



25 of November 2015

Al-Ahmadgaid B. Asaad

*Problem Set 6* | **Stat 245**

7.9 In Table D.3 of Appendix D, data is reported on the death times of 863 kidney transplant patients (see section 1.7). Here, patients can be classified by race and sex into one of the four groups.

- Test the hypothesis that there is no difference in survival between the four groups.
- Adjusting for the sex of the patients, test the hypothesis that blacks have a higher mortality rate than whites. Also provide individual tests, for each sex, of the hypothesis of no racial differences in survival rates.

### Solution

- There are four groups in this problem, 432 white males, 92 black males, 280 white females, and 59 black females, in total there are 863 patients. Here we want to test the null hypothesis that the hazard rates between these group are equal for all  $t \leq \tau$  versus the alternative that at least one of the hazard rates is different for some  $t \leq \tau$ . In order to run the test in R, we need the data in section 1.7 named as `kidtran` from `KMsurv` package, thus we need to install `KMsurv`:

```
> install.packages("KMsurv")
```

Once successfully installed, load the package and the data

```
> library(KMsurv)
> data(kidtran)
```

To view the first 6 observations use the `head` function:

```
> head(kidtran)
  obs time delta gender race age
```

```
1  1  1  0  1  1  46
2  2  5  0  1  1  51
3  3  7  1  1  1  55
4  4  9  0  1  1  57
5  5 13  0  1  1  45
6  6 13  0  1  1  43
```

The following are descriptions of the columns of the data above, (access this using `help(kidtran)` or `?kidtran`):

Columns	Descriptions
<code>obs</code>	Observation number
<code>time</code>	Time to death or on-study time
<code>delta</code>	Death indicator (0 = alive, 1 = dead)
<code>gender</code>	1 = male, 2 = female
<code>race</code>	1 = white, 2 = black
<code>age</code>	Age in years

### Using survival R package

Now for testing, we'll consider first a built-in package in R called `survival`. In this package, we're gonna use `survdif` and `Surv` functions (run `?survdif` and `?Surv` to get help on these functions), so that the following is the code for testing the hypotheses

```
> survdiff(Surv(time, delta) ~ race + gender,
+          data = kidtran, rho = 0)
```

and below is the output

Call:

```
survdif(formula = Surv(time, delta) ~ race + gender,
        data = kidtran, rho = 0)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /E	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /V
race=1, gender=1	432	73	69.25	0.2025	0.4013
race=1, gender=2	280	39	47.39	1.4860	2.2531
race=2, gender=1	92	14	14.52	0.0184	0.0205
race=2, gender=2	59	14	8.84	3.0173	3.2245

Chisq= 4.7 on 3 degrees of freedom, p= 0.192

The **Observed** column above is obtained by  $\sum_i d_{ij}$ , and the **Expected** column is obtained by  $\sum_i Y_{ij} \left( \frac{d_i}{Y_i} \right)$ , and then supply this to the third column. Unfortunately, I don't know how to compute the fourth column and that's the disadvantage of using an R package, you don't know what's going on behind the scene. Anyway, the test statistic is  $\chi^2_3 = 4.7$ , with  $p$ -value greater than .05 (say this is our level of significance). Therefore, there is no significant difference between the hazard rates of the four groups. And by the way, the weight function above is  $\hat{S}(t_{i-1})^{\text{rho}}$  and since  $\text{rho} = 0$  above, then it's just a log-rank weight test.

## Using custom R function

An alternative to above code is to program the theory detailed in Section 7.3 so that we can explore  $Z_j$  and the variance-covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . In the R script attached with this pdf, the function for computing  $Z_j$  is `z_j` with the following usage,

```
z_j(x, K, di1_col, Yi1_col)
```

where `x` is the pivot data; `K` is the group size; `di1_col` is the column of the first  $d_i$  (observed event of first group); `Yi1_col` is the column of the first  $Y_i$  (individual at risk of first group). And for variance-covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  is `sigma` with the following usage,

```
sigma(x, K, Yi1_col)
```

the descriptions of the parameters above is similar to that in `z_j` function. Now in order to obtain the pivot data `x` and to determine `di1_col` and `Yi1_col`, a preliminary data preparation function is also included in the R script, the function is named as `data_setup` with the following usage,

