3.2 24×24 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

3.3 16×16 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

3.4 12×12 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

3.5 Questions

- What do you think about the cause of the difference between the results?
- Explain the advantages/disadvantages of using grids in both types of histograms if there are any.

4 Grid Based Feature Extraction - Query set 2

Give your top-1 accuracy for all of the configurations below.

4.1 48×48 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

4.2 24×24 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

4.3 16×16 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

4.4 12×12 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

4.5 Questions

- What do you think about the cause of the difference between the results?
- Explain the advantages/disadvantages of using grids in both types of histograms if there are any.

5 Grid Based Feature Extraction - Query set 3

Give your top-1 accuracy for all of the configurations below.

5.1 48×48 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

5.2 24×24 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

5.3 16×16 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

5.4 12×12 spatial grid

- 3d color histogram:
- per-channel histogram:

5.5 Questions

- What do you think about the cause of the difference between the results?
- Explain the advantages/disadvantages of using grids in both types of histograms if there are any.

6 Additional Comments and References

Additional Grid Based Feature Extraction for different intervals besides the best pick.

3D - Histogram

| Results 3D Grid histogram (intv=16) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.71 | 0.135 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.565 | 0.16 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 1.0 | 0.545 | 0.215 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 1.0 | 0.555 | 0.255 | 1.0 | | |
| | Results 3D Grid histogram (intv=32) | | | | | |
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.655 | 0.15 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.425 | 0.155 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.22 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 1.0 | 0.39 | 0.245 | 1.0 | | |

| Results 3D Grid histogram (intv=64) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.555 | 0.155 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.255 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 1.0 | 0.31 | 0.3 | 1.0 | | |
| | Results 3D Grid histogram (intv=128) | | | | | |
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 0.995 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.195 | 0.24 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 0.995 | 0.14 | 0.285 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 1.0 | 0.145 | 0.34 | 1.0 | | |

Per-Channel Histogram

| | Results Per-Channel Grid histogram (intv=8) | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|---------------|---------|--|--|
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.355 | 0.215 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 0.995 | 0.1 | 0.24 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 0.995 | 0.1 | 0.24 | 1.0 | | |
| | Results Per-Ch | annel Grid his | togram (intv= | 16) | | |
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.365 | 0.21 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.17 | 0.225 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 1.0 | 0.115 | 0.25 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 0.995 | 0.11 | 0.245 | 1.0 | | |
| | Results Per-Ch | annel Grid his | togram (intv= | 32) | | |
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.205 | 0.26 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 1.0 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 0.995 | 0.1 | 0.265 | 1.0 | | |
| | Results Per-Ch | annel Grid his | togram (intv= | 64) | | |
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 1.0 | 0.33 | 0.235 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.315 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 0.995 | 0.125 | 0.315 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 0.995 | 0.1 | 0.305 | 1.0 | | |
| Results Per-Channel Grid histogram (intv=128) | | | | | | |
| Grid | Query-1 | Query-2 | Query-3 | Support | | |
| 48 x 48 | 0.97 | 0.175 | 0.21 | 1.0 | | |
| 24 x 24 | 1.0 | 0.125 | 0.355 | 1.0 | | |
| 16 x 16 | 0.985 | 0.1 | 0.395 | 1.0 | | |
| 12 x 12 | 0.98 | 0.065 | 0.405 | 1.0 | | |