

VENDORS RELOCATED

Who decides?

12 April

Notices issued to implementing agencies to shift vendors to other sites...

29 May

Vendors filed a similar case before the Divisional Commissioner. He ordered **approval from TVC before shifting**. Stay on notice issued on 12 April.

30 July

Per committee's recommendation, they issued **notices to vendors** informing them to shift their business within seven days.

21 August

TVC meeting was called. 3 vendors—out of the total 17 members—opposed the proposal. House **approved shifting of vendors** with immediate effect because **seven days notices were already issued in July**.

2-Apr-18

In a review meeting for Pilot Project, problems raised by RWA and market associations were discussed. Decision to **shift vendors to another site**.

8 May

Vendors filed a suit in the Court challenging the notice. The judge rejected the prayer of vendors.

20 June

In the TVC meeting, a **committee of DTP, MCG and CPO inspected sites** and established that "this problem is created due to establishment of these vending zones."

4 August

Issue discussed in **District Grievances Committee** where Chairperson directed to call a TVC meeting and take a 'fair decision' after **hearing arguments of ALL concerned stakeholders**.