COULOMB WAVE FUNCTIONS FOR ALL REAL η AND ρ *

A.R. BARNETT, D.H. FENG**, J.W. STEED[‡] and L.J.B. GOLDFARB Physics Department, Schuster Laboratory, The University, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

Received 25 July 1974

PROGRAM SUMMARY

Title of program: RCWFN Catalogue number: ABPC

Program obtainable from: CPC Program Library, Queen's University of Belfast, N. Ireland (see application form in this

issue).

Computer:

Installation:

CDC 7600

University of Manchester Regional Computing

Centre

IBM 370/165

Daresbury Nuclear Physics Laboratory,

Warrington, Lancs.

IBM 360/195

Rutherford High Energy Laboratory, Didcot,

Berks.

Operating system: UMRCC Scope V2.0

Programming language used: ASA Fortran

High-speed core required: < 1 Kwords

No. of bits in a word: 60 Overlay structure: None

No. of magnetic tapes required: None

Other peripherals used: Card reader, line printer

No. of cards in the combined program and test deck: 282

Card punching code: EBCDIC

Keywords: Nuclear, atomic, Schrodinger equation, reactions, scattering, heavy ion, wavefunction, Coulomb, potential, hypergeometric function, continued fraction, Runge-Kutta.

Nature of the physical problem

The subroutine RCWFN calculates the regular and irregular Coulomb wavefunctions, $F_l(\eta,\rho)$ and $G_l(\eta,\rho)$ and their radial derivatives for real, positive energy for all (η,ρ) , $(-1000 \le \eta \le 1000, \rho > 0)$ rapidly and with high accuracy. It is well suited

- Work supported by the United Kingdom Science Research Council.
- ** Present address: Center for Nuclear Studies, University of Texas, Texas, USA.
- Now with Software Sciences Limited, Park Green, Macclesfield, UK.

for calculations of Coulomb matrix elements for heavy-ion scattering [1] ($1 < \eta < 200$, $\eta < \rho < 1000$) and for all programs which require matching to asymptotic Coulomb wavefunctions in both nuclear and atomic physics.

Method of solution

An entirely new technique, developed by Steed [2], is used, which differs greatly from standard methods [3]. The method is based on the continued-fraction expansion of the quantities F_l'/F_l and $(G_l' + F_l)/(G_l + iF_l)$ and it is applicable for all values of $\rho \ge \rho_{\text{TP}} = \eta + [\eta^2 + l(l+1)]^{1/2}$ without restriction. A somewhat modified method is used for values of $\rho < \rho_{\text{TP}}$. The results are given for all l-values between MINL and MAXL inclusive (MAXL \ge MINL \ge 0) and for any required ρ -value. In general results are obtained without integrating the differential equation. The subroutine is fast and compact \ddagger ; the results can be obtained to any desired accuracy in the range $10^{-6} - 10^{-12}$.

Restriction on the complexity of the problem

Subroutine RCWFN has been programmed for real values of η and ρ : the value of η is unrestricted (positive, negative or zero) while the value of ρ cannot be zero. There is a loss of accuracy in cases when $\rho < 0.2 \rho_{TP}$.

Typical running time

For the l = 0 functions the averaging running time (CDC 7600 CP time) is 0.005 sec for ACCUR = 10^{-7} and STEP = 100.0 (i.e. practical values), and there is no significant increase with l-value.

References

- [1] A.R. Barnett, D.H. Feng and L.J.B. Goldfarb, Phys. Lett. 48B (1974) 290; and Computer Phys. Commun., to be published.
- [2] J.W. Steed, PhD tuesis, University of Manchester (1967) unpublished.
- [3] C.E. Froberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 27 (1955) 399;C. Bardin et al., Computer Phys. Commun. 3 (1972) 73.
- ‡‡ When the \$-separator format on the CDC card input is used the entire subroutine occupies 36 cards.

LONG WRITE-UP

1. Introduction

The Coulomb functions $F_l(\eta,\rho)$ and $G_l(\eta,\rho)$, together with their derivatives with respect to ρ , are basic to the solution of charged-particle scattering problems for both positive and negative values of η . An example taken from nuclear physics is the calculation of Coulomb excitation, in which the radial matrix elements

$$M_{\overline{l}'}^{\lambda-1} = (k^{\lambda}/kk') \times \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{l}(\eta,\rho) \rho^{-\lambda-1} f_{l'}(\eta',\rho') d\rho \qquad (1)$$

are integrals over the regular solution $f_l = F_l$ if there is no nuclear distortion. Nuclear effects give rise to nuclear phase shifts δ_l different from zero for the lower partial waves and in this case

$$f_l = F_l + \frac{1}{2}i[1 - \exp(2i\delta_l)] (G_l + iF_l)$$

in the region outside the range of nuclear interactions. For problems of this type we require the Coulomb functions in the region

$$\rho \ge \rho_{\text{TP}} = \eta + [\eta^2 + l(l+1)]^{1/2}$$

since, otherwise, the integrand in (1) is vanishingly small. The value ρ_{TP} is the turning point of the functions which separates the monotonic region $\rho < \rho_{\mathrm{TP}}$ from the oscillatory one $\rho > \rho_{\mathrm{TP}}$.

The subroutine RCWFN calculates the Coulomb functions for the region $0.1\rho_{TP} < \rho < \infty$ and the methof it uses represents a substantial break with tradition [1-4]. It relies on a continued-fraction representation and does not solve the differential equation (save in the small region $0.1\rho_{TP} < \rho < \rho_{TP}$). The subroutine is thus exceptionally fast, it evaluates F_l , F_l' , G_l and G_l' at a given ρ -value independently of any other, it can evaluate a single l-value if desired (i.e. there is no need for recursion from l=0) and the results can be obtained to any desired degree of accuracy. The second of these properties makes the evaluation of (1) by gaussian quadrature methods very attractive [5,6]. The methods of continued fractions are discussed in section 3 below.

2. General properties of the Coulomb functions

The Coulomb functions are solutions of the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 U_l}{d\rho^2} + \left(1 - \frac{2\eta}{\rho} - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2}\right) U_l = 0,$$
 (2)

where the parameters ρ and η are defined as

$$\rho = kr = (2\mu E)^{1/2} r/\hbar$$

ind

$$\eta = Z_1 Z_2 e^2 / \hbar v = \mu Z_1 Z_2 e^2 / \hbar^2 k.$$

The two charges are Z_1e and Z_2e , μ is the reduced mass of the system in amu, k is the wave number and E the centre-of-mass energy. The numerical expressions obtained from the 1969 Atomic Constants [7] are

$$k \text{ (fm}^{-1}) = 0.2187410 [\mu(\text{amu}) \cdot E(\text{MeV})]^{1/2}$$

$$\eta = (1.439\ 906 \times 0.218\ 741\ 0/2.0)Z_1Z_2$$

\times \left[\mu(\text{amu}\right)/E(\text{MeV}\right)\right]^{1/2}.

The regular and irregular solutions of eq. (2), $F_l(\eta,\rho)$ and $G_l(\eta,\rho)$, have boundary conditions

$$F_{l}(\eta,\rho) \xrightarrow[\rho \to \infty]{} \sin \theta_{l'}, \quad F_{l}(\eta,0) = 0,$$

$$G_{l}(\eta,\rho) \xrightarrow[\rho \to \infty]{} \cos \theta_{l'}, \quad G_{l}(\eta,0) = \infty,$$
(3)

where

$$\theta_I = \rho - \eta \ln 2\rho - \frac{1}{2}l\pi + \sigma_I.$$

The Coulomb phase shift σ_I is given by

$$\sigma_l = \arg \Gamma(l+1+i\eta).$$

The solutions for successive *l*-values are connected by the following recurrence relations, with $U_l = F_l$ or G_l ,

$$R_{l}U_{l-1} = U'_{l} + S_{l}U_{l}, (4)$$

$$U'_{l-1} = S_l U_{l-1} - R_l U_l, (5)$$

$$R_{l+1}U_{l+1} = T_lU_l - R_lU_{l-1}, (6)$$

where the coefficients are given for $l \ge 1$ by

$$\begin{split} R_l &= (l^2 + \eta^2)^{1/2}/l, \qquad S_l = l/\rho + \eta/l, \\ T_l &= \frac{(2l+1)[l(l+1)/\rho + \eta]}{l(l+1)} \ . \end{split} \tag{7}$$

The primes denote differentiation with respect to ρ . Further necessary relations are the wronskian

$$W = F_1'G_1 - F_1G_1' = 1$$
, and (8)

$$W_l = F_l G_{l+1} - F_{l+1} G_l = 1/R_{l+1}. (9)$$

3. Continued fractions and the Coulomb functions

A continued fraction is defined as

$$h = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1 + \frac{a_2}{b_2 + \cdots}},$$

which we shall write as

$$h = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1 + \frac{a_2}{b_2 + \dots}} \dots \frac{a_n}{b_n + \dots}$$
 (10)

We state two necessary theorems, whose proof can be found in ref. [8].

Theorem 1. The continued fraction h is said to converge if the nth convergent h_n (the result of terminating the fraction at b_n) is such that the sequence h_n as $n \to \infty$ tends to a limit h. The limit h is then the value of the continued fraction.

Theorem 2. Let two sequences, A_n and B_n satisfy the two-term recurrence relations (expressed in matrix form)

$$\binom{A_n}{B_n} = \binom{A_{n-1} \quad A_{n-2}}{B_{n-1} \quad B_{n-2}} \binom{b_n}{a_n},\tag{11}$$

with the initial set of values

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & A_{-1} \\ B_0 & B_{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the nth convergent of the continued fraction

$$h_n = A_n/B_n$$
.

