



26 of September 2015

Al-Ahmadgaid B. Asaad
PS 1 | Stat 233

1. V^\perp and $V|W$ are subspace.

Proof.

- (a) Let $\mathbf{w} \in V$ where $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace. And consider $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V^\perp$ the orthogonal complement of V . Then $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$, $\forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in V^\perp$. Now it follows that:

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0, \text{ i.e. } \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in V^\perp.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle &= k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = k \cdot 0 = 0 \\ \langle k\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle &= k\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = k \cdot 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Implying, V^\perp is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Therefore, V^\perp is a subspace.

- (b) By definition of quotient space, $V|W = V \cap W^\perp$ and that $W \subset V$. Consider the following cases:

Case 1. Let $\mathbf{w} \in W$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V|W$, then $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = 0$ since $W \perp V|W$ by definition of quotient space ($\cdot \bmod \cdot$). So that,

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = 0.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle &= k\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = 0 \\ \langle k\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle &= k\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $V|W$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

Case 2. Let $\mathbf{u} \in V^\perp$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V|W$, then $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = 0$ since V^\perp is orthogonal to $V|W$. It follows that

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = 0.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle &= k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = 0 \\ \langle k\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle &= k\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then again $V|W$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

From two cases above, one can conclude that $V|W$ is a subspace. □

2. Let $W \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\dim(V|W) = \dim V - \dim W$.

To prove this problem, let's formally define what the dimension (\dim) of a subspace first.

Definition 1. If S is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then the number of vectors in a basis for S is called the dimension of S , denoted by $\dim(S)$.

Proof. By definition of quotient space, if $\mathbf{x} \in V|W$ and $\mathbf{y} \in W$, then $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$ since $V|W \perp W$. Now consider $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p$ be mutually orthogonal nonzero vectors that span $V|W$. Also, let $\mathbf{u}_{p+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{p+k}$ be mutually orthogonal nonzero vectors that span W . If $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p]$ and $\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{u}_{p+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{p+k}]$ be matrices with column vectors \mathbf{u}_i and \mathbf{u}_j , respectively, $i = 1, \dots, p$ and $j = p+1, \dots, p+k$. Then \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} forms the basis of $V|W$ and W since \mathbf{u}_i s and \mathbf{u}_j s are linearly independent vectors $\forall i, j$ by Lemma 1.6. So that,

$$\dim(V|W) = \# \text{ of columns in } \mathbf{X} = p,$$

$$\dim(W) = \# \text{ of columns in } \mathbf{Y} = k$$

Given that $W \subset V$, then $W = V \cap W$. Thus,

$$\dim(V|W) = \dim(V \cap W^\perp) = \# \text{ of columns in } \mathbf{X} = p,$$

$$\dim(W) = \dim(V \cap W) = \# \text{ of columns in } \mathbf{Y} = k.$$

Because subspace is a set of all vectors that span the said space, then from set theory, it follows that

$$V = \{V \cap W\} \cup \{V \cap W^\perp\}, \text{ for } W \subset V.$$

What is left to show now is that,

$$\mathcal{L}(\{V \cap W\} \cup \{V \cap W^\perp\}) = V,$$

that is, the span of the vectors in the set $\{V \cap W\} \cup \{V \cap W^\perp\}$ is the subspace V . Let $\mathbf{v} \in V$, then by Theorem 1.4(f),

$$\begin{aligned} P_{V|W}\mathbf{v} &= P_V\mathbf{v} - P_W\mathbf{v} \\ P_V\mathbf{v} &= P_{V|W}\mathbf{v} + P_W\mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $P_W\mathbf{v} \in W$, and because $W = \{\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{X}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{w}\}$ for $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{u}_{p+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{p+k}]$ the basis matrix of W is a subset of V . We have,

$$P_W\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+k} x_j a_j \text{ spans } W.$$

Also $P_{V|W}\mathbf{v} \in V|W$ since $V|W = \{\mathbf{v} : \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{v}\}$ for $\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p]$ the basis matrix of $V|W$ is a subset of V . So

$$P_{V|W}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i a_i \text{ spans } V|W.$$

Implying

$$P_V\mathbf{v} = P_W\mathbf{v} + P_{V|W}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i a_i + \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+k} x_j a_j = \sum_{i=1}^{p+k} x_i a_i,$$

that is, $P_V\mathbf{v} \in V$ and that $V = \{\mathbf{v} : [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{v}\}$. And because \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are bases of orthogonal subspaces ($W \perp V|W$) then the matrix $\mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$ say, forms a basis matrix for V since the columns of \mathbf{Z} are linearly independent. Therefore from the definition of dimension of a matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V) &= \dim(W) + \dim(V|W) \\ \dim(V|W) &= \dim(V) - \dim(W) \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Let $W \subset V$. Then $V|(V|W) = W$.

