# CS 374 HW 4 Problem 1

## quddus2, Hieu Huynh, Aldo Sanjoto

TOTAL POINTS

### 100 / 100

#### QUESTION 1

### 11A 25 / 25

#### √ - 0 pts Correct

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
- 15 pts Failing to specifically argue that each successive quarter of the array ends up in the right sub-array (without mentioning it)
- 10 pts Failing to argue in detail that each successive quarter of the array ends up in the right sub-array (but do mention it)
  - 10 pts Failing to set up a correct induction proof
  - 5 pts Minor flaws or typos in the proof
  - 18.62 pts IDK

#### QUESTION 2

#### 2 1B 25 / 25

## √ - 0 pts Correct

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
  - 5 pts Slight mistake or typo
  - **18.75** pts IDK
  - **O pts** Missing constant work factor when n > 16

#### QUESTION 3

## 3 1C 25 / 25

#### √ - 0 pts Correct

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
- **O pts** Failing to account for the (constant) work at every node / level of recursion.
- **15 pts** Stating an incorrect (non-constant) amount of work at each node / level of recursion.
- **5 pts** Concluding a runtime other than 6^(log2 n), but otherwise essentially correct

- **18.75** pts IDK

#### QUESTION 4

#### 4 1D 25 / 25

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
- 10 pts Failing to mention that the no-repeatedswapping property is a result of insertion sort
- **15 pts** Failure to argue that no pair of entries can be swapped more than once
- 5 pts Almost correct, but minor flaw or typo
- 18.75 pts IDK

```
Q1)
a) Induction on length of the array (n)
 ·Base case: 2 \le n \le 16, and n = 2^k.
          The array is sorted by the Insertion Sort
         =) The base ease is correct.
 ·IH: Assume the algorithm is correct for array of size
           n <2K (with k>0).
       The Algorithm calls bogisort on sub-array of size 2k
 • Let n = 2^{k+1} = 2 \cdot 2^k.
         Six times: (i=0;j=2);(i=0;j=1);(i=0;j=0);(i=1;j=2);
                      (i=1;j=1); (i=2; j=2)
• For i=0; j=2: The call to Bogi Sort \left(A\left[\frac{n}{2},...,(n-1)\right]\right) sorted
                     the per sub-array A[2,... (n-1)] ; by IH.
          => The Asmallest values of A [2, , (n-1)] is located
                        in A\left[\frac{n}{2}, \cdots, \left(\frac{3n}{4} - 1\right)\right]
• For i=0; j=1: the eall to Bogi Sort (A[\frac{n}{h},...(\frac{3n}{h}-1)]) sorted the
                  Subarray A [ ], -, (3n-1)], by IH
           => The A smallest values of A [=1 -- , (3n -1)] is located
                 in A[n. (2-1)]. These n values are also the
         The smallest values in A [ 1, -, n-1] because the A smallest
      values of A\left[\frac{n}{2}, (n-1)\right] are located at A\left[\frac{n}{2}, (\frac{3n}{n}-1)\right] before sort.
· For i=0, j=0: The call to Bogi Sort (A[0,..., 2-1]) sorted the subarray
           =) The 2 smallest values of A[0,-,2-1] is located in
```