The equivalence transformation allows us to rewrite (10) as

$$h = b_0 + \frac{c_1 a_1}{c_1 b_1 +} + \frac{c_1 c_2 a_2}{c_2 b_2 +} + \frac{c_2 c_3 a_3}{c_3 b_3 +} + \cdots + \frac{c_{n-1} c_n a_n}{c_n b_n + \cdots}$$
(12)

for all $c_p \neq 0$ and it will be used in manipulating the results into their final form.

3.1. Steed's procedure for evaluating Coulomb functions

The mathematical methods and the algorithms described in this program were developed as original work by Steed [9] which is unpublished. His program has been translated into Fortran IV and extended to any arbitrary value of MINL.

We derive in sections 3.2 and 3.3 two continued fractions involving the Coulomb functions, the one being

$$f_m \equiv F_m'/F_m,\tag{13}$$

while the other is

$$p_m + iq_m \equiv (G'_m + iF'_m)/(G_m + iF_m).$$
 (14)

The use of eqs. (13) and (14) enables us to solve for F_m' , G_m and G_m' in terms of F_m , thus (dropping the subscript)

$$F' = fF, (15)$$

$$G = (F' - pF)/q = (f - p)F/q,$$
 (16)

$$G' = pG - qF = p(f - p)F/q - qF,$$
 (17)

and then the value of F itself, i.e. the scaling factor for eqs. (15) - (17) can be found by substitution in the wronskian equation (8)

$$F_m = \pm 1/(F'G - FG')^{1/2}.$$
 (18)

The sign of F_m is obtained during the evaluation of f_m (section 3.2).

The convergence of the continued-fraction expansion of eq. (13) is sufficiently rapid and is valid for all values of ρ , except for special cases with negative η (section 3.2). On the other hand eq. (14) can only be used for $\rho \ge \rho_{\text{TP}}$ since it very rapidly tends to G'_m/G_m for smaller

values of ρ . For example, at $\rho=0.95$ ρ_{TP} and m=0, the real and imaginary parts of eq. (14), p and q, differ by a factor of 10^{-12} , and a loss of significance results. Therefore in the region $\rho<\rho_{\mathrm{TP}}$ the differential equation (2) for G_m and G_m' is integrated inward to the desired ρ value from the starting values of $G_m(\eta,\rho_{\mathrm{TP}})$ and $G_m'(\eta,\rho_{\mathrm{TP}})$ and then combined with $f_m(\eta,\rho)$ as above. The method of integration is the standard 4th-order Runge-Kutta algorithm used simultaneously for G_m and G_m' [10].

In subroutine RCWFN the Coulomb functions are calculated at a required ρ -values for a range of l-values, from l = MAXL to l = MINL, by using the recurrence relations eqs. (4)—(5) as is described in section 4.

3.2. A continued fraction for F'_1/F_1

Consider the recurrence relation (6) and let $V_n = U_{k+1}$ and also k = n + l with l fixed at the desired value. Then

$$V_n = V_{n-1}b_n + V_{n-2}a_n, (19)$$

where

$$b_n = T_k / R_{k+1} = \frac{(2k+1)[(k+1)/\rho + \eta/k]}{[(k+1)^2 + \eta^2]^{1/2}}$$

anđ

$$a_n = -R_k/R_{k+1} = -\frac{(k^2 + \eta^2)^{1/2}(k+1)}{[(k+1)^2 + \eta^2]^{1/2}k}$$

in the notation of eq. (7). Since V_n is a Coulomb function the sequence (19) is satisfied by the two independent solutions F_n and G_n . The most general sequences V_n are thus

$$A_n = \alpha F_n + \beta G_n \equiv \alpha F_{n+1+l} + \beta G_{n+1+l}$$

and

$$B_n = \gamma F_n + \delta G_n \equiv \gamma F_{n+1+l} + \delta G_{n+1+l}$$

from which we can find those coefficients which yield initial values of the sequences A_n , B_n in conformity with Theorem 2, namely $A_0 = b_0$, $A_{-1} = 1$, $B_0 = 1$, $B_{-1} = 0$. These coefficients are

$$\alpha = (b_0 G_l - G_{l+1}) R_{l+1}, \quad \beta = (b_0 F_l - F_{l+1}) R_{l+1},$$

$$\gamma = -G_l R_{l+1}, \qquad \delta = F_l R_{l+1}.$$
(20)

The conditions of Theorem 2 are met and so the limit as $n \to \infty$ of the continued fraction defined by a_n , b_n is $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n/B_n$ which, since $F_{n+1+l} \to 0$ and $G_{n+1+l} \to \infty$ is just the ratio β/δ . From eqs. (19), (20), this limit is both $b_0 + (a_1/b_1 +)[a_2/b_2 + \cdots)]$ and $b_0 - F_{l+1}/F_l$ which means that

$$\frac{F_{l+1}}{F_l} = \frac{-a_1}{b_1 + b_2 + \frac{a_2}{b_3 + \cdots}} \frac{a_3}{b_3 + \cdots}$$
 (21)

with a_n , b_n defined as above. This continued fraction for the ratio F_{l+1}/F_l is recast into one for F_l/F_l with the help of (5), by eliminating F_{l+1} , and we reach the penultimate result

$$\frac{F_l'}{F_l} = S_{l+1} + R_{l+1} \left(\frac{a_1}{b_1^+} \frac{a_2}{b_2^+ \cdots} \right).$$

The application of the equivalence transformation equation (12), with $c_p = \rho p(p+1)R_{p+1}$ then yields the final result:

$$\frac{F_{l}'(\eta,\rho)}{F_{l}(\eta,\rho)} = \frac{l+1}{\rho} + \frac{\eta}{l+1} + \frac{H_{l+1}}{K_{l+1}} + \frac{H_{l+2}}{K_{l+2}} + \frac{H_{l+3}}{K_{l+3} + \cdots},$$
(22)

with

$$H_p = -\rho^2(p^2-1)(p^2+\eta^2), \quad p=l+2, l+3, \cdots,$$

and

$$K_p = (2p+1)[p(p+1) + \eta \rho], \quad p = l+1, l+2, \cdots,$$

with

$$H_{l+1} = -\rho \left[(l+1)^2 + \eta^2 \right] (l+2)/(l+1).$$

To obtain the sign of F_l we observe that as $n \to \infty$ the value of $B_n \to \delta G_{n+1+l} = F_l R_{l+1} G_{n+1+l}$ and $G_{n+1+l} \to \infty$ while remaining positive. The sign of F_l is hence the sign of the *n*th denominator when the continued fraction has converged.

3.2.1. A minor difficulty with eq. (22)

For the scattering of like charges, the value of η is positive and eq. (22) holds for all $\rho > 0$; however when η is negative, a peculiar difficulty can arise at the first step of the algorithm (section 3.4) in which D_1 =

Garan ...

1.0/
$$K_{p+1}$$
. A zero of K_{p+1} arises when $\eta \rho = -p(p+1) = -(L+1)(L+2)$

and here L = MAXL and, if $\rho < \rho_{TP}$, also L = MINL. Elaborate methods are available to circumvent this difficulty but in our subroutine we choose to avoid this value of ρ by setting

$$\rho = \rho + 10^{-6} \text{ (cards } 37 - 39).$$

The accuracy of the results is reduced for values of ρ which make $F_l(\eta,\rho)=0.0$ within the value of ACCUR For the evaluation of integrals over products of F_l -values such a reduced accuracy is not a problem.

3.3. A continued fraction for $(G'_l + iF'_l)/(G_l + iF_l)$

The boundary conditions at infinity, eq. (3), suggest the substitution

$$G_I + iF_I = y_I \exp(i\theta_I)$$
 (23)

which leads to the following differential equation for $y_I(\eta, \rho)$:

$$d^2y_l/d\rho^2 + 2i(1 - \eta/\rho)dy_l/d\rho$$

$$+(i\eta - l)(i\eta + l + 1)y_l = 0.$$

After the substitution $Z = (2i\rho)^{-1}$ this equation can be recognised as that for the hypergeometric function ${}_{2}F_{0}(a,b;Z)$:

$$Z^{2}_{2}F_{0}'' + [(a+b+1)Z - 1]_{2}F_{0}' + ab_{2}F_{0} = 0$$
 (24)

with $a = i\eta - l$ and $b = i\eta + l + 1$. Thus the solution of eq. (23) is

$$y_{l}(\eta,\rho) = {}_{2}F_{0}(i\eta - l, i\eta + l + 1; (2i\rho)^{-1})$$

$$\equiv 1 + \frac{ab}{1!(2i\rho)} + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{2!(2i\rho)^{2}} + \cdots$$
 (25)

The series expansion is the definition of the (specialised) hypergeometric function, and the result (25) is a known asymptotic form for $G_l + iF_l$ when ρ is large, quoted for example as eq. 12.16 of ref. [2].

The series (25) however is convergent only in an asymptotic sense, viz. only for very small Z (i.e. large ρ) and whose summation is terminated after the term with the smallest absolute magnitude. Nevertheless *the ratio* of two such hypergeometric functions has a very strong convergence property, namely that it can be ex-

panded as a continued fraction which is convergent throughout the complex Z-plane exterior to the cut along the real axis from Z = 1 to $Z = \infty$, i.e. for all ρ . We can now proceed to derive such a ratio.

