Formulation of the MPC problem as a QP problem

PBPKSim Application Note

Pantelis Sopasakis

June 12, 2014

Scope

In this short application note we present how one can formulate the offset-free MPC control problem as a quadratic optimisation problem which can be solved with any solver in MATLAB, Python or other languages.

Formulation of the problem

Notation

Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ denote the set of non-negative integers, the set of real number, the set of *n*-vectors, and the set of *n*-by-*m* matrices. Let $\mathbb{N}_{[k_1,k_2]}$ denote the set of non-negative integers that are between k_1 and k_2 . We denote by I_m the unit matrix of size m-by-m; the simpler notation I will sometimes be used without explicitly stating the dimension of the matrix.

General formulation

The cost function is defined as:

$$V_N(\pi, \hat{c}_j, \hat{d}_j) = \|c_N - \bar{c}_j\|_P^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\|c_k - \bar{c}_j\|_Q^2 + \|u_k - \bar{u}_j\|_R^2),$$
 (1)

where $\|\cdot\|_Q$ is the Q-(semi)norm defined as $\|c\|_Q^2 = c'Qc$ for a positive (semi)definite matrix $Q, c_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the (predicted) state of the system, $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a (predicted) input, $\bar{c}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\bar{u}_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are reference values computed as explained in [1, Eq. (33)] and P is a properly calculated terminal cost matrix [1, Eq. (32)]. In (1), π stands for a

sequence of inputs $\pi = \{u_i\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$ of length $N, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a positive semidefinite matrix, $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a positive definite matrix and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

We assume that a constant additive disturbance $d_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ acts on the system, where n_d is less than of equal to the number of measured outputs of the system. The system dynamics is described by:

$$V_N^{\star}(\hat{c}_j, \hat{d}_j) = \min_{\pi = \{u_i\}_{i=0}^{N-1}} V_N(\pi, \hat{c}_j, \hat{d}_j), \tag{2}$$

subject to the constraints:

$$c_{k+1} = Ac_k + Bu_k + B_d d_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{[0,N-1]}, \tag{3a}$$

$$d_{k+1} = d_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{[0,N-1]},$$
 (3b)

$$Gc_k + Hu_k \le M, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{[0,N-1]},$$
 (3c)

$$G_f c_N \le M_f,$$
 (3d)

$$c_0 = \hat{c}_i, \tag{3e}$$

$$d_0 = \hat{d}_i, \tag{3f}$$

but where the mixed state-input constraints of (3c) and the terminal constraints (3d) can sometimes be simplified and written in the form:

$$0 \le c_k \le c_{\max}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{[1,N]},\tag{3g}$$

$$0 \le u_k \le u_{\text{max}}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{[0,N-1]}. \tag{3h}$$

In the above equations $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n_c \times n}$, $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n_c \times m}$ and $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n_c}$, where $n_c \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of mixed state-input constraints. Also, $G_f \in \mathbb{R}^{n_f \times n}$ and $M_f \in \mathbb{R}^{n_f}$, where $n_f \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of terminal constraints. What is more, it is $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and $B_d \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n_d}$.

Let us define the optimisation variable z as follows:

$$z = (u_0, c_1, u_1, c_2, \dots, c_{N-1}, u_{N-1}, c_N)',$$
(4)

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^{N(n+m)}$. Then, the cost function in (1) can be written as follows:

$$V_{N} = (c_{N} - \bar{c}_{j})' P(c_{N} - \bar{c}_{j}) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (c_{k} - \bar{c}_{j})' Q(c_{k} - \bar{c}_{j}) + (u_{k} - \bar{u}_{j})' R(u_{k} - \bar{u}_{j})$$

$$= c'_{N} P c_{N} + \bar{c}'_{j} P \bar{c}_{j} - \bar{c}'_{j} P c_{N} - c'_{N} P \bar{c}_{j} +$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} c'_{k} Q c_{k} + \bar{c}'_{j} Q c_{k} + u'_{k} R u_{k} + \bar{u}'_{j} R \bar{u}_{j} - 2 \bar{u}_{j} R u_{k}$$

$$= c'_{N} P c_{N} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \begin{bmatrix} c'_{k} & u'_{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{k} \\ u_{k} \end{bmatrix} +$$

$$+ \left(-2 \bar{c}'_{j} P c_{N} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \bar{c}_{j} Q & -2 \bar{u}_{j} R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{k} \\ u_{k} \end{bmatrix} \right) + \gamma,$$

where the terms in red are quadratic terms, the blue ones are linear and there is also a constant term γ which we shall omit.

