

11 Testing the code

To check the properties of operators and their effects in sign problem, let us compute the phase factor of $\det X(s)$ for various combination of interactions.

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{LP,aux}^{(n_t)}(s, s_S, s_I, s_{S,I}, \pi'_I) = & : \exp \left\{ -H_{free}\alpha_t - \frac{g_A\alpha_t}{2f_\pi\sqrt{q_\pi}} \sum_{\mathbf{n}, S, I} \Delta_S \pi'_I(\mathbf{n}, n_t) \rho_{S,I}(\mathbf{n}) \right. \\
& + \sqrt{-C\alpha_t} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} s(\mathbf{n}, n_t) \rho(\mathbf{n}) + i\sqrt{C_{S^2}\alpha_t} \sum_{\mathbf{n}, S} s_S(\mathbf{n}, n_t) \rho_S(\mathbf{n}) \\
& \left. + i\sqrt{C_{I^2}\alpha_t} \sum_{\mathbf{n}, I} s_I(\mathbf{n}, n_t) \rho_I(\mathbf{n}) + i\sqrt{C_{S^2, I^2}\alpha_t} \sum_{\mathbf{n}, S, I} s_{SI}(\mathbf{n}, n_t) \rho_{SI}(\mathbf{n}) \right\} :
\end{aligned} \tag{196}$$

Also we add $SU(4)$ symmetric transfer matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
M_4^{(n_t)} = & : \exp[-H_4\alpha_t] :, \quad H_4 = H_{free} + \frac{1}{2}C_4 \sum_{\mathbf{q}} f(\mathbf{q}) : \rho(\mathbf{q})\rho(-\mathbf{q}) :, \quad C_4 < 0, \\
M_{4,aux}^{(n_t)}(s) = & : \exp \left\{ -H_{free}\alpha_t + \sqrt{-C_4\alpha_t} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} s(\mathbf{n}, n_t) \rho(\mathbf{n}) \right\} :
\end{aligned} \tag{197}$$

Though the interpolating Hamiltonian was defined as

$$H = d_h H_{LO} + (1 - d_h) H_4, \tag{198}$$

all following results are for $d_h = 1$.

- all calculations are done for 4He .
- $L = 6$, $L_t = 2 * L_{t,out} + L_{t,in}$, $L_{t,out} = 10$, $L_{t,in} = 4$, $1/a = 100$ MeV, $1/a_t = 150$ MeV.
- Only some of interactions are turned on/off by changing overall coupling strength. However, not all combinations are considered.
- we set $d_h = 1.0$.
- Here, $SU(4)$ represents the $SU(4)$ symmetric transfer matrix acting as a filter at the beginning and ending time steps. This is different from the above C_4 in interpolating Hamiltonian.
- c_0 represents the coupling $C(d_h) = d_h C + (1 - d_h) C_4$. In similar way, c_S, c_I, c_{SI} and g_A corresponds to $C_{S^2}(d_h), C_{I^2}(d_h), C_{S^2, I^2}(d_h)$ and $g_A(d_h)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0 &= -0.192 \times 10^{-4}, C_S = 0.4 \times 10^{-5}, C_I = 0.87 \times 10^{-5}, \\
C_{SI} &= 0.64 \times 10^{-5}, C_4 = -0.7 \times 10^{-4}, \text{ in MeV}^{-2}, \\
g_A &= 1.29
\end{aligned} \tag{199}$$

My opinions on results in Table.1 are

- Because there is a change of Hamiltonian between $L_{t,in}$ and $L_{t,out}$ and $L_{t,out}$ is rather large, the presence of $SU(4)$ interaction have large effects on the results. So, the comparison between the case with $SU(4)$ interaction 'on' and 'off' may not be clear. Instead, probably, to study the effects of operator forms, wouldn't it be better to set $L_{t,out} = 0$ and $L_{t,in}$ to be larger?