Since $_2F_0(a,b;Z) = dF/dZ = ab_2F_0(a+1,b+1;Z)$ we find that the complex ratio

$$\frac{G_l' + iF_l'}{G_l + iF_l} = \frac{i(\rho - \eta)}{\rho}$$

$$+i\frac{ab}{2\rho^2} \frac{{}_{2}F_{0}(a+1,b+1;(2i\rho)^{-1})}{{}_{2}F_{0}(a,b;(2i\rho)^{-1})}.$$
 (26)

We derive a continued fraction for the ratio of F's directly from eq. (24) using the above differentiation property: eq. (24) reads on dividing by ${}_{2}F_{0}'$ and rearranging,

$$\frac{{}_{2}F_{0}(a,b;Z)}{{}_{2}F_{0}(a+1,b+1;Z)} = 1 - (a+b+1)Z$$

$$-Z^{2}(a+1)(b+1)\frac{{}_{2}F_{0}(a+2,b+2;Z)}{{}_{2}F_{0}(a+1,b+1;Z)}.$$

Inverting this equation, incrementing a and b by 1 and using the equation again, and repeating, gives us immediately the required continued fraction:

$$\frac{{}_{2}F_{0}(a+1,b+1;Z)}{{}_{2}F_{0}(a,b;Z)} = \frac{1}{1 - (a+b+1)Z -}$$

$$\frac{(a+1)(b+1)Z^{2}}{1 - (a+b+3)Z -} \frac{(a+2)(b+2)Z^{2}}{1 - (a+b+5)Z - \cdots} . \tag{27}$$

Now $Z = (2i\rho)^{-1}$ and we can make an equivalence transformation with $c_p = 2\rho$ to reach the final result:

$$p_{l} + iq_{l} = \frac{G'_{l} + iF'_{l}}{G_{l} + iF_{l}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho} \left((\rho - \eta)i + \frac{i(i\eta - l)(i\eta + l + 1)}{2(\rho - \eta + i) + 1} \right)$$

$$\frac{(i\eta - l + 1)(i\eta + l + 2)}{2(\rho - \eta + 2i) + \cdots}$$
(28)

The continued-fraction (28) is programmed [see eqs. (32)]:

$$a_1 = -\eta^2 - l(l+1) + i\eta,$$
 $a_{k+1} - a_k = 2k + 2i\eta,$
 $b_1 = 2(\rho - \eta) + 2i,$ $b_{k+1} - b_k = 2i,$

and

$$(\Delta p)_1 + i(\Delta q)_1 = ia_1/b_1.$$

We note in passing that the result for $(G'_l - iF'_l)$ $/(G_l - iF_l)$ is exactly $p_l - iq_l$; its convergence takes the same number of iterations.

We stress that (28) can only be evaluated in practice for

$$\rho \ge \rho_{\text{TP}} = \eta + [\eta^2 + l(l+1)]^{1/2}$$

for otherwise it tends too rapidly towards G'_{l}/G_{l} . A further point is of academic interest. If we take one of the Gauss relations [8]

$$aZ \; \frac{F(a+1,b+1;Z)}{F(a,b;Z)} = \frac{F(a,b+1;Z)}{F(a,b;Z)} \; -1$$

we can re-express eq. (26) in terms of the ratio on the right-hand side, which itself can be developed as the continued-fraction of Gauss

$$\frac{F(a,b+1;Z)}{F(a,b;Z)} = \frac{1}{1-} \, \frac{aZ}{1-} \, \frac{(b+1)Z}{1-} \, \frac{(a+1)Z}{1-} \, \frac{(b+2)Z}{1-\cdots} \, .$$

This version of eq. (26) converges only half as rapidly as does eq. (28).

3.4. Steed's algorithm for evaluating continued fractions

Several methods are available to compute the continued fraction

$$h_n \equiv \frac{A_n}{B_n} = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{b_n}$$

and hence to find its limit. One is to calculate the sequences A_n , B_n by their two-term recurrence relations, eq. (11), and to divide the results. A second is to use the algorithm

$$\begin{split} &A_1/B_1=b_0+a_1/b_1, \quad \Delta_1=a_1,\\ &B_0=1, \qquad \qquad B_1=b_1.\\ &\Delta_k=-a_k\Delta_{k-1}, \qquad \qquad B_k=b_k\,B_{k-1}+a_k\,B_{k-2}. \end{split}$$

$$\frac{A_k}{B_k} = \frac{A_{k-1}}{B_{k-1}} + \frac{\Delta_k}{B_k B_{k-1}}$$
 for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

A significant amount of labour can be bypassed by the use of an algorithm due to Steed [9] which we now establish. If we define the quantity D_n to be the ratio of denominators B_{n-1}/B_n then the relation

$$B_n = B_{n-1} b_n + B_{n-2} a_n$$

is equivalent to the relation

$$D_n = 1/(b_n + a_n D_{n-1}). (29)$$

The identical expression results from A_{n-1}/A_n but the two sequences are different because of their different starting values, eq. (11). Now

$$h_{n} \equiv A_{n}/B_{n}$$

$$= \frac{A_{n-1} b_{n} + A_{n-2} a_{n}}{B_{n-1} b_{n} + B_{n-2} a_{n}}$$

$$= \frac{(A_{n-1}/B_{n-1})b_{n} + (A_{n-2} B_{n-2}/B_{n-2} B_{n-1}) a_{n}}{b_{n} + (B_{n-2}/B_{n-1}) a_{n}}$$

$$= (h_{n-1} b_{n} + h_{n-2} D_{n-1})D_{n}. \tag{30}$$

Furthermore, from eq. (29), $b_n D_n + a_n D_n D_{n-1} = 1$ which then yields for the difference between h_n and h_{n-1} the recurrence relation

$$\Delta h_n \equiv h_n - h_{n-1}$$

$$= (b_n D_n - 1)(h_{n-1} - h_{n-2})$$

$$= (b_n D_n - 1)\Delta h_{n-1}.$$
(31)

The expression (31) forms the basis of Steed's algorithm as follows

$$\begin{split} h_0 &= b_0, & D_1 &= 1/b_1, \\ \Delta h_1 &= a_1 D_1, & D_n &= 1/(D_{n-1} \, a_n + b_n), \\ \Delta h_n &= (b_n D_n - 1) \Delta h_{n-1}, & h_n &= h_{n-1} + \Delta h_n, \end{aligned}$$

which can be iterated until $\Delta h_n/h_n$ is sufficiently small. This method is used in subroutine RCWFN.

4. Notes on the program

The calling sequence for the subroutine is CALL RCWFN (RHO, ETA, MINL, MAXL, FC, FCP, GC, GCP, ACCUR, STEP) where the arguments have the following type and meaning:

RHO real, $\rho > 0$. Accuracy reduced if ρ such that $\rho < 0.2 \, \rho_{\mathrm{TP}}$. real, unlimited, RCWFN has been tested for

real, unlimited, RCWFN has been tested for $-1000 \le \eta \le 1000$.

MINL integer Coulomb functions are calculated for MINL $\leq l \leq$ MAXL with MINL ≥ 0

FC, FCP real arrays of dimension at least MAXL – MINL + 1 for F_I , F'_I , G_I , G'_I .

GC, GCP Normally the dimension will be \geq MAXL + 1

ACCUR real, accuracy parameter of the continued fractions. If ACCUR $< 10^{-15}$ or ACCUR $> 10^{-6}$ it is reset to 10^{-6} within RCWFN. A suitable value on the CDC 7600 is 10^{-10} .

real, number of steps in Runge-Kutta integration below the turning point. A suitable value is 100.0 and RCWFN chooses this as a minimum value, which it increases to 1000.0 when necessary.

The subroutine sets up the variables:

R the desired RHO value

TURN $\eta + (\eta^2 + \text{MINL}(\text{MINL} + 1))^{1/2}$, the turning

point for MINL

KTR control variables = 1 if $R \ge TURN$ and -1

if R < TURN

KTRP = -1 if a second evaluation of F'_I/F_I at R = TURN is required,

and then begins the evaluation of $F_{\rm MAXL}/F_{\rm MAXL}$. This is done in terms of two ratios

$$x_l = F_l/F_L$$
 and $x'_l = F'_l/F_L$

for L= MAXL. Starting values for these ratios are $x_L=1$ and $x_L'=f_L$ [which is evaluated by the continued fraction eq. (22)]. Both x_l and x_l' satisfy the downward recurrence relations, eq. (4) and eq. (5), which are used to calculate (and store) values of x_l and x_l' until the value l=m= MINL is reached. This procedure gives us all the values of F_l and F_l' between l= MAXL, MINL to within a common multiplier and this is determined from the wronskian at l=m= MINL. The ratio x_m'/x_m gives f_m , and the continued fraction eq. (28) for $(G_m'+iF_m')/(G_m+iF_m)$ is used to evaluate p_m+iq_m , and hence the complete solution for the four Coulomb functions at l= MINL. The values of G_l and G_l' are then obtained by upward recurrence to l= MAXL, and the values of

 F_l, F_l' result by renormalising the stored values of x_l , x_l' by the quantity $(x_m'G_m - x_mG_m')^{-1/2}$.

The modification of this method of solution if $\rho <$

TURN arises after the x_l, x_l' have been obtained. The subroutine sets $\rho = TURN$ and l = MINL = m and proceeds to evaluate F_m , F_m , G_m and G_m . These are functions at the turning point, and now the differential equations for $G_m(\rho)$ and $G_m'(\rho)$ are integrated inwards, simultaneously, until the required ρ -value is reached. The rest of the calculation proceeds as before. The 4thorder Runge-Kutta technique [10] is employed for the integration. We found this method to be satisfactory over 6–10 orders of magnitude $(G, G' \sim 10^6 - 10^{10})$ in that 6D accuracy was maintained in the final results with 1000 steps in the integration. In extreme cases of say 50-100 orders of magnitude only 1D or 2D survived. This loss of accuracy is not merely a function of the step length but also of the truncation errors in the 4thorder algorithm. Consequently this method of extrapolation towards small ρ eventually cannot compete with approximations designed for small ρ [1, 2, 4]. The use of the logarithmic variables defined by Froberg (eqs. 6.1, 6.2) seems a more efficient procedure but in practice it offered no advantages.

