CS 374 HW 9 Problem 2

quddus2, Aldo Sanjoto, Hieu Huynh

TOTAL POINTS

91.75 / 100

QUESTION 1

12A 16.75 / 25

- O pts Correct
- 25 pts Undecideable
- 25 pts No justification of answer / Incorrect algorithm
- 25 pts No proof of correctness on provided algorithm
 - 18.75 pts IDK

√ - 6.25 pts No / Incorrect use of powerset construction (subset construction)

- **6.25 pts** No / Incorrect use of XOR of languages of
- 6.25 pts No mention of path to accepting state
- 2 pts "Powerset construction (subset

construction)" not mentioned

√ - 2 pts "Product construction" not mentioned

- 6.25 pts DFA minimization incorrect
- 6.25 pts DFA equality incorrect / unclear
- You need to create the DFA D directly based on M and N. Providing the language relation isn't enough (we were looking for product construction).

QUESTION 2

2 2B 25 / 25

- √ 0 pts Correct
 - 18.75 pts IDK
 - 25 pts Claimed language was decidable
 - 25 pts Incorrect algorithm

QUESTION 3

3 2C 25 / 25

- √ 0 pts Correct
 - 25 pts Claimed Undecidable

- 25 pts Incorrect Algorithm
- 18.75 pts IDK
- 10 pts iff condition between being finite and

having loops not mentioned

QUESTION 4

4 2D 25 / 25

- √ 0 pts Correct
 - **18.75** pts IDK
 - 25 pts Claimed Decidable
 - 25 pts Incorrect Algorithm

Q2)

a) Ls is decidable

Decider (M, N) }

- · Construct DFA D accepts the language: L(D) = (L(M) n L(N)) U (L(M) n L(N));
- · // We need to check if L(D) is empty or not.
- · Construct agraph G from DFA D with each vertex is a state in D and each edge is a transition from 1 state to another state. We skip transition &(q,*) = 9 to avoid self-loop. Also, we do not include more than I edge from I vertex to another vertex to avoid multigraph.
- · Run DFS on G to find all nodes that can be reach from "start" vertex, which is the vertex correspond to the start state.
- . Check all Kertices that can be reach from "start" verte If there is any vertex corresponding to "accept state". return False;
 - If there is no vertex corresponding to "accept" state return True;

12A 16.75 / 25

- O pts Correct
- 25 pts Undecideable
- 25 pts No justification of answer / Incorrect algorithm
- 25 pts No proof of correctness on provided algorithm
- **18.75** pts IDK
- √ 6.25 pts No / Incorrect use of powerset construction (subset construction)
 - 6.25 pts No / Incorrect use of XOR of languages of DFAs
 - 6.25 pts No mention of path to accepting state
 - 2 pts "Powerset construction (subset construction)" not mentioned
- √ 2 pts "Product construction" not mentioned
 - 6.25 pts DFA minimization incorrect
 - 6.25 pts DFA equality incorrect / unclear
 - You need to create the DFA D directly based on M and N. Providing the language relation isn't enough (we were looking for product construction).

· Suppose there is an algorithm Decide Equal that correctly decides the language Lz. Then we can build the decider you ETM as follows:

Decide Empty (<M>):

- . Include the constant code for a DFA D that reject all its input. We denote string encoding Das <D>
- · Run Decide Equal on < M, D> If Decide Equal accepts, then accepts If Decide Equal rejects, then reject

Prove correctness:

- => Run Decide Equal will accept because L(M)=L(D)=Ø 1) Suppose Misempty: =) Decide Empty correctly accept M.
 - =) Run Decide Equal will reject because L(M) \$\pm\$L(D) 2) Suppose M is not empty =) Decide Empty correctly rejects M.

(from lecture slide) undeciable We know that ETM is

=) La isundecidable!

2 2B 25 / 25

√ - 0 pts Correct

- **18.75** pts IDK
- 25 pts Claimed language was decidable
- 25 pts Incorrect algorithm

c) L3 is Decidable.

Decider (M) }

· Construct a tgraph G from the DFA M. Each vertex in G is a state in D. Each edge in G is a transition from 1 state to a different state.

· Note: . We only add edge from I vertex to another vertex once to avoid Multigraph

· We do not add edge eorresponding to self-loop transition to avoid self-loop in grap G.

· If a state in M has a self-loop transition, we mark the vertex correspond to that state to be a BAD state

· We run DFS on G starting at the "start" vertex to find all Cycles that can be reached from the "Start" vertex. We mark states in those Cycles to be

· Run DFS to find all vertices that can be reach from "start" vertex

· For each v & reach ("stort vertex") }

If (vis a Bad State) } Run DFS on G to find all vertices that can be reach from V.

If any vertex in reach (v) is a vertex that corresponding to ACCEPT state in D return FALSE;

Return True;

```
To find all Cycles cambereached from US mark states in
                those cycles to be BAD states, we modify DFS:
 DFS(U) 1
     Mark all vertices as unvisited
     Mark all vertices as NOTBAD STATE
     Mark u as visited; prev(u) = NULL;
     For v ∈ Out (v) do }
          If (vis not visited)}
                prev(v) = U;
            Else.
                temp & U
                While I temp = V)}
                      Mark temp as BAD state;
                      temps prevltemp);
```

3 2C 25 / 25

√ - 0 pts Correct

- 25 pts Claimed Undecidable
- 25 pts Incorrect Algorithm
- **18.75 pts** IDK
- 10 pts iff condition between being finite and having loops not mentioned

es
es NS:
. *
₹ 20
ı
>
~>
<n></n>
1'.>
n'>
n') ing
ing ing

4 2D 25 / 25

√ - 0 pts Correct

- **18.75 pts** IDK
- 25 pts Claimed Decidable
- 25 pts Incorrect Algorithm