in A [0,...,  $\frac{n}{h}$ -1]. These  $\frac{n}{h}$  values are also the in A [0,...,  $\frac{n}{h}$ -1] because the  $\frac{n}{h}$  smallest values of A [0,...,  $\frac{n}{h}$ -1] because the values of A [ $\frac{n}{h}$ ,...,  $\frac{n}{h}$ -1] are located at A [ $\frac{n}{h}$ ,...,  $\frac{n}{h}$ -1] before the values of A [ $\frac{n}{h}$ ,...,  $\frac{n}{h}$ -1] are located at A [ $\frac{n}{h}$ ,...,  $\frac{n}{h}$ -1] call to sort

```
. Therefore, after three calls to Bogi Sorts for (i=0,j=2);
      (i=0; j=1); (i=0; j=0), the \frac{n}{4} smallest values of the
      array A[0,-(n-1)] is located at A[0,-(\frac{n}{4}-1)]
For i=4, j=2: The call to Bogi Sort (A[\frac{n}{2},..., (n-1)]) sorted
                  the sub-array A[n/n-1)] by IH
          => the 1/2 smallest values of A[1/2,-(n-1)] is located
For i= 1, j=1. The call to Bogi Sort (A[A, ..., 3n-1] sorted
                the sub-array A[ \frac{n}{H}, \ldots, \frac{3n}{H} - 1] by IH
      => the n smallest values of A[n,3n-i] is located
              In A[1, , n-1]. These n values are also the
           1 smallest values of A[1, (n-1)] because
    the \frac{n}{4} smallest values of A[\frac{n}{2},...,n-1] are located at A[\frac{n}{2},...,\frac{3n}{4}-1] before the call to bogi sort
Therefore, after two calls to Bogi Sort for (i=1, j=2); (i=1, j=1)
     the Asmallest values of A[A,... (n-1)] are located
· We already have A smallest values of A[O, (n-1)] locates
  Therefore, we have \frac{n}{2} smallest values of A[0, (n-1)]
          located at A [0, -, n-1] in sorted order.
=) The 1 greatest values of A[0,-,(n-1)] are located
· For i=2, j=2: the call to Bogi Sort (A[2, -, (n-1)] sorted
                the sub-array A In. - (n-1) ], by I H
 Therefore, the whole array is sorted.
```

Therefore, Bogi Sort () algorithm is correct for will ourray of size n=2i (i70) ariay A[O, 10-10] is located as A[O

betwood of Transparation A an about the storms of with the of all shoreway & walt. [1-4, -18] A in

gett something value in Alegania 17 become the

Therefore, we have a smallent values of A So. 10-833 Located. as A Co. 15-37 in correction

efor the equation values are located ince located Both 2 (Align 1) - 2 2 4 10 - 20 5 1

## 1 **1A** 25 / 25

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
- 15 pts Failing to specifically argue that each successive quarter of the array ends up in the right sub-array (without mentioning it)
- 10 pts Failing to argue in detail that each successive quarter of the array ends up in the right sub-array (but do mention it)
  - 10 pts Failing to set up a correct induction proof
  - 5 pts Minor flaws or typos in the proof
  - **18.62 pts I**DK

10.)
Solvinging the receverance relation gives us the following.

Proof ON NEXT Page =>

## 2 **1B 25** / **25**

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
- **5 pts** Slight mistake or typo
- **18.75** pts IDK
- **O pts** Missing constant work factor when n > 16

10.)
Solvinging the receverance relation gives us the following.

Proof ON NEXT Page =>

Base case: n=16 => T(n)=C pure then the point of the properties of the properti

Carried 1 O by Johnson of CATO CATO

3.500) = (A) T (E: (200)) O (

(= ) (00) TX301

## 3 **1C 25 / 25**

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
- **0 pts** Failing to account for the (constant) work at every node / level of recursion.
- 15 pts Stating an incorrect (non-constant) amount of work at each node / level of recursion.
- 5 pts Concluding a runtime other than 6^(log2 n), but otherwise essentially correct
- **18.75 pts** IDK

10.) The number of swaps to is at most (12) because 15 we consider the worst Case where we have an array of a elements which is ordered by decreasing order then for us to have this array in order (i.e array is in increasing a order) we will have to preform 1(1-1) total swaps MANARANA Which is the same as  $\binom{n}{2}$  =>  $\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)!}{2(n-2)!}$ .  $\frac{4n}{2}$  PLISO Once we sort the array Sort will not do acquiring is we were to the try and call insertion sort on it again. So keeping these two facts in mind bogi Sort algorithm cannot exceed more then (2) swaps because even is bown recursively earl insertion sort on sub-aways Of the Bigical We cannot have more than (12) Swaps since the total number of Swaps that may occur on as vasorted array (eleceusing Order) is n(n-1) and once the array is Sorted insertion sort will not do anything nor will do agrace swaps since the array is already Sorted.

## 4 1D 25 / 25

- 25 pts Logic impossible to follow, or completely erroneous answer
- 10 pts Failing to mention that the no-repeated-swapping property is a result of insertion sort
- 15 pts Failure to argue that no pair of entries can be swapped more than once
- 5 pts Almost correct, but minor flaw or typo
- **18.75 pts** IDK