Proof. We need to show the following first:

- (a) $W \subset V|(V|W)$; and,
- (b) $\dim(W) = \dim(V|(V|W))$

So that by result from Linear Algebra, $V|(V|W) = W$.

- (a) Let $\mathbf{x} \in W$, then $\mathbf{x} \in V$. If $\mathbf{y} \in V|W$, then $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = 0$, since $(V|W = V \cap W^\perp) \perp W$. Implies, $V|(V|W) = V \cap (V|W)^\perp$. Now since a subspace is a set of all vectors that span the said space, then by set theory, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} V \cap (V|W)^\perp &= V \cap \{V \cap W^\perp\}^\perp \\ &= V \cap \{V^\perp \cup W\} \\ &= \{V \cap V^\perp\} \cup \{V \cap W\} \\ &= V \cap W = W. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $\mathbf{x} \in W$, then $\mathbf{x} \in V|(V|W)$. And therefore, we conclude that $W \subset V|(V|W)$.

- (b) From problem 2, if $W \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\dim(V|W) = \dim V - \dim W$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V|(V|W)) &= \dim V - \dim V|W \\ &= \dim V - (\dim V - \dim W) \\ &= \dim W. \end{aligned}$$

With results from part (a) $W \subset V|(V|W)$; and part (b) $\dim(W) = \dim(V|(V|W))$. $V|(V|W) = W$. □

4. Show that $W \subset V$ if and only if $W^\perp \supset V^\perp$

Proof.

- (a) Assuming $W \subset V$, then $W^\perp \supset V^\perp$.

Case 1. Let $\mathbf{u} \in W$, then $\mathbf{u} \in V$. Implies that $\mathbf{u} \notin W^\perp$ and $\mathbf{u} \notin V^\perp$ since

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$$

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in W^\perp \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{b} \in V^\perp.$$

Case 2. Now if $\mathbf{u} \notin W$ but $\mathbf{u} \in V$, then $\mathbf{u} \in W^\perp$ and $\mathbf{u} \notin V^\perp$. That is,

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$$

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in W^\perp \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{b} \in V^\perp.$$

Case 3. Further, if $\mathbf{u} \notin W$ and $\mathbf{u} \notin V$, then $\mathbf{u} \in W^\perp$ and $\mathbf{u} \in V^\perp$, that is

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \neq 0$$

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in W^\perp \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{b} \in V^\perp.$$

To summarize, $\mathbf{u} \in W^\perp \cap V^\perp$ in Case 3. And in Case 2, $\mathbf{u} \in W^\perp \setminus V^\perp$. Therefore, $W^\perp \supset V^\perp$.

(b) Assuming $W^\perp \supset V^\perp$, then $W \subset V$.

Let's prove this by contradiction, suppose not. That is, suppose $W^\perp \supset V^\perp$ implies $W \supset V$.

Case 1. Let $\mathbf{x} \in V^\perp$, then $\mathbf{x} \in W^\perp$. It follows that, $\mathbf{x} \notin V$ and $\mathbf{x} \notin W$ since

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$$

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in V \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{b} \in W.$$

Case 2. Now consider $\mathbf{x} \notin V^\perp$ but $\mathbf{x} \in W^\perp$. Then $\mathbf{x} \in V$ and $\mathbf{x} \notin W$. That is,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$$

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in V \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{b} \in W.$$

Case 3. Finally, if $\mathbf{x} \notin V^\perp$ and $\mathbf{x} \notin W^\perp$. Then $\mathbf{x} \in V$ and $\mathbf{x} \in W$. That is,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b} \rangle \neq 0$$

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in V \text{ and } \forall \mathbf{b} \in W.$$

To summarize, $\mathbf{x} \in V \cap W$ in Case 3, and $\mathbf{x} \in V \setminus W$ in Case 2. Therefore $W \subset V$, which is a contradiction \nexists .

□

5. Let $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Then $(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \chi_n^2(\mathbf{0})$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}$, then $\mathbf{Z} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. So that from Theorem 2.13(a), $(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \chi_n^2((\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))$. Or simply,

$$(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \chi_n^2(\mathbf{0}).$$

□