AlphaBlock Technologies Inc.
241 Renforth Drive, Etobicoke, Toronto, M9K 2C8, Ontario

AlphaBlock's Methodology Differentiators

May 27, 2024

Technical Overview

1. Statistical Factor Analysis:

Dynamic and Probabilistic Nature: The core of our process begins with identifying a statistical factor that is inherently dynamic and probabilistic. This means the factor's composition and influence fluctuate over time, independent of the specific assets (components) it encompasses. Its significance is derived from periodic reviews, determining its applicability and effectiveness at future intervals.

2. Quality Screening:

Our process is designed to beat standard benchmarks by buying the same components as in the respective benchmark e.g. Indian Sensex 30, Indian BSE 100, Indian MidCap Select, Indian SmallCap Select, U.S. Energy Index, Indonesia 30, Romanian BET 20, Canadian 60, U.S. 100, U.S. 500 etc.

But in some cases, we select components from a Broad Universe: An example is the MSCI World EX USA, comprising 900 stocks. We conduct a rigorous quality screening process. This process narrows down the universe to a more manageable subset of 150 high-quality stocks. This screening ensures that only companies meeting our stringent criteria for financial health, stability, and growth potential are considered for further analysis.

3. Mapping Factor to Group:

Concentration of Strong Performers: Utilizing the identified statistical factor, we map it onto the quality-screened subset to select a focused group of 60 stocks. This selection is predicated on the strength and persistence of the statistical factor within this group, aiming to create a portfolio poised for robust performance.

4. Machine Learning for Timing Rebalances:

Optimizing Portfolio Construction: Discrete Decile Stats: For portfolio construction and ongoing maintenance, we leverage discrete decile state method. This method allows us to classify stocks into deciles based on their expected performance, informed by the statistical factor analysis.

Machine Learning Application: The timing for rebalancing the portfolio—i.e., adjusting the composition of stocks based on the evolving strength of statistical factors—is optimized through machine learning algorithms. These algorithms analyze historical data and predictive indicators to determine the most opportune moments for rebalance, enhancing the portfolio's potential for capital appreciation while managing risk.

Summary: AlphaBlock's process integrates advanced statistical analysis, rigorous quality screening, and innovative machine learning techniques to construct and maintain high-performing portfolios. By focusing on dynamic and probabilistic factors, applying meticulous quality screening, and optimizing rebalance timing through machine learning, we offer a sophisticated approach to investment that seeks to maximize returns while adjusting for market dynamics and risks.

Simple Version: Imagine you're in a building where each floor represents a different stock in the market. Using AlphaBlock's machine learning process, we have a special elevator that knows which floors are going to move up (gain value) or down (lose value) before it happens. This elevator decides when to visit a floor (buy a stock) or leave it (sell a stock) based on its prediction to make sure we always move towards the most valuable floors. It's like having a magic elevator that ensures we always reach the top floors (best investments) efficiently, making our investment building as valuable as possible.

Comparative Analysis: [3N] Methodology vs. MCAP Methodology

Factor	[3N] Methodology - Detailed	[3N] Methodology - Simplified	MCAP Methodology - Detailed	MCAP Methodology - Simplified
Bias Mitigation vs. Weight Obsession	Focuses on mitigating biases inherent in traditional indexes by using statistical factors and non-linear mechanisms, reducing the risk of overconcentration and winner's bias.	Reduces bias and avoids overconcentration	Prone to weight obsession, as it changes weights with every price fluctuation, leading to concentrated benchmarks that misrepresent the market and increase herding behavior.	Prone to overconcentration in large stocks

Use of Machine Learning	Integrates advanced machine learning techniques to dynamically adjust and optimize factor-based strategies, improving predictive accuracy and portfolio performance.	Uses advanced machine learning techniques	Relies on static, price-based weighting without incorporating advanced predictive analytics.	Relies on static market cap weighting
Dynamic Stock Selection	Employs 'Discrete Decile Steps' and Random Forest Regressors to categorize stock information into dynamic states, allowing for more responsive and effective stock selection. It incorporates non-linear models to account for stochastic trends and regime switches, providing a more nuanced understanding of market dynamics.	Adapts to market conditions dynamically	Static and purely based on market capitalization, which can lag behind real market conditions and trends.	Static selection based on market cap
Balanced Weight Allocation	Utilizes a diversified strategy with fixed weight allocations (e.g., 40% Value, 40% Growth, 20% Core), ensuring consistent portfolio composition over time and reducing the concentration risks associated with MCAP indexes.	Maintains fixed allocations to reduce risk	Weights fluctuate with market prices, often leading to an overemphasis on a few large-cap stocks, creating a skewed concentration.	Weightings change with price movements

