Contents

1 Introduction *Malthe Høj-Sunesen*

According to ISO 8373 [ISO, 2012], at least two different types robots exist: Industrial robots and service robots. An industrial robot is defined as aa "automatically controlled, reprogrammable, multipurpose manipulator programmable in three or more axes", while a service robot is defined as a "robot that performs useful tasks for humans or equipment excluding industrial automation applications". The classical application of an industrial robot is to have the robot do a predefined behavior repeatedly, while service robots are still very much under development. Due to hardware and software concerns, robots in the industry have previously not seen adaptive behavior, so elements must be aligned in a specific way. Humans, and indeed most animals, are able to look at objects and grasp accordingly. A lot of research is going into making the robot able to understand what it is "looking" at much like humans can, and how to grasp it. This research into grasping[1] objects using only visual cues is the focus point for this report.

2 Grasping *Malthe Høj-Sunesen*

2.1 Motivation

Humans spend years learning how to grasp objects. Babies have a hard time figuring out how to grasp even the most simple objects, and parents solve that problem by giving babies and children plastic cutlery, bouncy, soft toys and always walking around with an eye on each finger. We come to expect of a child to drop toys, knock over glasses, and the like.

A robot is not allowed to fail in the same way. When a robot's hand grasps something we expect it to not let it go — or worse, drop it — before it is supposed to. In a tightly controlled production line that is not a problem. Using embodied AI the parts can be aligned perfectly for the robot and the robot can assemble the parts correctly.

In a not so tightly controlled environment among people it is a bigger problem. If a service robot is supposed to clean up mess left after a human, it is almost guaranteed that the parts are not aligned as a robot could predict. If an industrial robot can figure out the best grasp autonomously for an object it would decrease operator dependency, leading to faster setup and lower costs for the company.

2.2 Grasp an object

Biedermann suggested that elements can be broken down to geons, a basic element describing one feature of an object. In [Miller et al., 2003] the idea behind geons is used to help a robot simulator find good grasps. Reducing

---

[1] For the purposes of this report, *grasping* is to pick up an object.

Figure 1: "Examples for grasp generation on single primitives. The balls represent starting positions for the center of the palm. A long arrow shows the grasp approach direction, and a short arrow shows the thumb direction. In most grasp locations, two or more grasp possibilities are shown, each with a different thumb direction." From [Miller et al., 2003].

a complex object to simpler objects, called *shape primitives*, allows a robot to know how to calculate a good grasp of a model resulting in simpler calculations. An example of this reduction can be seen i Figure 2 with primitive representation building bricks shown in Figure 1.

Reducing the visual information in this way will give the simulator a simpler task, as it does not have to simulate thousands of possible grasps but only grasps based on the preshape grasps per primitive representation.

Figure 2: "A mug and its primitive representation"; a cylinder and a box. From [Miller et al., 2003].

References

[ISO, 2012] ISO (2012). Robots and robotic devices — vocabulary. ISO 8373:2008(en), International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.

[Miller et al., 2003] Miller, A., Knoop, S., Christensen, H., and Allen, P. (2003). Automatic grasp planning using shape primitives. In *Robotics and Automation, 2003. Proceedings. ICRA '03. IEEE International Conference on*, volume 2, pages 1824–1829 vol.2.