```
data_setup(x, time, covariates, grp_names = NULL)
```

where `x` is the raw data; `time` is the time variable in the raw data; `covariates` is the covariates in the raw data, this is a character vector; `grp_names` is the group names that depends on the arrangement of the `covariates`.

Let's perform the test, using the `kidtran` data, for four groups ( $K = 4$ ), with `time` as `time` variable of `kidtran`, `covariates` as `race` and `gender`, and group names (`grp_names`) as `wm` (white(1) males(1)), `wf` (white(1) females(2)), `bm` (black(2) males(1)), and `bf` (black(2) females(2)). Notice the group names depend on the arrangement of

the covariates, in this case `race` first before `gender`, so if the first group is (males(1) white(1)) then that's misleading. Setup the pivot data as follows,

```
> covar <- c('delta', 'race', 'gender')
> g_names = c('wm', 'wf', 'bm', 'bf')
> x_data <- data_setup(x = kidtran, time = 'time',
+                      covariates = covar,
+                      grp_names = g_names)
> head(x_data)
  time ci_wm ci_wf ci_bm ci_bf di_wm di_wf
1    1     1     1     0     0     0     0
2    2     0     0     0     0     0     1
3    3     0     0     0     0     0     1
4    5     1     1     0     0     0     0
5    7     0     0     0     0     1     1
6    9     1     1     0     0     0     0
  di_bm di_bf Yi_wm Yi_wf Yi_bm Yi_bf Yi di
1     0     0  432  280   92   59 863  0
2     0     0  431  279   92   59 861  1
3     0     0  431  278   92   59 860  1
4     0     0  431  277   92   59 859  0
5     0     0  430  276   92   59 857  2
6     0     0  429  275   92   59 855  0
```

So the output is a data frame consisting of 15 columns, the four `ci`'s column for censored observations in the four groups, the `di_wm`, `di_wf`, `di_bm`, `di_bf`, columns for  $d_{ij}$ , the `Yi_wm`, `Yi_wf`, `Yi_bm`, `Yi_bf` for  $Y_{ij}$ , the `Yi` column for  $Y_i$ , and the `di` column for  $d_i$ .

To obtain the  $Z_j$ , we'll use the `x_data`, and supply it to the following code:

```
> zj <- z_j(x = x_data, K = 4, di1_col = 6, Yi1_col = 10)
> zj
      [,1]
[1,]  3.7450042
[2,] -8.3918316
[3,] -0.5167172
[4,]  5.1635446
```

$K = 4$  since there are four groups, and `di1_col = 6` since the `di_wm` is in the sixth column of `x_data`, `Yi1_col = 10` since the `Yi_wm` is in the tenth column of `x_data`. Next is to compute the variance-covariance matrix,  $\Sigma$  as follows

```
> Sigma <- sigma(x = x_data, K = 4, Yi1_col = 10)
> Sigma
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]
[1,] 34.949762 -23.387739 -7.1833754 -4.3786478
[2,] -23.387739 31.256016 -4.8985901 -2.9696867
[3,] -7.183375 -4.898590 13.0023724 -0.9204069
[4,] -4.378648 -2.969687 -0.9204069 8.2687415
```

Therefore the test statistics given by

$$\chi^2 = [Z_1(\tau), \dots, Z_{K-1}(\tau)] \Sigma^{-1} [Z_1(\tau), \dots, Z_{K-1}(\tau)]^T$$

is coded as,

```
> t(zj[1:3, ]) %*% solve(Sigma[1:3, 1:3]) %*% zj[1:3, ]
      [,1]
[1,] 4.73631
```

and the p-value is,

```
> 1 - pchisq(4.73631, 3)
[1] 0.1921559
```

To wrap-up the process above, the last function in the R script will do just that. The function is named as `survTest`, so that

```
> survTest(x = x_data, K = 4, di1_col = 6, Yi1_col = 10)
$'Chi-square'
      [,1]
[1,] 4.73631

$p-value'
      [,1]
[1,] 0.1921559
```

and we obtain the same result for test statistics and p-value as that in the `survdif` function of the `survival` package.

- (b) In this problem, we need to stratify the data (`kidtran`) with respect to `gender`, and also do the test within each stratum. Let's do the latter part first. To filter the data with respect to gender consider the following code,

```
> males <- kidtran[kidtran[, 'gender'] == 1, ]
```

So our new data is named as `males`, and therefore we are testing between two samples (white males, and black males).

## Using survival R package

The following will test the difference between the hazard rates of the two samples,

```
> survdiff(Surv(time, delta) ~ race, data = males)
Call:
survdif(formula = Surv(time, delta) ~ race, data = males)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /E	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /V
race=1	432	73	71.9	0.0168	0.097
race=2	92	14	15.1	0.0801	0.097