The subroutine has been extensively tested on the CDC 7600 at the University of Manchester Regional Computing Centre, on the IBM 370/165 at the Daresbury Laboratory, and on the IBM 360/195 at the Rutherford High Energy Laboratory. For these latter two machines, and others of smaller word length, if accuracies exceeding 10^{-6} are desired it is important to run the routine in double word length, including the arrays FC, FCP, GC, GCP. Suitable declaration statements for IBM machines are:

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H, O-Z)

REAL*8 K, K1, K2, K3, K4, M1, M2, M3, M4

FLOAT(I) = DFLOAT(I)

EXP(X) = DEXP(X)ALOG(X) = DLOG(X)

ABS(X) = DABS(X)

IFIX(X) = X

SQRT(X) = DSQRT(X)

Ensure that card 156 which defines R3 reads

R3 = 1.0/3.0D0

(as it does in our listing).

5. Test deck and accuracy checks

The Coulomb wavefunction test program CFNCHK is a short routine to call RCWFN for specified arguments and to compute two test quantities. These are the wronskian

$$W = F_{l}'G_{l} - F_{l}G_{l}' = 1$$
 (8)

and the relation

$$W_l = F_l G_{l+1} - F_{l+1} G_l = 1/R_{l+1}. (9)$$

Two input cards are required for each case:

CARD 1

ETA, N, (L(I), I = 1, 10) FORMAT (F10.3, 1115)

CARD 2

RHO(I), I = 1, N FORMAT (7F10.3)

where $N \le 7$ is the number of ρ -values to be found on CARD 2, and N = 0 calls EXIT. If N is made negative a heading on a new page is produced, and (-N) ρ -values are sought. Repetitions of CARD 2 can be used for 14 or 21 ρ -values. The array L contains up to 10 l-values which will be calculated for each ρ -value. The program outputs the input data, the values of F_l , F_l , G_l , G_l and the quantities

TEST 1 =
$$W - 1$$
 and TEST 2 = $W_l - 1/R_{l+1}$

and both are expected to be zero.

The value of TEST 1 is not an independent test since the Wronskian relation is used in the subroutine. It can thus be regarded as a check on the arithmetic and it is usually less than 2×10^{-14} with single-precision arithmetic on the CDC 7600. The quantity TEST 2, on the other hand, is a test of the results since it is evaluated after two separate calls to RCWFN. The first has MINL = 0, MAXL = l while the second has MINL = 1, MAXL= l + 1 and this means that evaluations of the continued fractions for different l-values are called for. Since the definition of TEST 2 embodies all the properties of the Coulomb functions which determine their solution it does not follow that if TEST 2 is 10^{-12} , for example, that the wavefunctions themselves have this accuracy, or indeed even a related accuracy. Nevertheless our experience does suggest that its value gives a reasonable guide to the errors. We display in the test run output the test cases of Bardin et al. [4] for which $\rho > 0.2\rho_{TP}$, and some others. The results, given to 12D, are ob-

tained with ACCUR = 10^{-14} and STEP = 999.0; the column labelled W-1 contains TEST 1 and that headed TEST contains TEST 2, as described above. The agreement in most cases is excellent having regard to the values of TEST and of the approximate errors discussed in [4], but a systematic discrepancy is evident in those cases where the asymptotic Riccati method (no. 8 of [4]) is used for the lowest values of ρ . The values of F_l , G_l agree to 9D-12D while those for F'_l , G'_l in ref. [4] are seriously different from our results. An example is for $\eta = -50.0$, $\rho = 5.0$ where F_0 , G_0 agree to 12D whereas F_0' differs at 7D and G_0' at 5D; the expected accuracy claimed by Bardin et al. is about 10D. This behaviour is illustrated in table 1 and it indicates presumably that the boundary line for the use of the asymptotic Riccati method was drawn somewhat too low in ρ -value. Since the two methods of solution agree for F_{I} , G_{I} , a numerical differentiation can be used to obtain the derivative independently, and this agrees with the values provided by RCWFN. It is clearly an extremely difficult task to assess the errors of all such high-accuracy calculations (except in special cases as above) and beyond about 8D it is often unclear which program is checking which.

In practice, however, such precision is irrelevant. The same test deck calculated with ACCUR = 10^{-7} and STEP = 100.0 produced results which are in agreement with those of Bardin et al. to the 6th digit (except, of course, for the cases in table 1), and very similar results were obtained on the IBM machines. For normal nuclear-reaction calculations such precision is adequate. Further comments on the range and limitations of RCWFN will be found in the last section.

6. Limitations of the method

Arising out of the considerations of accuracy in the previous section, it is natural to investigate the limits of the present approach. We will restrict ourselves to a few observations derived from extensive use and development of the subroutine. A mathematical study will appear later. For all values of $\rho \geqslant \rho_{TP}$ the algorithms are only limited by the word-size used and by the round-off errors inherent in calculating the continued fractions until convergence. A convenient feature of the algorithms is that relatively few additional iterations are necessary to obtain results to a considerably higher

Table 1 Comparison of continued fraction method with some asymptotic Riccati results. The first row is from the test run output; the second (with the same power of 10) from ref. [41].

as ain	cond (with t	ne same po	ule second (With the same power of 10) from ref. [4]	m ref. [4].						
Ē	O.	F ₀ (n,0)	(0)	F, (n,p)	(d ,	(°°u)	(d *) (9	G,(n,p)	TEST
-500	0.61	-1.56747	426448 E-1 426448	-5.16358 -5.16398	838507 E-1 330790	-1.11662 -1.11662	577817 E-2 577815	6.34290 6.34290	607911 E+0 326579	1E-14 4E-13
-200	0.155	9.26335 9.26335	589426 E-2 591744	-5.20338 -5.20248	827163 E+0 460204	-1.05498 -1.05498	227730 E-1 227893	-4.86920 -4.87023	468457 E+0 387696	Æ-15 4E-9
-50	5	1.52236 1.52236	975714 E-1 975714	2.03091 2.03091	041166 E+0 614165	4.41680	690236 E-1 690236	-6.76485	374767 E-1 750507	1E-14 9E-13
-50	20	5.81412 5.81412	475321 E-1 475320	8.49247	350399 E-1 565739	4.89200 4.89200	660675 E-1 660676	-1.00539	231599 E+0 213480	-4E-13 -4E-14
-10	3.1	1.92806 1.92806	827635 E-1 828167	-1.55175	356287 E+0 779834	-5.74163	450399 E-1 451255	-5.65539	205794 E-1 394424	-8E-15 3E-9
34	116.4	-1.22893 -1.22893	716648 E+O 716643	1.33208	933613 E-1 506068	2.00811	761092 E-1 761083	7.91944	540370 E-1 139574	-3E-12 -8E-11
S	153.3	-1.29566	554688 E+0 554683	-7.28583 -7.28495	466960 E-2 928556	-1.30262	077773 E-1 077755	7.64479	168841 E-1 048891	-2E-12 -8E-11
92	1000	-1.65581 -1.65581	311974 E-1 311968	-9.34063 -9.34063	273097 E-1 273043	-1.04432	596295 E+0 596295	1.48165	711328 E-1 711669	-1E-13 -4E-14
500	476	4.20046	440799 E-1 440740	-6.10636 -6.10639	723020 E-1 246490	-1.52505	808348 E+0 808337	-1.63656	974114 E-1 811979	6E-13 -2E-10
809	1095	1.46929	027 367 E+0 027350	3.23944	539005 E-1 776975	1.11156	684610 E+0 684581	-4.35525 -4.35532	914746 E-1 543385	-2E-12 -4E-10

Table 2 A sample calculation to 18D of Coulomb functions with $\rho = 2\eta$ and L = 0 [11]. The parameter ACCUR was set at 10^{-21} in the double precision version of RCWFN.

