Comparison of Different Regularization and Variable Selection Techniques

In this project, I have applied and compared several different regularization techniques including Ridge, LASSO, Elastic Net, SCAD, and Square Root Lasso

1. Created my own sklearn compliant functions for Square Root Lasso and SCAD to use them in conjunction with GridSearchCV for finding optimal hyper-parameters when data such as xx and yy are given.

Square Root Lasso

```
class SQRTLasso(BaseEstimator, RegressorMixin):
    def __init__(self, alpha=0.01):
        self.alpha = alpha

    def fit(celf, x, y):
        alpha=self.alpha
        elnjit
        def fit(celf, x, y):
        alpha=self.alpha
        elnjit
        def fit(celf, x, y):
        alpha=self.alpha
        elnjit
        def f_obj(x,y,beta,alpha):
            n =lan(x)
        beta = beta.flatten()
        beta = beta.flatten()
        beta = beta.reshape(-1,1)
        output = np.sqrt(1/n*np.sum((y-x.dot(beta))**2)) + alpha*np.sum(np.abs(beta))
        selum output
        elnjit
        def f_grad(x,y,beta,alpha):
            n=x.shape(0)
        p=x.shape(1)
        beta = beta.flatten()
        beta = beta.flatten()
        beta = beta.flatten()
        beta = beta.flatten()

        def objective(beta):
        return(-(-l/np.sqrt(n))*np.transpose(x).dot(y-x.dot(beta))/np.sqrt(np.sum((y-x.dot(beta))**2))+alpha*np.sign(beta)

        return(_c)bj(x,y,beta,alpha))

        def objective(beta):
        return(_c)bj(x,y,beta,alpha))

        beta = np.onen((x.shape(1],1))
        output = minmixe(objective, beta0, method='L-BFGS-B', jac=gradient,options={'gtol': le-8, 'maxiter': 50000, 'maxls': 25, 'disp': True})
        beta = output.x
        self.coef_ = beta

        def pradict(self, x):
        return x_dot(self.coef_)
```

2. Simulated 100 data sets, each with 1,200 features, 200 observations, and a toeplitz correlation structure such that the correlation between features i and j is approximately $p^{[ij]}$ with p=0.8. For the dependent variable y consider the following functional relationship: $y = x\beta + \sigma\epsilon$, where σ =3.5, ϵ is a column vector with $\epsilon_i \in N(0, 1)$.

$$\beta^* = (\underbrace{1, 1, ..., 1}_{7 \, \text{times}}, \underbrace{0, 0..., 0}_{25 \, \text{times}}, \underbrace{0.25, 0.25..., 0.25}_{5 \, \text{times}}, \underbrace{0, 0..., 0}_{50 \, \text{times}}, \underbrace{0.7, 0.7..., 0.7}_{15 \, \text{times}}, \underbrace{0, 0..., 0}_{1098 \, \text{times}})^T$$

```
[ ] n = 200 #num observations
    p = 1200 #num features
[ ] beta_star = np.concatenate(([1]*7, [0]*25, [0.25]*5, [0]*50, [0.7]*15, [0]*1098))
[ ] # What we want to detect is the position of the actual information or "signal"
    pos = np.where(beta_star != 0)
[ ] pos # shows the INDICIES where the value is not equal to zero
    # 27 important conditions
    (array([ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100,
             1011),)
[ ] len(pos[0])
    27
[ ] # What we need: toeplitz([1, 0.8, 0.8**2, 0.8**3, 0.8**4, ..., 0.8**1119])
    v = []
     for i in range(p):
      v.append(0.8**i)
[ ] v
[ ] # Create covariance matrix (to use later when generating x)
    mu = [0]*p #repeat 0, 1200 times
    r = toeplitz(v) #covariance
    sigma = 3.5 # per project instructions
    # Generate the random samples
    np.random.seed(123)
    x = np.random.multivariate normal(mu, r, size=n) #this is where we generate fictious data
    y = np.matmul(x,beta_star) + sigma*np.random.normal(0,1,size=n)
[ ] y.shape #should be (200,1)
    (200,)
```

3. Applied variable selection methods (such as *Ridge*, *Lasso*, *Elastic Net*, *SCAD* and *Square Root Lasso* with GridSearchCV (for tuning the hyper-parameters)) and recorded the final results, including the overall (on average) quality of reconstructing the sparsity pattern and the coefficients of β *. The final results include the average number of true non-zero coefficients discovered by each method, the L2 distance to the ideal solution and the Root Mean Squared Error

Ridge

- RIDGE mean square error is: 34.84857904255565
- Number of non-zero values: 1200
- Compared with "ground truth": 27
- Number of true non-zero coefficients: 27

```
o array([ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101])
```

Lasso

- LASSO mean square error is: 15.23773830528663
- Number of non-zero values: 144
- Compared with "ground truth": 27
- Number of true non-zero coefficients: 21

```
o array([ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 32, 35, 87, 88, 89, 90, 92, 94, 95, 96, 97, 99, 100, 101])
```

Elastic Net

- Elastic Net mean square error is: 14.823625894539939
- Number of non-zero values: 238
- Compared with "ground truth": 27
- Number of true non-zero coefficients: 25

```
o array([ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 32, 34, 35, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101])
```

SCAD

- SCAD mean square error is:
- Number of non-zero values: 1200
- Compared with "ground truth": 27
- Number of true non-zero coefficients:

Square Root Lasso

- Square Root Lasso mean square error is:
- Number of non-zero values: 238

Anne Louise Seekford

Advanced Applied Machine Learning

- Compared with "ground truth": 27
- Number of true non-zero coefficients: 25

```
o array([ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 32, 34, 35, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101])
```