

COMSC-210 Lecture Topic 13

n-squared Sorting Algorithms

Reference

Childs Ch. 14

sorting-algorithms.com

Sorting Paradigm

"selection sort"

scan all elements

"insertion sort"

scan only elements you need to scan

Considerations

filled or not -- go up to "fill line"

"holes" or not -- skip not inUse

Nested For-Loop Sort

basic sorting algorithm for arrays

in any language

use when no other way is possible

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) // stop at n
{
    if (!a[i].inUse) continue; // in case of holes
    for (j = i + 1; j < n; j++)
    {
        if (!a[j].inUse) continue; // in case of holes
        if (a[j] < a[i]) // for LO-to-HI sorting
        {
            T temp = a[i];
            a[i] = a[j];
            a[j] = temp;
        }
    }
}
```

"bubble-sort" is a variation

HI-to-LO sorting: if (a[i] < a[j])

Using A Swap Function

C++ STL's "algorithm" library has `swap(T&, T&)`

```
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) // stop at n
{
    if (!a[i].inUse) continue; // in case of holes
    for (j = i + 1; j < n; j++)
    {
        if (!a[j].inUse) continue; // in case of holes
        if (a[j] < a[i]) swap(a[i], a[j]);
    }
}
```

e.g., Python: `a[i],a[j] = a[j],a[i]`

Linked List Sorting

```
for (Node* p = start; p != 0; p = p->next)
{
    if (!p->inUse) continue; // in case of holes
    for (Node* q = p->next; q != 0; q = q->next)
    {
        if (!q->inUse) continue; // in case of holes
        if (q->value < p->value)
        {
            swap(*p, *q); // swap EVERYTHING
            swap(p->next, q->next); // swap pointer back
        }
    }
}
```

The Insertion Sort

inserts values, moving to make space

click [here](#) for code sample

Analysis: #of comparisons: $\text{size}^2 / 4$

about same timing complexity as nested for-loop, but...

best case is $O(n)$

The Shell Sort: Variation Of Insertion Sort

attempts to minimize swaps vs insertion sort

click [here](#) for code sample

Analysis: #of moves: $\text{size}^{1.25}$ (that is, $O(n^{1.25})$)

...does not adapt well to linked lists!

because $i += d$ does not translate well

C++ Library Sort Function

STL's sort function ([ref](#))

in the algorithm library

e.g., `sort (myvector.begin(), myvector.end());`

C's qsort Function ([ref](#))

in the `stdlib` library

variant of partition-exchange sorting

Binary Search

given an ordered array:

divide into 2 halves

choose half that should contain match value

repeat until size of half is one

big oh: $O(\log n)$

"a" is an array of type DataType

1st parameter:

"s" is the starting index of the array (usually 0)

2nd parameter:

"e" is the index AFTER the last index to be included in the search (usually size)

3rd parameter:

"value" is the DataType value to be matched

LOOP STARTS HERE

```
int m = (s + e - 1) / 2; // the middle element
if (value == am) // got lucky -- matches middle element
    return m; // return index of found element
else if (s == e - 1) // 1-element array
    return -1; // only element in array did not match
else if (value < am) // look between s and m-1
{
    if (s == m) return -1; // but that range is empty, no match
    else {e = m; continue;} // look in s to m-1
}
else // look between m+1 and e-1
{
    if (m == e - 1) return -1; // but that range is empty, no match
    else {s = m + 1; continue;} // look in m-1 to e
}
```

LOOP ENDS HERE

To find a specific value in an ordered array of 8 values (that is, $n = 8$), divide the 8 into two groups of 4 each. If the value is between the two groups, the search is unsuccessful and completed. Otherwise, determine which group of 4 might contain the value, and discard the other group of 4 each.

Divide the 4 into two groups of 2. If the value is between the two groups, the search is unsuccessful and completed. Otherwise, determine which group of 2 might contain the value, and discard the other group of 2 each.

Divide the 2 into two groups of 1 each. See if the value is in either of the two groups, or not.

This takes 3 steps of operations to divide and check two groups. To apply this to an initial array of 16, add just one more step to divide into groups of 8. To apply this to an initial array of 32, add just one more step to divide into groups of 16, and so on.

It looks like this:

n	#of steps
8	3
16	4
32	5

...Or $2^{\text{\#of steps}} = n$.

...Or $\text{\#of steps} = \lg(n)$, where \lg is \log_2 .

Since log base 10 equals log base e time a constant, equals log base 2 times a constant, time is proportional to $\log(n)$. (That is, $\log(n) =$

$\log(2) * \lg(n)$. Also FWIW,
 $\log(n) = \log(e) * \ln(n)$.
Hence, binary search is
 $O(\log(n))$, or more
commonly written as **$O(\log n)$** .