TEST OF THE MANCHESTER COULOMS WAVEFUNCTION PROGRAM . RCMFN

49	691679	56132351553894835	80305956408395083	44444444444444444444444444444444444444	# / # D 1 / # D 5 D D 2 / 2 D 5	5/90590/64/1091	191107709676	20/018/4104/06393	56167098793	555927256629	550455774990		540373914869	35742845978	53136153241547777	507010H76064B0740	30407045404B070	31052008143548BD	- A1806800814000		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #			**************************************	00100000000000000000000000000000000000	•	ORV/4001/20/20/808/40**	•	. 490000344123543434	48763330783596507	• 485346039550612073	.4831337UB17203358	- 480991898443971	-,478916561495292902	. 476903971773195223	-,474950699311727625	-,47305357811538905U	-,471209680940693404	409410240033801127	- 467670909436361035	• 465971182031829U76	 464314938552189343 	- 458U86194401178475	•,452412680675066787	147208597	1240622726	5124405914278	-,433799440113401204	œ	62657	42282790	41957922	41650112791090005	41357758086295613	5838	92347680	39
e.	1,148208486965474131	-	A4849049817019416	0.48.470.40.440.44		222200784	7040407	4610441	97463426793015	21741252870013	1202721094029	45	484700303049553	503111000288887	0491689156036	A A A O S A O S A O B A O O	55060818153501V	K6752488048454	481776045440889	47 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		4000044000440000400004400444004440404040		4408471719694		021000012400000101	255526 [COS55/61/00*]	1,0///420//440000000000000000000000000000	2/4914906///200001	1,098040737979436540	1,707733064943878743	1,1/16056840242703	1,726338564312094101	1,735281048937165334	1,744000846487446741	1,752509738001118190	1,760818572397683218	1,768937363168798799	1,708/33/280113/363	1,784641186735169267	22427785	1,799687566975111865	280303449	1,854343697745818603	1,878921290712321990	01996020021	2375584878	44354775729	32728	982560754526	.000366183406	017414842373	,033773967174	049501996475	.06465005	07926315911871662	,09338112555325101
FP	104938611	. 592924555360720776	21229011095616	D - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8 -	0.000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	77101010101010	14001100	1099/40/0203463	0400781649493473	3147580377034	8546991	5789975554	5817	62319457588325	56601224972436	10106121622211	5666242181198	014046A64040	TARROADE DE LA TERRA DEL TERRA DE LA TERRA DEL TERRA DE LA TERRA D			01000000000000000000000000000000000000	10 / 31 / 00 / / 11 / 00 / 40 / 40 / 40 / 40 /	20100111111111111111111111111111111111	MACC-80-000-1400-1400-14	0 1 5 5 1 1 0 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1	3 1 2 4 7 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	0140227000000000000000000000000000000000	05/500000000000000000000000000000000000	4304040404040404	79520521795525267	* 502594612001220344	30335184140180432	, 301483333419602548	.299688471097679328	297962086397732744	962995879789	4696799957335	291/191/52661166	42910002323097934	*2902194203846335	#28811752905226998	42838171861818888888	,279015514826064592	274851048424339390	,271090091115407894	67	6447077375118	6153707960034811	81056553992500	5626588610115852	5388196057856969	5164107969413262	49528232643018	4753059269956654	456371	4383824333752200
•	035429	.516601500314181575	8901451434	CEREINIETIS		70100			//112	8226	30496	824215134429597640	,837634064540468182	.850022806838043657	.861542985630724314		_	892021351143997427	· a,	000011111111111111111111111111111111111	9) ¥	31780	0404044044040440	200011001111100111		2 0	1000404040400001	//FF112050/2/10/05*	0007/1000067071574	47,007,225,4825,170,4	10227102/12/2/2/2/2/205		•	.00097009048678866	1,000038190332746411	1.011027512778279866	1,013693333744807882	1 0000000000000000000000000000000000000	1,023242036940704636	16/2/10/10/16/20/20/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10	1,034274453020203053	1606084620118116081	1,000/43825490252472	•	.094893552080730	,107706056074175	,11981469567822	.13129950870088452	.1422272618	.15265426755300592	.162628485736277	.17219111865362558	1,181377839682654270	021975284032481	87441512	1,206975123287461823
_	0	0	_			٠,	- 0	.	c (c	c	c	c	c	0		· c			: c	· c	· c						- (= (= :	- 0	-	- (o (D (c (0 4	٥ د	.	٠ د	D (= •	0	c	c	0	c	0	¢	0	c	c	c	0	c	c
5. 2.	ຫຼ				•	•	•	•	•	•	•									•	•	•	•	: .	: ,	• •	i,			•	•	Ö	ċ.	ċ	٠,	٠.			•	•	-	•	٠.		ċ	œ	ċ	ċ	4.	÷	ė	ċ	ċ	4	ċ	æ	اہ
ETA	22.	30		•	•	•	•	`.'	•	~	r.	٦.	٩	~	ď	. `		. `		•	2 6				. 6	2 6) (- H		90) i			0.0	60	22	50.0			n (900	90	000	6	00.	6	6	60.	20.	00.6	00	1,00	2,00	3,00	00.	2,00

order of accuracy. As an example we give in table 2 a short list of l=0 wavefunctions to 18D for selected values of $\rho=2\eta$ which can be compared with the calculations of Abramowitz and Rabinowitz [11] in 1954. The agreement is complete to within 1 unit in the seventh decimal place, as was indeed claimed by them. A double-precision version of the subroutine was used for table 2 and each derivative was checked by numerical differention. The value of the parameter ACCUR when $\rho \geqslant \rho_{\text{TP}}$ approximately determines the final accuracy of the results; it was taken as 10^{-21} .

For large values of ρ the limitation on the efficiency of RCWFN is the calculation of $f_l = F_l^{\prime}/F_l$ which requires about ρ + 50 iterations. Thus a practical upper limit to ρ is perhaps 20 000. For small ρ , say 0.001, the limit is in the calculation of

$$p_1 + iq_1 = (G_1' + iF_1')/(G_1 + iF_1)$$

which may require 50 000 iterations. Clearly these are inefficient methods if many results are required. The calculation in RCWFN will cease if more than 20 000 iterations for f_l , or 23 000 for p_l + $\mathrm{i}q_l$, are required or if $|G_l'|$ approaches the computer word size during the integration, and zeros are returned for the functions.

In calculations involving high partial waves, $l \sim 500-1000$, errors may propagate by successive iterations from the l=0 wavefunctions. We have run some checks on this point, examples of which appear at the end of the test run output. For an η -value of 50.0 and a ρ -value of 600.0, which exceeds the turning point for l=500 ($\rho_{\rm TP}=552.99$), we evaluate the Coulomb functions for MINL = 0, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500 and MAXL = 500 and hence show that rounding errors are of minor importance. Similar conclusions are evident for $\eta=50.0$, $\rho=1200.0$, MINL = 0(200) 1000 and MAXL = 1000. It is to be noted that in our method we do not calculate the l=0 (or, in general, l= MINL) wavefunctions directly but combine the claculation of e.g. F'_{1000}/F_{1000} , after downward recurrence to F'_0/F_0 with the value of $(G'_0+iF'_0)/(G_0+iF_0)$. The method thus differs from the classical one [1-4].

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the expert advice and willing assistance of M.G. James in all computing matters. Useful discussions with Drs. P.J.A. Buttle and M. Vallieres are acknowledged. One of us (DHF) wishes to thank the Science Research Council for the provision of a Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

- [1] C.E. Froberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 27 (1955) 399.
- [2] M.H. Hull and G. Breit, Encyclopedia of Physics, ed. S. Flugge (Springer Verlag, Berlin 1959) vol. 41/1, p. 408.
- [3] B. Buck, R.N. Maddison and P.E. Hodgson, Phil. Mag. 5 (1960) 118.
- [4] C. Bardin et al., Computer Phys. Commun. 3 (1972) 73.
- [5] A.R. Barnett, D.H. Feng and L.J.B. Goldfarb, Phys. Lett. 48B (1974) 290.
- [6] A.R. Barnett, D.H. Feng and L.J.B. Goldfarb, Computer Phys. Commun., to be published.
- [7] B.N. Taylor, W.H. Parker and D.N. Langenberg, Rev, Mod. Phys. 41 (1969) 378.
- [8] S. Wall, The Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions, (Van Nostrand, New York, 1948).
- [9] J.W. Steed, PhD thesis (University of Manchester 1967) unpublished.
- [10] L. Fox and D.F. Mayers, Computing Methods for Scientists and Engineers, (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1968) p. 202.
- [11] M. Abramowitz and P. Rabinowitz, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954)
- [12] K.S. Kölbig, Computer Phys. Commun. 4 (1972) 214.

Note added in proof

A complete review of recent methods of computing Coulomb wavefunctions is that of Kölbig [12], which has only just come to our attention.

TEST RUN OUTPUT

TEST OF THE MANCHESTER COULDING WAVEFUNCTION PROGRAM . RCHFN

1631	145	99.6			9.5	*4 E . 14	.3,E-13	.3.E.12	8 E-15	F. F.	4,500	9 4 6	1 9 7 9 7		. E . 12	1 E m 1 4	-2,E-14	#4 E . 15	1 2 2	7.6	F = 14	.3 E-14	1, E. 14	4.5.14	. 4. F . 1 3		21.17.0	i	E E E E	6.E-12	7.Em13	63,574.00 0.14.10	2144628 214462	F 1 1 1	2.E-13	2,£-12	2,E*13	٠,	41.14		2.6.12	4.E-15	.1,E.13	3,E+14	6.E.14	4.5.		. B. E. 14
3	. 3. Ee 15		1 1 1 1 1 1	-1.E-14	-4.E-15			.1.E-14	<u>.</u>	=7,E=15	3	•			3	-	.5,E.15		2			44.1	4,E+15	1,6014		1			-2.E-13	9.E.14	41.	- 7: 1	Z = 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	9.E.16	-		ī	-1 -1 -1 4 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -		9 6			E=14	71-				
a o	-9,78523412082E+02	## 022/240908/E+UZ	1.482235296795=01	-6,95850221937E-01	-4 30243486522E+00	1,64091977791E+00		=1.01306729907E+00	3.99878108841E+00	6 54290507911E+00	001430070007007111	#1.1/893U9/883E+UU	-4.85920458487F+00	#8.63897250489E#01	-4.21803449477E-01	-6.754R5374767F-01	8,58760243738E+01	*1.199423/7/98E+00	0.091331073205100.0	1.5108862814E+00	-1,14716299874E+00	-4.07522179361E+03	-1 .17470596213E+22	00410/40916	#1,000392313998+00 1,014:4:4:430498+00		1,15848414100F+00	1.29296330882E+00	-4,78761065985E-01	-1.27246037462E+00	9, 52599732372E=01	1 1 1 4 4 4 6 2 0 1 3 0 E + 0 0		-5,65539205794E-01	3581407356	•	-2 42321740803E-01	00.00020000000000000000000000000000000	59791041854F	.22664871390E	2,32697582573E-01	.6.36110427280E-01	1,004945594538+00	J. 18202528554E.01	20 79998853866 + 00	**	1,193149534NOF449	4,43131600080+118
IJ	1,550599216475+00	¢		2	_		-3,86532279941		•1 •20060036910E	2 13 13 00 23 / 70 1 / E#UZ	10934400BB6650	-4.53284624318F	-	5.45502478229E	Œ		*4 26299008702E	-2 08464478031F-01	6.65491186260E+02	3,315883246118-01	-3.86487539249E-01	1,05772803735E+03	1,32186136031E+21	1 * 3004 / ZUSZBSE+89	44.68803482786E01	4.26347096842F#01	*3.58896812233E*03	-1,36461036469E=01	-7,10519441453E+01	-1.51281009646E-01	#5,20249371722E+01	0 1091440B480F404	*3.81736647052E=01	-5,74163450399E=01	.36665935802E-01	ـ	7.01500U11542E=01	0./2001091008E01	-8.55906713933E-01	6.91651921576E-01	*9.69817536553E-01	6.74327035383E=01	.67204210149	** 70189296614E**01	2. COUUS # 660 US # F + US +	465N754219	•	2,22290834565+114
a. k.	6.32274340306E=01	4	a 0	n .	0	3.38892408671	0 40005250250177	Ė	VONES CARRES OF THE	04935480214	1.550076273016-01	?	9	1.22055184960F+00	1.002645381658+00	2.030910411665+00	1 768604786636+00	*1.36860370000F+00	2,75219646328E-01	1,33846751032E+00	-8.88099281094F-01	4,92321055485F=04	3.03UZBUZBBBBBBBBBB	8.44947480300F=01	-8.13864797554F+01	7.402434994346-01	-6,23348321659F +01	-2,38355261214E-01	-1.22068610371E+00	#2,323506025736+01	4.440000000000000000000000000000000000	2.34028371225E=04	03668985836	-1,55175356287E+00	1.501035354998*01	1.26390363003E=01	1.1116.000918400	-1.00596660393E+00	-1.10869089826F+ng	7.32156892237E-01	5619771912	1.09821233636E+00	-7.58912963040E-01	00+45/005/54404040414	1 4476380445081103	2,756194769735#18	4.43813906512E-42	2,26058262062*115
b.		0		1,399209289256+00	,	•	5 92019102093EB01	•	-	E C	6	_	•	7	3.555401489728	- ī	2.672618436786401	-3,62923967642E=01	-4.69406488262E-01	œ,	ი -	4 20264913301521	1.86693584349	5,81412475321	40	٩	,70084506913	-7,48260826432	7.0	•	+8.37683933712F#D1	2,233045936046-04	4,589858506925.01	1.92806827635E-01	3 8524788: 1075-01	1.570792043165-01	3.96676536014E=01	4,09228090574E.01	1.965580342595.01	6.83030680n99E=01	-2.31316696922E-01	4.U/do2/23UU0E+01	SO BAKKABAASAOR OF	7.219855215507102	2990728263E	4,60279973470E-19	4,40630855559E-43	1,12267597835#116
_	00										0		0	0			٠ ~			-:	~	7 10		0			P) (en (- 6) C	80	100	0	٥ د	o c	0	c	0	0	0	c c	-	v	0	2	30	00	00
9 1 0	120,000	50,000	20,000	000			1000.000	590	. 610	100,000	1000,000	.145	155	100,000	000 0001	000	5.000	5,000	3,000	2000	000		3,000	50,000	20,000	90°00	20.000	000,000		20.00	50,000	50,000	2,900	200	000.000	0	7,100	17,400	17,600	000 001	000,0001			000 8	5,000	8	3,000	000 %
ETA	300	0	0.0				0000	500 0	-500,0	-200	*500,0	-200,0	*200°0	0.0024		0.00	50.0	.20.0	0.00	000		200	50.0	• 50 0	- 50.0	- 20° n	0.00	0.00		0.00	50.0	.50,0	0.0			0.9	0.9	0	0 4		9		0.4	4.0	0.4.0	•	0.4	