Extended Rebalancing Period	Features longer rebalancing periods, reducing turnover and Laspeyresian bias, and avoiding frequent adjustments based on short-term market movements.	Rebalances less frequently to reduce costs	Rebalances more frequently, often leading to higher transaction costs and potential market disruption.	Frequent rebalancing can increase costs
Risk-Weighted Returns	Aims for higher risk-weighted returns through the use of statistical factors, which historically have shown near 400 bps excess returns above the S&P 500.	Focuses on higher risk-adjusted returns	Often delivers returns that are not adjusted for the higher risk associated with concentrated portfolios.	Returns may not justify higher risks
Bias Agnostic Process	Develops a bias agnostic process by understanding and addressing multiple biases (e.g., Rich-Get-Richer, Poor-Get-Richer), creating a more balanced approach.	Incorporates multiple factors for balance	Inherits biases from traditional price indices and tends to favor already large, successful companies, perpetuating existing market inequalities.	Inherits biases favoring large companies
Temporal Character in Rebalancing	Uses a temporal mechanism, akin to oscillating clocks, to balance order and disorder, forecastable and stochastic elements, making the system more resilient. This mechanism allows for a dynamic rebalancing that adapts to changing market conditions.	Uses time-based mechanisms for resilience	Lacks a temporal rebalance mechanism, leading to static and potentially outdated portfolio compositions.	Static timing can miss market changes
Factor Complexity and Diversity	Embraces the complexity of statistical factors,	Embraces diverse factors for robustness	Simpler in terms of factor consideration,	Focuses mainly on market cap

	which are seen in multiple states and dimensions, making the approach robust and adaptable to various market conditions. This includes understanding the interplay between normal and non-normal distributions.		focusing mainly on market capitalization, thus missing out on the benefits of diversified factor exposure.	
Probabilistic and Dynamic Framework	Uses a probabilistic framework that recognizes the interconnectedness of winner and loser states within the market, implementing a dynamic and adaptable approach to portfolio construction and rebalancing. This framework allows for better handling of market volatility and structural shifts.	Handles market volatility effectively	Operates on a deterministic and static framework, which does not adequately account for the dynamic nature of market behaviors and the probabilistic transitions between different market states.	Deterministic approach, less adaptable

Bibliography

1. Kaushik, Matia; Pal, Mukul; Stanley, H. Eugene; Salunkay, H. "Scale-Dependent Price Fluctuations for the Indian Stock Market." EuroPhysics Letters, August 2003.
2. Pal, M.; Shah, M.; Mitroi, A. "Temporal Changes in Shiller's Exuberance Data." SSRN, February 2011.
3. Pal, M. "Mean Reversion Framework." SSRN, May 2015.
4. Pal, M. "Markov and the Mean Reversion Framework." SSRN, May 2015.
5. Pal, M. "Momentum and Reversion." August 2015.
6. Pal, M. "What is Value." SSRN, September 2015.
7. Pal, M.; Ferent, M. "Stock Market Stationarity." SSRN, September 2015.
8. Pal, M. "Reversion Diversion Hypothesis." SSRN, November 2015.
9. Pal, M. "How Physics Solved your wealth problem." SSRN, October 2016.
10. Pal, M. "Human AI." SSRN, July 2017.
11. Pal, M. "The Size Proxy." August 2017.
12. Pal, M. "The Beta Maths." SSRN, March 2017.
13. Bhattacharya, Maureen O.; A. "ETFs and Systematic Risk." CFA Research Institute, January 2020.
14. Pal, M. "[3N] model of life." SSRN, April 2021.
15. Pal, M. "The S&P 500 Myth." SSRN, July 2022.
16. Pal, M. "The Snowball Effect." SSRN, July 2022.
17. Pal, M. "Mechanisms of Psychology." SSRN, June 2022.
18. Pal, M. "The [3N] model of life." SSRN, February 2023.
19. Pal, M.; Fenesi, R.; Cigan, O.D.; Berciu, A.G.; Tiric, R.C.; Pal, F.; Todor, D.; Dulf, E.H. "Revolutionizing Active Investing With Machine Learning." SSRN, January 2024.
20. Pal, M.; Tiric, R.C.; Pal, F. "Machine Beta Statistical Factors Non-Linear Mechanisms And The [3N] Methodology." SSRN, January 2024.

Ciprian Tiric
ciprian.tiric@alphablock.org

Bianca Bradea
Bianca@alphablock.org

Oliviu Cigan
Oliviu.cigan@alphablock.org

Regina Fensi
Regina.fensei@alphablock.org

Florina Pal
florina@alphablock.org

Dan Todor
Dan.todor@alphablock.org

Mukul Pal
mukul@alphablock.org