The cost function V_N can be now written as a function of z in the following form:

$$V_N(z) = \frac{1}{2}z'\Theta z + q'z,\tag{5}$$

where $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{N(n+m) \times N(n+m)}$ is the following square positive definite matrix:

and $q \in \mathbb{R}^{N(n+m)}$ is:

$$q = -2 \begin{bmatrix} \bar{u}'_{j}R \\ \bar{c}'_{j}Q \\ \bar{u}'_{j}R \\ \bar{c}'_{j}Q \\ \vdots \\ \bar{u}'_{j}Q \\ \bar{c}'_{j}R \\ \bar{c}'_{j}P \end{bmatrix} . \tag{7}$$

If we only have constraints of the simple form (3g) and (3h), then, the constraints on z are also bounds of the form $0 \le z \le z_{\text{max}}$, where $z_{\text{max}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N(n+m)}$ is the vector:

$$z_{\text{max}} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{\text{max}} \\ c_{\text{max}} \\ \vdots \\ u_{\text{max}} \\ c_{\text{max}} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Given that the disturbance dynamics is (3b), the state-update equation (3a) can be written as follows:

$$c_{1} - Bu_{0} = B_{d}d_{0} + Ac_{0},$$

$$c_{2} - Ac_{1} - Bu_{1} = B_{d}d_{0},$$

$$c_{3} - Ac_{2} - Bu_{2} = B_{d}d_{0},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$c_{N} - Ac_{N-1} - Bu_{N-1} = B_{d}d_{0},$$

which is compactly written in the matrix form Kz = L where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn \times N(n+m)}$ is:

and $L \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ is

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} B_d d_0 + A c_0 \\ B_d d_0 \\ B_d d_0 \\ \vdots \\ B_d d_0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where, of course $d_0 = \hat{d}_j$ and $c_0 = \hat{c}_j$, that is d_0 is the current disturbance estimate and c_0 is the current concentration (state) estimate.

Now, overall the problem has been formulated as a convex QP as follows:

$$V_N^* = \min_z \frac{1}{2} z' \Theta z + q' z, \tag{11a}$$

subject to the constraints:

$$0 \le z \le z_{\text{max}} \tag{11b}$$

$$Kz = L. (11c)$$

Mixed state-input constraints

There are cases where we need to impose mixed state-input constraints as in (3c) and/or terminal constraints as in (3d). These constraints may be imposed additionally to the bounds (11b). Constraints of the form (3c) can be represented, in terms of z, in the form:

$$\Phi z \le \phi, \tag{12}$$

where $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn_c \times N(n+m)}$ is

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix}
H & 0 & & & & \\
& G & H & & & \\
& & G & H & & \\
& & & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & G & H
\end{bmatrix},$$
(13)

and $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn_c}$ is

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} M - Gc_0 \\ M \\ \vdots \\ M \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Partial constraints

Constraints may not be necessarily imposed on all concentrations but only on some c^j for $j \in J$, where c^j stands for the j-th element of the vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$ with $l \leq n$. Let us define the matrix $\Delta_J \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times n}$ as

$$\Delta_{J} = \begin{bmatrix} e(j_{1})' \\ e(j_{2})' \\ \vdots \\ e(j_{k})' \end{bmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

where e(j) is a vector of \mathbb{R}^n whose j-th entry is 1 and all other entries are equal to 0. The constraints on c are then written as:

$$\Delta_J c_k \le c_{\text{max}}^J, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{[0,N]},$$
 (16a)

$$0 \le c_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{[0,N]},\tag{16b}$$

where $c_{\max}^J \in \mathbb{R}^l$ stands for the bounds on c^j with $j \in J$. In terms of the variable z, the state and input bounds (3g) and (3h) can be written as $\Xi_J z \leq \xi_J$, where

$$\Xi_{J} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m} & & & & & \\ & \Delta_{J} & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & I_{m} & & \\ & & & \Delta_{J} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{17a}$$

and $\xi_J \in \mathbb{R}^{N(m+l)}$ is

$$\xi_{J} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{\text{max}} \\ c_{\text{max}}^{J} \\ u_{\text{max}} \\ c_{\text{max}}^{J} \\ \vdots \\ u_{\text{max}} \\ c_{\text{max}}^{J} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{17b}$$

References

[1] P. Sopasakis, P. Patrinos, and H. Sarimveis, "Model predictive control for optimal continuous drug administration," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 2014. Accepted for publication on 29 May 2014.