	TEST	*	5.E.14	H	E.	N.			-	4	F.						i s		:-1	.	_		E.	E.	E .			F .	3.E.13	-1				F = 1		 	50	ه نما		i ini	8,6-13	، ت		*1.Ee14	-9.E=14
	3		Z, C=15	•	F	a i	, i				Fel			ندا					E.	4 . E	4 4 4		L E .	E .		7.6.1	F -	1.E	4,t=15	F = 1	2.E.1	E E	E			ш	2.E.1			L L					-9 E-14
- ROSFN	8. O	8586E=0	9360E+	36132+0	95306-0	7267E=	8717E*	-36662	4866E+	0446E-	1542E=	8456E.	3333E+0	3417E.D	6468E-	48085	11106	0536E=	3097E*	8935E=	4820E= 7844E=	7175E-	8714E=	6434E+0	4533E+	14955	0202E-	7118E-	8233F*0 6368E*0	3893E+0	13226+0	7941E+0	6902E+0	43466+	0281E+2	8406E+5	9358+12	3752E=0	7061600	8412E-0	0862E=0	2789E=	7058E	2369E+	8259E+
WAVEFUNCTION PROGRAM	G	22832107813E+01	18534354187E=01 72776239043E=01	1.80993170986E-01	.60048697449E+01	8,77093831067E=01	437873476615-01	Z. V93234/U336E=U1	0,10010108001E=US	486005137296-01	.32538631326E+01	41436566803E=01	000005000000000000000000000000000000000	99950000417E-01	95004165278E+01	40302303868E#01	62318872287E-01	62379076286E-01	,27577878477E+00	.00796384274E=01	793061668705+01	03120585919E+00	92660472446E-01	993085048255-01	33312774446E+07	. 73528104894F+00	1,01290851251E+00	.05747192361E+00	1,93984775363E=01 9,96133632546E=01	.67637564193E+05	97940153028E+05	47978845520E+05	84915797796E+06	59559146826E+08	47223679219E+26	28070092910E+50	95585803310+123	13688213569E+00	112624383756+00	033651265262+00	.44907634434E-01	1.08034461290E+00	30731750175F+00	1.34226818062E+02	50484367333E+24
MANCHESTER COULOMB	<u>a</u>	94100934435F	0 0	93913418972E=	21749731522E-	26703490800E=	40550934806E=0	021/8848044180	374895080885F=1	78940011638F	65595782501E#0	42473282788E	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	99950000417E	95004165278	403523038686	52318872287E	52379076286E	81557455710E	84709582985E	39554302422E	151879228676	82676220296E	98507100149F • 0	005167716475#0	014833534205=0	31608367267E+0	54441105976E=0	#1,77302473355#01 9,88134928826E#01	09759950467E=0	62336071014E=0	15881372654E=0	80453363740F=0	21968559646E=1	05356149068E=2	21349851593E=5	43944020525=12	79909263353E**	81043957098F=0	99223383111E-0	21525638376E*	07592601896E=	54436751935 54436751932F	89565495430	43607814052E+
TEST OF THE	•	-2.77250198046E-	-8.40196996900F=	9 47446263766E-	-4,40046636665E-	4,21084204243E=0	-7.67805341241E=0	0.51516451640	3.14179162347F	4,94136409096F*0	3.09016485748E-	9,38314793063E+	9.09999833333E-0	9,99983333417E=0	9.98334166468E+O	-6.44470984808E=0	-5.06365641110E-0	8,26879540536E-0	6,61781613833E-D	*1,05570358181E+0	-1,0031/229511E+0	-2,56320123198E-0	1.57407746175E=0	-6,01756274039E+0	9,88270778102Ee0	9,95667489034E=0	1,00861490379E+0	9.54304912831E=0	-1.25742178869E-01	1,72074542808E-0	1.43822713899E=0	5.97800909895E=0	1,31826036427E=0	3.28278064114E=1	3,18942998567E=2	2,13937218584E+5	4,16264130910-12	2,37518766416E#0 -6 48759746076E-0	-2.35462997144F-D	-4,77011395975E-0	#1.00346497670E+0	4,16672475541E=0	**************************************	5.76729101128E	7,69173185691E-
	د					(N P	7 1	2	•																			00					c	(4)	20	<u>-</u>					C	4 •7) NO	S
	8 10	30.00	00.00	30 00	50,00	50,00	000		00.00	7.90	8 10	100,001	00	Ē	Õ		100,00	1000,000	2,00	06.0		9,200	!	1000,000	1,05	9,000	20,800	000	1000,000		66	900	5.00	o c	o e	2,00	300		000	30.00	50,00	0000		30.00	50,00
	ETA	4		-	•	•	• •			•			0	0	c :		0	0		0	-		9	- 0	o c	90	0	တ် ဖ	0	10,0	0 0	0	10.0	0 0	0	10.0	0.0		000	0	0.0	0 0		0	0.01

