The alttex package

Arno L. Trautmann*

Version 0.a.2 January 10, 2009

This is the package alttex which will try to give an experimental new way to write $X_{\overline{1}} = X_{\overline{1}} = X_{$

Contents

1	intr	oduction	2
2	2.1	tmode no escape	4
3		tabulartabular	4 5
J		braces	5 6
	3.3	unicode math	6
4	Lists and such things		
		itemize with a single character	

^{*}arno.trautmann@gmx.de

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ you don't know about X_HLAT_EX, see the appendix.4.2

1 introduction

The problem I have with IATEX² is the antique way of typing. Because most people still use a hopelessly outdated keyboard layout (»qwerty« or slightly adapted versions of that), IATEX doesn't make use of some cool features. I'm not talking about writing chinese or arabic text! Maybe this example will make the idea clear:

In standard LATEX, one has to write

```
This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

\begin{itemize}

\item text for first item

\item \begin{itemize}

\item this is an item inside an item...

\item[$\Rightarrow$] Here an item with a formula: $\int_a^b x^2 dx$

\end{itemize}

\item and the outer itemize goes on...
\end{itemize}
```

Using this package and having a superior keyboard layout³, you can simply write:⁴

This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

```
text for first item
this is an item inside an item
[→] Here an item with a formula: $∫_a^b x² dx$
and the outer itemize goes on...
```

And your normal text goes on...

in the future.

Well, actually I'm lying now because this is not fully implemented so far. But it's the aim of this package to provide this – besides many, many other funny and cool things. The aim is to offer a more "wysiwyg" way, without loosing anything of logical markup. One still can re\define the • if he doesn't like the way his items look. I have just started to write the package, there will be much more stuff here

Ok, enough blahblah, now comes the code. We begin with the uninteresting preamble stuff:

²I'll write IATEX instead of XHIATEX—saves me two keystrokes. Most of the code below *only* works with XHIATEX. If you need support for [utf8]inputenc or LuaIATEX, please contact the author.

³E.g. the ergonomic layout Neo: http://neo-layout.org/

 $^{^4{}m The}$ lmodern font I'm using here does not have the symbol for the inner item , so we change to DejaVu Sans Mono here.

```
1 \ProvidesPackage{alttex}
2
3 \RequirePackage{amsmath}
```

\usepackage

Now, this is the first highlight. It is an extremely simple and stupid approach to load missing packages on-the-fly, just like MikTeX does. We re\define the \usepackage and hope, it works. Only working with texlive! If you're using MikTeX, put a

\let\usepacke\oldpackage

into your preamble, *directly* after loading alttex. If this does not work, delete the following lines from your alttex. sty.

```
4 \let\oldpackage\usepackage
5 \def\usepackage#1{
6  \IfFileExists{#1.sty}{
7  \oldpackage{#1}
8  }{
9  \immediate\write18{tlmgr install #1}
10  }
11 }
```

So far, this code seems to be a bit buggy, but it should work anyhow.

Now load some nice packages and testing wether you're running $X_{\overline{1}}$ or not.

```
12 \RequirePackage{exscale}
13 \RequirePackage{ifxetex}
14 \RequirePackage{hhline}
15 \ifxetex
16 \typeout{Loading XeTeX, everything's fine.}
17 \else
   \typeout{^^J%
   ! This package can only be compiled with XeLaTeX. ^^J%
   ! pdfLaTeX cannot handle unicode the way it is used here. ^^J%
   ! If you want to have support for [utf8]inputenc, please contact the au-
 thor. ^^J%
  ! If you want to use LuaLaTeX, give it a try: ^^J%
  ! comment out the lines 32, 33, 35-43. ^^J%
   ! Please e-mail me the result of your experiences!^^J%
   26
27
   \errmessage{No XeLaTeX, no alttex. See the log for more information.}
28
29
   \endinput
30 \fi
```

We need exscale to write really big formulae, and ifxetex to check wether one uses the correct engine.

2 Textmode

2.1 no escape

\noescape

You want to write plain text. Maybe you're annoyed by always escaping characters like $_\#\&\{\}$ \sim and so on. \noescape allows you to never escape anything—except the \noescape , which still might be used for $\text{textit}\{\}$ or so. Or maybe not... because the $\{\noescape\}$ are not escaped. Have to think about this one. Maybe the $\noescape\}$ will be redefined to define $\{\noescape\}$ by itself.

```
32 \def\noescape{
    \catcode`\_= 11%
33
    \catcode`\^= 11%
34
    \catcode`\#= 11%
35
    \catcode`\&= 11%
36
    %\catcode`\{= 11%
37
    %\catcode`\}= 11%
38
    \catcode`\$= 11%
39
    \catcode`\~= 11%
40
    \makeatletter%
41
    \catcode`\%= 11
42
43 }
```

The \makeatletter is not necessary. But it fitted into this line, so I will leave it here.