Chisq= 0.1 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.755

So the test statistic  $\chi_1^2 = .1$  with p-value .755, which is greater than .05 (say this is our level of significance), then it simply suggests that there is no difference between the hazard rates of the two groups (white males, and black males). Using the same approach for female stratum (white females, and black female), we have the following output

```
> females <- kidtran[kidtran[, 'gender'] == 2, ]
> survdiff(Surv(time, delta) ~ race, data = females)
Call:
survdif(formula = Surv(time, delta) ~ race, data = females)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /E	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /V
race=1	280	39	44.79	0.748	4.85
race=2	59	14	8.21	4.076	4.85

Chisq= 4.8 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.0277

The p-value is .0277 less than .05 so there is a significant difference between the hazard rates (for white females and black female).

Now to test the hypothesis that blacks have a higher mortality rate than whites, we use the `strata` function and apply this to the `gender` variable, that is

```
> survdiff(Surv(time, delta) ~ race + strata(gender),
+          data = kidtran)
Call:
survdif(formula = Surv(time, delta) ~ race + strata(gender),
        data = kidtran)
```

	N	Observed	Expected	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /E	(O-E) <sup>2</sup> /V
--	---	----------	----------	-----------------------	-----------------------

race=1	712	112	116.7	0.188	1.13
race=2	151	28	23.3	0.942	1.13

Chisq= 1.1 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.287

and it indicates that there is not enough evidence that blacks have higher mortality rate than whites since the p-value of .287 is greater than .05.

## Using custom R function

We can also achieve above computations using the custom functions we programmed, for males

```
> covar <- c('delta', 'race')
> g_names = c('wm', 'bm')
> x_males <- data_setup(x = males, time = 'time',
+                       covariates = covar,
+                       grp_names = g_names)
> survTest(x = x_males, K = 2, di1_col = 4, Yi1_col = 6)
$'Chi-square'
      [,1]
[1,] 0.09702603

$'p-value'
      [,1]
[1,] 0.7554281
```

and for females,

```
> covar <- c('delta', 'race')
> g_names = c('wf', 'bf')
> x_females <- data_setup(x = females, time = 'time',
+                          covariates = covar,
+                          grp_names = g_names)
> survTest(x = x_females, K = 2, di1_col = 4, Yi1_col = 6)
$'Chi-square'
      [,1]
[1,] 4.847488

$'p-value'
      [,1]
[1,] 0.02768642
```

Now for stratified test, according to the theory we simply solve for  $Z_{js}$  and  $\Sigma_s$  for  $s = \{\text{males, females}\}$ , and then compute the pooled  $Z_j = \sum_s Z_{js}$  and pooled  $\Sigma = \sum_s \Sigma_s$ . So let's compute for  $Z_{js}$  as follows:

```
> (z_males <- z_j(x = x_males, K = 2,
+                 di1_col = 4, Yi1_col = 6))
      [,1]
[1,] 1.099833
[2,] -1.099833
> (z_females <- z_j(x = x_females, K = 2,
+                   di1_col = 4, Yi1_col = 6))
      [,1]
[1,] -5.786174
[2,] 5.786174
```

Next we compute for  $\Sigma_s$

```
> (Sigma_males <- sigma(x = x_males, K = 2, Yi1_col = 6))
      [,1] [,2]
[1,] 12.46708 -12.46708
[2,] -12.46708 12.46708
> (Sigma_females <- sigma(x = x_females, K = 2, Yi1_col = 6))
      [,1] [,2]
[1,] 6.90663 -6.90663
[2,] -6.90663 6.90663
```

So that the pooled  $Z_j$  and  $\Sigma$  is

```
> (zj <- z_males + z_females)
      [,1]
[1,] -4.686341
[2,] 4.686341
> (Sigma <- Sigma_males + Sigma_females)
      [,1] [,2]
[1,] 19.37371 -19.37371
[2,] -19.37371 19.37371
```

And therefore the  $\chi^2$  test statistics for stratified test in this case for two-sample is given by

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^M Z_1(\tau)}{\sqrt{\sum_{s=1}^M \hat{\sigma}_{11s}}} = \sqrt{[Z_1(\tau)]\sigma_{11}^{-1}[Z_1(\tau)]^T}$$

which in R is equivalent to

```
> (chi <- sqrt(t(zj[1,]) %*% solve(Sigma[1,1]) %*% zj[1,]))  
      [,1]  
[1,] 1.0647  
with p-value  
> 1 - pchisq(chi, 1)  
      [,1]  
[1,] 0.3021456
```

The output is consistent with that of `survdiffr` result using `strata` function, but this one is more precise (with respect to the decimal points).

The codes are all available in the R script.