	TEST	P 1 P 1	•	181			2.1	5) (3		4	2 2 2 2	لَمَا ا	W 1	2,5	-3.E-11	88 E-1.		1,6-1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2	E ST	r) 0	1,E-11	<u>ن</u> و	2.5	2,E=10	1 0 M	8 E 1 2	ŭ,	0 0 0 0	Ñ	10 mm		• •	-3.E-1.	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	2,E=1(80	*7.E*1	.3,6-1
	3	4.E-15	2.E-15	F .	# E		F. 1			F. 1	4.Em15		•		L.	9		•	7 .	# 4		m.		3,5	4 E.1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	S. F.	7 E	E E	2.E.1	4 14	E .	E .		F.	E 1	, u	LL I	15 E 15	-7.E-15	-1.E-14
Z L I U C I	e 9	176268E 3701118E	3893246791E=0	175608979E=0	360804438E*O	1850879783E+0	35107698558+0	3978789245E=0	3814/46//8E=U	3865617145E=0	1806516E-	3374862631E+0	1550232622E+0	3010070679E+0	1110567292E-	4226716433E=	3152053/54E**	5163232319E+0	3165711328E=0	3805605618E=0 8084420488E=0	3602939247E=0	9627751248E=0	3683701194E=0	2022224704E=0	7353264491E+0	2088192329E= 2048401279E+	9604968934E+0	5191274637E=0	1692307136E=	\$680279840E=0	3656974114E=0 3626152391E=0	0790995398E+0	0121373882F+0	2384108034E#0	2214527754E=0	2290648502E=0	5525914746E#U	3728710472E+	4469801810E=	4394604184E=	5555503365E
KAVEFUNCTION PROGRAM	G	1.57544174746E+07 1.27853537642E+07	2.64808995456E+	2,10703938758E+	1,02105209693E+	1,05815115165CF	8,046067107228+0	2,24165682521E+0	2,20296989948E+	8,03570986464E=0	4.11946933130Em	8,70930332566E=0	1,13796294833E+0	9 93452150841E+0	2,34680489911E+0	2,31238998999E+0	-2.81900180075E=0	4.89347543830E+0	-1,04432596295E+0	-1.04576577634E+0	-1.05170688765E+0	-1,05709767669E+0	#9,99082523040E+0	7,43929454387E+0	2,53963904196E=0	** 98554253144E*	3,48128926522E+0	3,25118563457E+0	2,95864/46041E+U	-1.24599573540E+0	*1,52505808348E+0	1,72876744622E+0	9,61309194998E+0	3,69/8/284/3/E+0	3,19557212237E+0	1,49313335619E+0	1.11156684610E+0	1,08964346775E+	4.09255913389E+D	3,64498433934E+0	7,39981588961E=0
MANCHESTER COULONS	£	3,23118014	2,25471559616E	2,42125624592E	7,037598093795	1,52757131557 4,95052476883F	6.32953581764E	2,307137055925	2.30505101327E	2,78457249564F	3.03100736722E	8,40807046852E	4,46639955061E	5.11675235419E	2,15642270714E	2,155738299796	-1,62131094239F	4.64400527350E	-9,34063273097E	-9.35350496214E	-9.40661432623E	-9,45477732181E	*8.93566890370F	6,65051404114E	2,26855983258	-4.43161964617E	1,45476647461E	1,678601897236	1.67584921532E	-4.97941021547E	*6.10636723020E	2.92443068049E	5,261269121675	1.454660348366	1,44423361185F	4,35124353444E	3.23944539005E	4,66213784110E	1.28927279390E	1.28884534129F	6.61854934048E
TEGT OF THE	ند د	0 2.07292502747E=08 0 3.69173028846E=08	9,077205630678	1,214934041986	6,33002441304E	1,00121509253E	7.54363068649E	1,24766281927E	1.270740340888	1,23024654105E	-1,24530758487E	*5,26610693682E	6,34872031775E	7,30561336690E	1,35535307794E	1,37691502927E	-1.27213570977E	9,02460923791E	#1,65581311974E	*1.56234523525E	-1.09338444943E	-2,44816942225E	3,46330582628E	-7 51833277569E	-1,02728883766E	9,36270607960F	3,56086598724E	1.53885091324E	1.70003104/55E	9.81681975837E	4,20046440799E	9,67977017096E	1,764340059996	1,644/5/44/308	2,13502662979E	1,08691119464E	1,46929027367E	2,249,33066403E	2,104325309318	2,362881645245	7,55288842589E
	9 1	24.300	700	000	100,000	000	2,500	006		000,00	5.200	000 00	009	800	000.00	00,100	53,100	000,00	000,00	000 00	000.00	000,00	000	00,00	000.00	. 000 . 000 . 000	44,000	000.66	000.10	75,000	70,000	18,000	20,000	000.00	000 10	94.000	000.000	920,000	000.66	000.000	000,000
	ETA	26.0	•		ø.	•		•	•	. 4	4.4	. 4	0				•		00	88	88	8	200	8	00	e e	8	6	96	00	88	0	6.6	9	8	8	000	60	6.5	900	00

Carried Carry

	1631	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
273	6 .	1.63257196022E+00 e7,21624255448E=02 e2,Ee13 1.63257196022E+00 e7,21624255448E=02 e2,Ee13 1.63257196022E+00 e7,21624255449E=02 e9,Ee14 1.63257196022E+00 e7,21624255449E=02 e9,Ee14 1.6325719602E+00 e7,21624255449E=02 e7,Ee13 1.6325719602E+00 e7,21624255449E=02 e7,Ee13 5.4834260843E=01 6,34903977242E=01 e2,Ee13 5.48342608439E=01 6,34903977242E=01 e3,Ee13 5.48342608439E=01 6,34903977242E=01 e7,Ee13 5.48342608439E=01 6,34903977242E=01 e7,Ee13
THENT OF THE TAXOLINGTHE COULDING MAVENCIOLISM PROGRAM . ACKEN	ဖ	
ANCHESTER COULSES	Q.	6.04848228649E=01 6.04848228649E=01 6.04848228649E=01 6.04848228649E=01 6.04848228649E=01 2.89968760186E=01 2.89968760186E=01 2.89968760186E=01 2.89968760186E=01 2.89968760186E=01 2.89968760186E=01 2.89968760186E=01
TEST OF THE P	is.	500 1,73798850811E=01 500 1,73798850811E=01 500 1,73798850811E=01 500 1,73798850810E=01 500 1,73798850810E=01 1000 1,73798850810E=01 1000 1,35051870473E+00 1000 1,35051870473E+00 1000 1,35051870473E+00 1000 1,35051870473E+00
	_	
	e I	\$6000000000000000000000000000000000000
	ETA	

