

CS 374 HW 0 Problem 3

quddus2, Hieu Huynh, Aldo Sanjoto

TOTAL POINTS

100 / 100

QUESTION 1

1 3A 50 / 50

- + 12.5 pts IDK
- + 5 pts Correct Induction Var
- + 5 pts Base Case
- + 10 pts Correct IH
- + 5 pts Case $x=y$ in inductive step
- + 25 pts Case $x>y$ (or $x<y$) in inductive step
- + 5 pts Partial Correct IH
- + 0 pts No IH
- + 0 pts Induction on WRONG var
- + 0 pts Wrong induction
- + 0 pts No answer
- ✓ + 50 pts Correct

QUESTION 2

2 3B 50 / 50

- ✓ + 50 pts Correct
- + 12.5 pts IDK
- + 0 pts wrong
- + 10 pts Argue log bound
- + 0 pts No answer
- + 10 pts Explanation rather than formal proof
- + 40 pts Argue the max/sum reduced by a factor
- + 30 pts Assumptions used without sufficiently justified.

(3A) Proof: That starting with any point p on the positive integer grid, the sequence $w(p)$ is finite.

Base Case: Since $x_0 \neq y_0$ are positive integers; $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$. We consider the case where $\max(x_0, y_0) = 1$. Since $x_0 \neq y_0$ are positive integers, then $x_0 \neq y_0$ need to be equal to 1. Since according to the def'n, if $x=y$ then it is an good point, meaning $w(p_0) = p_0 \Rightarrow w(p_0)$ is finite

Inductive Hypothesis:

Let x and y be any positive integers then assume that for any non-negative integer k , if $\max(x, y) \leq k$ then $w(p)$ is finite where $p = (x, y)$

Inductive Step: For $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$, such that $\max(x_0, y_0) = k+1$

1st case: $x_0 > y_0$

$$\Rightarrow p_1 = d(p_0) = (\underbrace{x_0 - y_0 - 1}_{x_1}, \underbrace{y_0}_{y_1}) \quad // \text{definition of } d(p)$$

Since we assumed that $x_0 > y_0$ \nmid assumed the $\max(x_0, y_0) = k+1$ then we know that $x_0 = k+1$ // definition of $\max()$

$$\begin{aligned} \max(x_1, y_1) &= \max(x_0 - y_0 - 1, y_0) = \max((k+1) - y_0 - 1, y_0) \quad // \text{substitution} \\ &= \max(k - y_0, y_0) \end{aligned}$$

We have: $k - y_0 < k$ (because y_0 is a positive integer.)

and $y_0 < x_0 \Leftrightarrow y_0 < k+1$ (because $x_0 = k+1$)
 $\Leftrightarrow y_0 \leq k$

Since $x_1 = k - y_0$ is $< k$ and $y_1 = y_0 \leq k$

So, the $\max(x_1, y_1) \leq k$ and by the inductive hypothesis $w(p_1)$ is finite and so if $w(p_1)$ is finite and

$$w(p_0) = p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots = p_0 w(p_1) \Rightarrow w(p_0) \text{ is finite}$$

2nd case: $y_0 > x_0$

$$p_1 = d(p_0) = (\underbrace{x_0}_{x_1}, \underbrace{y_0 - x_0 - 1}_{y_1}) \quad // \text{definition of } d(p)$$

Since we assumed that $y_0 < x_0 + 1$ \wedge $\max(x_0, y_0) = k + 1$,
then we know ~~that~~ that $y_0 = k + 1$. // definition of $\max()$

$$\begin{aligned} \max(x_1, y_1) &= \max(x_0, (k+1) - x_0 - 1) \quad // \text{substitution} \\ &= \max(x_0, k - x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Since $k - x_0$ is less than k because x_0 is a positive integer.
Also, since $x_0 < y_0 \Leftrightarrow x_0 < k + 1$ (because $y_0 = k + 1$)
 $\Rightarrow x_0 \leq k$

$$\Rightarrow \max(x_1, y_1) \leq k$$

Because $p_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $\max(x_1, y_1) \leq k$

\Rightarrow By the inductive hypothesis, $w(p_1)$ is finite and
so if $w(p_1)$ is finite and $w(p_0) = p_0 p_1 p_2 \dots = p_0 w(p_1)$

$$\Rightarrow w(p_0) \text{ is finite}$$

3rd case: $x_0 = y_0$

with $p_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ then p_0 is already a good point
due to the definition of a good point ($x = y$).

$$\text{So } w(p_0) = p_0 \Rightarrow w(p_0) \text{ is finite.}$$

For all cases, the claim is true.

13A 50 / 50

- + 12.5 pts IDK
- + 5 pts Correct Induction Var
- + 5 pts Base Case
- + 10 pts Correct IH
- + 5 pts Case $x=y$ in inductive step
- + 25 pts Case $x>y$ (or $x<y$) in inductive step
- + 5 pts Partial Correct IH
- + 0 pts No IH
- + 0 pts Induction on WRONG var
- + 0 pts Wrong induction
- + 0 pts No answer
- ✓ + 50 pts Correct

(Q3)(B)

• Let $p_i = (x_i, y_i)$ and $p_n = (x_n, y_n)$ be the starting point and ending point of a horizontal run.

• We have: ~~$x_i < x_n$~~

$$x_n < y_n \quad (\text{Because next move is vertical.})$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{n-1} - y_{n-1} - 1 < y_n \quad (x_n = x_{n-1} - y_{n-1} - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{n-1} < 2y_{n-1} + 1 \quad (\text{Because } y_n = y_{n-1} = y_i \text{ since it's horizontal run})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y_{n-1} > \frac{x_{n-1} - 1}{2} \quad (1)$$

• We have $x_n = x_{n-1} - y_{n-1} - 1$

$$\Rightarrow x_n < x_{n-1} - \frac{x_{n-1} - 1}{2} - 1 \quad (\text{Because of (1)})$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n < \frac{x_{n-1}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{x_{n-1}}{2} < \frac{x_i}{2} \quad (\text{because } x_{n-1} < x_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n < \frac{x_i}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n \cdot y_n < \frac{x_i}{2} \cdot y_n = \frac{x_i \cdot y_i}{2} \quad (\text{because } y_i = y_n)$$

Therefore, the value of $(x_i \cdot y_i)$ decreases at least by a factor of 2 after a horizontal run.

* Repeat the same argument for a vertical run, we also have that if $p_i = (x_i, y_i)$ is the starting point of a vertical run, after the vertical run, the value of $(x_i \cdot y_i)$ decreases ^{at least} by a factor of 2.

Therefore, with $p = (x, y)$ be the starting point of the sequence $w(p)$, the value of $(x \cdot y)$ decreases at least by a factor of 2 after every run until they reach 0 or $x_i = y_i$.

\Rightarrow There are at most $O(\log(xy)) = O(\log x + \log y)$ runs in the sequence $w(p)$.

2 3B 50 / 50

✓ + 50 pts Correct

+ 12.5 pts IDK

+ 0 pts wrong

+ 10 pts Argue log bound

+ 0 pts No answer

+ 10 pts Explanation rather than formal proof

+ 40 pts Argue the max/sum reduced by a factor

+ 30 pts Assumptions used without sufficiently justified.