

✖

🌟 Car 2: Honda CR-V 2WD (2016)

Based on your preferences, the Honda CR-V 2WD from 2016 is the more suitable choice. It offers slightly better fuel efficiency at 29 MPG compared to the 28 MPG of the Toyota Corolla, which is significant given your high priority on fuel efficiency. Although both vehicles feature 4-cylinder engines, the newer model year of the Honda CR-V suggests it might also offer better overall performance and reliability, which aligns well with your horsepower interest.

Considering safety, newer models like the 2016 Honda generally come with more advanced safety features and better crash test ratings compared to older models like the 2003 Toyota Corolla. This is particularly crucial since safety is your top concern. The more recent technology and safety advancements in the Honda will likely serve your needs better.

For an Army Mechanic who values reliability and safety in vehicles, the Honda CR-V is a dependable and efficient choice for your daily commuting and various uses.

### Car Feature Overview

Spec.	Toyota:	Honda:
Class	Compact car	Small sport utility vehicle
Transmission	Automatic	Automatic
Drive Type	Front-wheel drive	Front-wheel drive
Fuel Type	Gas	Gas


Toyota




Honda




Toyota




Honda



toyota



Honda




## Combined MPG



Toyota - Corolla  
(2003)

Honda - Cr-V (2016)




## City MPG



Toyota - Corolla  
(2003)

Honda - Cr-V (2016)






## Highway MPG



Toyota - Corolla  
(2003)

Honda - Cr-V (2016)




## Cylinders



Toyota - Corolla  
(2003)

Honda - Cr-V (2016)



## Displacement (L)



## Displacement (L)

Toyota - Corolla  
(2003)

Honda - Cr-V (2016)