PROGRAM LISTING

```
ABPCRCWFN. COULOMB WAVE FUNCTIONS FOR ALL REAL ETA AND RHO.
   1 BARNETT, A.R., FENG, D.H., STEED, J.W., GOLDFARB, L.J.B. JOB ARBFF,:MBCNP,CP76(T36,P2000)
   CONVERT, INPUT, FILE, IBM360.
   REWIND, FILE.
  FTN, I=FILE, R=1, LX.
LDSET, MAP=B/ZZZZMP, PRESET=NGINF.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              5678
   LCO, FILE, PL=1500.
  ####S
  PROGRAM CFNCHK(INPUT,OUTPUT,TAPE5=INPUT,TAPE6=OUTPUT)

C *** MAIN PROGRAM TO TEST COULOMB WAVEFUNCTIONS MANCHESTER MAY 1974

DIMENSION FC(1200),FCP(1200),GC(1200),GCP(1200),RHO(21),LLL(10)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          9
10
                         DIMENSION FC(:200), FCP(1200), GC(:200), GC(:2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          11
  100
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          12
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         13
14
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         15
16
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         17
18
                          ACCUR = 1.0E-1.

STEP = 999.0

DO 10 I = 1,N

DO 10 J = 1,10
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        19
20
                           IF(LLL(J).EQ.O.AND.J.GT.1) GO TO 10
L = LLL(J)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         21
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         22
                           CALL RCWFN(RHO(I), ETA, M , L , FC, FCP, GC, GCP, ACCUR, STEP)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         23
24
                          F = FC (L+1)
FP = FCP(L+1)
G = GC (L+1)
GP = GCP(L+1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        25
26
27
28
RLP1 = FLOAT(L+1)/SQRT(ETA*ETA + FLOAT((L+1)**2))

C *** MINL = M + 1 MAXL = L + 1 IN THIS CALL TO CHECK RESULTS ***

CALL RCWFN(RHO(I), ETA, M+1, L+1, FC, FCP, GC, GCP, ACCUR, STEP)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         29
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         30
                         TEST1 = FP+G - F+GP - 1.00
TEST2 = F+GC(L+2) - G+FC(L+2) - RLP1
WRITE(6,4) ETA,RHO(I),L,F,FP,G,GP,TEST1,TEST2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         31
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        32
33
34
35
36
 10
                          CONTINUE
                     CONTINUE
GO TO 100

FORMAT(1H1,30X,61H TEST OF THE MANCHESTER COULOMB WAVEFUNCTION PRO
1GRAM - RCWFN //,4X,4H ETA,6X,4H RHO,5X,2H L,8X,2H F,17X,2HFP,
117X,2H G,19X,2HGP,10X,4H W-1,5X,4HTEST,/)
FORMAT(F10.3,1215)
FORMAT(F10.3)
FORMAT(F10.1,F10.3,15,4(1X,1PE18.11),2(2X,E7.0))
FND
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         37
38
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        39
40
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        41
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        42
                          END
SUBROUTINE RCWFN(RHO, ETA, MINL, MAXL, FC, FCP, GC, GCP, ACCUR, STEP)

REAL K,K1,K2,K3,K4,M1,M2,M3,M4

DIMENSION FC(1),FCP(1),GC(1),GCP(1)

C *** COULOMB WAVEFUNCTIONS CALCULATED AT R = RHO BY THE

C *** CONTINUED-FRACTION METHOD OF STEED MINL, MAXL ARE ACTUAL L-VALUES

C *** SEE BARNETT FENG STEED AND GOLDFARB COMPUTER PHYSICS COMMUN 1974
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        43
44
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        45
46
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       47
48
                         PACE = STEP
ACC = ACCUR
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        49
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        50
                         IF(PACE.LT.100.0) PACE = 100.0
IF(ACC.LT.1.0E-15.OR.ACC.GT.1.0E-6) ACC = 1.0E-6
                                           = RHO
                         KTR = 1
                         LMAX = MAXL
                         LMIN1 = MINL + 1
                         XLL1 = FLOAT(MINL*LMIN1)
                         ETA2 = ETA*ETA
                         TURN = ETA + SQRT(ETA2 + XLL1)
IF(R.LT.TURN.AND.ABS(ETA).GE.1.OE-6) KTR = -1
                        KTRP = KTR
                        GO TO 2
```

```
6345667690123455677777788888888889999
1
                       = TURN
             TF
                       = F
                      = FP
             TFP
             LMAX = MINL
            KTRP = 1
ETAR = ETA*R
2
             RH02 =
                               R*R
RHU2 = R*R
PL = FLOAT(LMAX + 1)
PMX = PL + 0.5

C *** CONTINUED FRACTION FOR FP(MAXL)/F(MAXL) XL IS F XLPRIME IS FP **

FP = ETA/PL + PL/R
DK = ETAR*2.0
            DEL = 0.0

D = 0.0

F = 1.0

K = (PL*PL - PL + ETAR)*(2.0*PL - 1.0)

IF(PL*PL+PL+ETAR.NE.0.0) GO TO 3
                     = R + 1.0E-6
             R
             GO TO 2
            GU TU Z

H = (PL*PL + ETA2)*(1.0 - PL*PL)*RHO2

K = K + DK + PL*PL*6.0

D = 1.0/(D*H + K)

DEL = DEL*(D*K - 1.0)
3
            IF(PL.LT.PMX) DEL = -R*(PL*PL + ETA2)*(PL + 1.0)*D/PL
PL = PL + 1.0
FP = FP + DEL
            IF(D.LT.0.0) F = -F

IF(PL.GT.20000.) GO TO 11

IF(ABS(DEL/FP).GE.ACC) GO TO 3
             FP
                     = F*FP
             IF( LMAX.EQ.MINL) GO TO 5
            FC (LMAX+1) = F
FCP(LMAX+1) = FP
            DOWNWARD RECURSION TO MINL FOR F AND FP, ARRAYS GC,GCP ARE STORAGE
                                                                                                                                                               97
98
99
100
         L = LMAX
DO 4 LP = LMIN1, LMAX
PL = FLOAT(L)

- GC (L+1) = ETA/PL + PL/R

- GCP(L+1) = SQRT(ETA2 + PL*PL)/PL

- FC (L) = (GC(L+1)*FC(L+1) + FCP(L+1))/GCP(L+1)

- FCP(L) = GC(L+1)*FC(L) - GCP(L+1)*FC(L+1)
                                                                                                                                                               101
                                                                                                                                                               102
                                                                                                                                                               103
   - FCP(L) = GC(L+1)*FC(L) = GOT(L.1, TOTAL)

L = L - 1

- F = FC (LMIN1)

- FP = FCP(LMIN1)

- IF(KTRP.EQ.-1) GO TO 1

*** REPEAT FOR R = TURN IF RHO LT TURN

*** NOW OBTAIN P + I.Q FOR MINL FROM CONTINUED FRACTION (32)

*** REAL ARITHMETIC TO FACILITATE CONVERSION TO IBM USING REAL*8
                                                                                                                                                               105
                                                                                                                                                               107
                                                                                                                                                               109
                                                                                                                                                               111
             P = 0.0
Q = R - ETA
PL = 0.0
                                                                                                                                                               112
             \overrightarrow{AR} = -(ETA2 + XLL1)
\overrightarrow{AI} = ETA
                                                                                                                                                               115
116
             \overrightarrow{BR} = 2.0 + Q
                                                                                                                                                               117
118
             BI = 2.0
            DI = 2.0 *ETA

DR = BR/(BR*BR + BI*BI)

DI = -BI/(BR*BR + BI*BI)

DP = -(AR*DI + AI*DR)

DQ = (AR*DR - AI*DI)
                                                                                                                                                               119
                                                                                                                                                               120
                                                                                                                                                               121
                                                                                                                                                               122
                                                                                                                                                               123
6
                   = P + DP
             P
                                                                                                                                                               124
             Q = Q + DQ
PL = PL + 2.0
                                                                                                                                                               125
                                                                                                                                                               126
127
             AR = AR + PL
             AI = AI + WI
                                                                                                                                                               128
             BI = BI + 2.0
                                                                                                                                                               129
                  = AR*DR - AI*DI + BR
              DI = AI*DR + AR*DI + BI
```

```
T = 1.0/(D*D + DI*DI)
DR = T*D
                                                                                                                                                                                132
133
134
135
136
                 DI = -T*DI
                 H = BR*DR - BI*DI - 1.0
                K = BI*DR + BR*DI
T = DP*H - DQ*K
DQ = DP*K + DQ*H
DP = T
                                                                                                                                                                                137
138
 139
140
                                                                                                                                                                                 141
                                                                                                                                                                                142
                                                                                                                                                                                145
                                                                                                                                                                                147
148
                 GP = W*GP
                 IF(KTR.EQ.1) GO TO 8
                                                                                                                                                                                149
                F = TF
FP = TFP
LMAX = MAXL
                                                                                                                                                                                150
 C *** RUNGE-KUTTA INTEGRATION OF G(MINL) AND GP(MINL) INWARDS FROM TURN

SEE FOX AND MAYERS 1968 PG 202

IF(RHO_LT.O.2*TURN) PACE = 999.0

R3 = 1.0/3.0D0

H = (RHO - TURN)/(PACE + 1.0)
                                                                                                                                                                               153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
                H2 = 0.5*H
                I2 = IFIX(PACE + 0.001)
ETAH = ETA*H
H2LL = H2*XLL1
                S = (ETAH + H2LL/R)/R - H2
                                                                                                                                                                               162
163
164
165
166
167
168
                RH2= R + H2
               T = (ETAH + H2LL/RH2)/RH2 - H2
K1 = H2*GP
                M1 =
                            S#G
              MI = S*G

K2 = H2*(GP + M1)

M2 = T*(G + K1)

K3 = H*(GP + M2)

M3 = T*(G + K2)

M3 = M3 + M3

K4 = H2*(GP + M3)
                                                                                                                                                                               170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
              RH = R + H

S = (ETAH + H2LL/RH)/RH - H2

M4 = S*(G + K3)

G = G + (K1 + K2 + K2 + K3 + K4)*R3

GP = GP + (M1 + M2 + M2 + M3 + M4)*R3
GP = GP + (M1 + M2 + M3 + M4)*R3
R = RH
I2 = I2 - 1
IF(ABS(GP).GT.1.0E300) GO TO 11
IF(I2.GE.0) GO TO 7
W = 1.0/(FP*G - F*GP)
C *** UPWARD RECURSION FROM GC(MINL) AND GCP(MINL),STORED VALUES ARE R,S
RENORMALISE FC,FCP FOR EACH L-VALUE
GC (LMIN1) = G
GCP(LMIN1) = GP
IF(IMMY.FO.MINL) GO TO 10
                                                                                                                                                                               181
                                                                                                                                                                              182
                                                                                                                                                                             183
184
                                                                                                                                                                             185
186
187
188
        - GCP(LMIN1) = GP
IF(LMAX.EQ.MINL) GO TO 10
DO 9 L = LMIN1, LMAX

- T = GC(L+1)
- GC (L+1) = (GC(L)*GC (L+1) - GCP(L))/GCP(L+1)
- GCP(L+1) = GC(L)*GCP(L+1) - GC(L+1)*T

- FC (L+1) = W*FC (L+1)
- FCP(L+1) = W*FCP(L+1)
- FC (LMIN1) = FC (LMIN1)*W
FCP(LMIN1) = FCP(LMIN1)*W
RETURN
                                                                                                                                                                              189
                                                                                                                                                                             192
193
194
9
                                                                                                                                                                              195
196
             RETURN
            FC (LMIN1) = W*F
FCP(LMIN1) = W*FP
RETURN
                                                                                                                                                                             197
198
                                                                                                                                                                              199
             W = 0.0

G = 0.0
11
                                                                                                                                                                             201
             GP = 0.0
                                                                                                                                                                             202
             GO TO 8
                                                                                                                                                                             203
             END
         RCF + FD
       6c 76
```

####S												205
50.0	2	0										206 207
120.0 9.0	80.0 1	0										208
50.0												209
5.0	1	0										210 211
20.0 4.0	1	0										212
10.0		_										213 214
-1000.0 1.0	10.0	0 100.0	10	00.0								215
-500.0	. 4	0										216
0.59 -200.0	0.61	100.0	10	00.0								217 218
0.145	0.155	100.0	10	00.0								219
-50.0	2	0 1	2	3	5	10	20	30	50	100		220 221
5.0 -10.0	50.0 4	o										555
2.9	3.1	100.0	10	00.0								223
-6.0	7 . 1	0 17•4	17.	. 6	10	0.0	10	0.00				224 225
6•9 - 4•0	1 1	0'/* 1	5 '	10	20	30	50	100				226
5.0			^	3	=	10	20	30	50	100		227 228
-4.0 50.0	-1	0 1	2	3	5	, 0	20	50	٥	.00		229
- 0•3	4	0										230
7•9 0•0	8.1	100.0 0	100	0.00								231 232
0.001	0.01	0.1	1.0)	10	0.0	10	0.0	1	0.000		233
1.0	7 0 7	0 8 . 1	0 1		9.	2	10	0.0	1	000.0		234 235
2.0 8.0	7•9 7	c°•1	9•1		7	-						236
1.05	2.01	16.0	20.			9.9		0.0		000.0		237 238
10.0 5.0	50.0 ²	0 1	2	3	5	10	20	30	50	100		239
26.0	- 7	0		_								240
24.3 34.0	24•5 9	o ⁵⁰ •7	50.	.9	52	2.0	10	0.0	1	0.000		241 242
40.2	40.5	67•9	68	.0	68	3.1	10	0.0	1	16.2		243
116.4	1000.0	0										244 245
50.0 67.6	67.8	9 9•9	100	0.0	10	0.1	15	3.1	1	53•3		246
1000.0 100.0	1	0 1	2	3	5	10	20	30	50	100		247 248
1000.0	'	0 .	~	3)	10	20	٥,	٥	100		249
200.0	8	0	lino		i c	1 0	ha	·= ^	h:	76 O		250 251
343.0 1000.0	344.0	399.0	400		40	1.0	41	5.0	7	76.0		252
500.0	8	0										253
918.0 10000.0	920.0	999.0	100	0.0	10	01.0	10	94.0	16	095.0		254 255
1000.0	5	0										256
1920.0	1999.0	2000.0	200	0.10	10	0000)				000	257 258
50.0 600.0	-1	500									000	259
50.0 600.0	1	500									100	260
600.0 50.0	1	500									200	261 262
600.0	•											263
50.0 600.0	1	500									300	264 265
50.0	1	500									400	266
600.0		500									500	267 268
50.0 600.0	1	500									500	269
50.0	1 1	1000								00	00	270
1200.0 50.0	1 1	1000									200	271 272
200.0												273
50.0 1200.0	1 1	000									400	274 275
50.0	1 1	1000									600	276
200.0											800	277 278
50.0 1200.0	1 3	1000									300	
50.0	1 1	000								1	000	279 280
1200.0												281 282