- Without $SU(4)$ interaction, phase is usually small. However, because the $SU(4)$ interaction does not make complex phase, I expected $SU(4)$ may affect binding energy much but does not make much change on the phase factor. The difference of prior (to other interaction) $SU(4)$ and post $SU(4)$ may be the reason?
- Looking at the case with only one interaction or absence of one interaction, it seems to be the C_{SI} interaction have most important contribution to the phase.
- Looking at the case with only two interactions (and $SU(4)$) are on, the main origin of phase is from the interference between c_{SI} and g_A . When both c_{SI} and g_A are not on, phase are usually small.
- As we discussed before, the phase is very small(or zero) even in case three interactions(with $SU(4)$) are on except for c_{SI} interaction.
- The smallest value(or largest phase) occurs when only c_0 is off. It may be because c_0 interaction provides attractive $SU(4)$ interaction to the system to lessen the sign problem.

Table 1: Results of various couplings combinations.

index	$SU(4)$	c_0	c_S	c_I	c_{SI}	g_A	$Re\langle e^{i\theta} \rangle$	B.E.	raw amplitude
full	O	O	O	O	O	O	0.922	-27.3(3)	0.641(3)
1	O	X	X	X	X	X	1.0	28.2(2)	1.46(3)
13	O	X	O	X	X	X	1.0	25.8(2)	1.41
14	O	X	X	O	X	X	1.0	21.5(2)	1.33
15	O	X	X	X	O	X	0.992	13.1(1)	1.18
16	O	X	X	X	X	O	1.0	29.8(1)	1.48
2	O	O	X	X	X	X	1.0	13.5(3)	1.20(5)
3	O	O	O	X	X	X	1.0	7.74(2)	1.11(3)
4	O	O	X	O	X	X	1.0	-0.4(3)	0.999(5)
5	O	O	X	X	O	X	0.991	-14.5(3)	0.817(4)
6	O	O	X	X	X	O	1.0	18.1(2)	1.27
29	O	X	X	X	O	O	0.951	18.6(1)	1.22
30	O	X	O	O	X	X	1.0	19.2(1)	1.29
31	O	X	O	X	O	X	0.991	7.77(9)	1.09
32	O	X	O	X	X	O	1.0	28.1(1)	1.45
33	O	X	X	O	O	X	0.989	0.1(1)	0.99
34	O	X	X	O	X	O	1.0	24.8(1)	1.39
17	O	O	O	O	X	X	1.0	-4.5(3)	0.942(5)
18	O	O	O	X	O	X	0.991	-21.6(3)	0.742(3)
19	O	O	O	X	X	O	1.0	13.6(2)	1.19
20	O	O	X	O	O	X	0.990	-31.9(3)	0.647(3)
21	O	O	X	O	X	O	0.998(1)	8.5(6)	1.12(1)
22	O	O	X	X	O	O	0.945	-5.2(3)	0.881(3)
23	O	O	O	O	O	X	0.9799	-37.7(3)	0.592(3)
24	O	O	O	O	X	O	0.998(1)	3.3(7)	1.04(1)
25	O	O	O	X	O	O	0.942	-12.2(3)	0.801(4)
26	O	O	X	O	O	O	0.938	-21.5(3)	0.704(2)
27	O	X	O	O	O	O	0.917	3.6(1)	0.96
0	X	X	X	X	X	X	1.0	0.	1.0
7	X	O	X	X	X	X	1.0	-1.58(6)	0.979(1)
8	X	X	O	X	X	X	1.0	-0.339(9)	0.955(1)
11	X	X	X	O	X	X	1.0	-0.88(1)	0.988
12	X	X	X	X	O	X	0.999	-1.65(2)	0.977
9	X	X	X	X	X	O	1.0	-0.10(1)	0.998
28	X	X	X	X	O	O	0.997	-1.63(2)	0.975
10	X	O	O	O	O	O	0.995	-5.07(7)	0.929