\oldescape

Of course this has to be reset when doing anything like formula, tabular etc. Maybe I will be able to change the behaviour automatically. This idea has been inspired by a discussion on the ConT_EXt mailinglist.

```
44 \def\oldescape{
    \catcode`\%= 14%
45
    \color= 8
46
    \catcode`\^= 7%
47
    \catcode`\#= 6%
48
    \catcode`\&= 4%
49
    %\catcode`\{= 1%
50
    %\catcode`\}= 2%
51
    \catcode`\$= 3%
    \catcode`\~= 13%
53
    \makeatother%
54
55 }
```

2.2 tabular

The way one has to type extensive tabulars is quite complex – and the resulting code is often not easy to read. I don't have good ideas how to change this, but I'm thinking about it. Mail me any suggestions for this!

This will be the first attempt to make tabulars easier: Mostly you want an **\hline** after an ****. So let's try something like:

I will try to implement cool stuff from the hhline-package.

\§ for \\hhline Type \- (an en-dash) at the end of a line, and you get an \hhline. Type \= to get a double line

```
56 \def \{ \hhline}
57 \def\={\hhline}
```

This is shurely not a good symbol for this purpose, but I don't have a better idea so far. At least it's a "bar", so one can guess what it should do.

3 Math stuff

braces

\newbraces \oldbraces Now this is something most LATEX-beginners don't recognize and wonder why the formula looks so ugly: The braces () do not fit to the hight of the formula. This can be achieved by putting \left and \right in front of the braces. But actually, this is annoying! In almost any case you want this behaviour, so this should be the standard. So we redefine the way braces are handled. With \newbraces the () always fit. If you prefer the normal LATEX way, use \oldraces to reset everything. This new behaviour should be extended to other characters like | [{ < and so on. Maybe in some later version.

I would have never been able to implement this without the help of the mailinglist members of tex-d-l@listserv.dfn.de!

The redefinition of \mathstrut is necessary when using amsmath (you will use amsmath when typesetting formulae, won't you?), because the hight of formulae is determinated by the hight of a brace. But using () as \active characters, we need another brace here. So we take [. This will probably also change. But the code is working fine for ().

```
The newbraces does not
work at the moment!
```

Maybe one could "temporarily hardcode" the hight of [and then use this...

```
58 \makeatletter
59 \def\resetMathstrut@{%
      \setbox\z@\hbox{%
60
         \mathchardef\@tempa\mathcode`\[\relax
61
         \def\@tempb##1"##2##3{\the\textfont"##3\char"}%
62
         \expandafter\@tempb\meaning\@tempa \relax
63
64
65
    \t \ \ht\Mathstrutbox@\ht\z@ \dp\Mathstrutbox@\dp\z@
66 }
67 \makeatother
69 {\catcode`(\active \xdef({\left\string(})}
70 {\catcode`)\active \xdef){\right\string)}}
71
72 \def\newbraces{
    \mathcode`("8000
73
    \mathcode`) "8000
74
75 }
76
77 \edef\oldbraces{
    \mathcode`(\the\mathcode`(
```

```
79 \mathcode`)\the\mathcode`)
80 }
```

3.2 huge display math

hugedisplaymath

Sometimes, especially in presentations, you might need an really big formula. Imagine two hours of struggle with transformations—and finally there is the beautiful formula. Now you can say

```
\beta = mc^2 \end{hugedisplaymath}
```

There should be several steps of size, maybe.

```
81 \def\hugedisplaymath{
82  \makeatletter
83  \makeatother
84  \Huge
85  \begin{equation*}
86 }
87 \def\endhugedisplaymath{
88  \end{equation*}
89 }
```

3.3 unicode math

Typing math in T_EX is no great fun – you have to write things like \setminus int instead of \int and so on. Have a look at the following formula:

```
\int_\infty^\infty \sum_a
```

The code again is stolen and I don't understand, why it does what it does, but it does it: The first argument is the character you want to use for "unicode math", the second one is the T_EX-command.

```
90 \makeatletter
 91 \def\altmath#1#2{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
 92
 93
       \add@special{#1}%
 94
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
 95
       \begingroup
 96
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
 97
         \lowercase{%
 98
         \global\expandafter\let
 99
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
100
         \ensuremath{\texttt{expandafter}}\
101
102
       \endgroup
       \global\catcode`#1\active
103
     \else
104
     \fi
105
106 }
107 \makeatother
```

We will make a switch to turn this stuff on or off, so it does not interfere with the unicode-math package. This list will increase by time. If you are missing a symbol, just send me the $\altmath{X}{\altmath{X}}{\altmath{X}}$ if anybody could send me a whole list of symbols!

```
108 \def\makealtmath{
109 \altmath{α}\alpha
110 \altmath{β}\beta
111 \altmath{γ}\gamma
112 \altmath{δ}\delta
113
114 \altmath{⇒}\Rightarrow
115 \altmath{∈}\Leftarrow
116 \altmath{⊕}\Leftrightarrow
117
118 \altmath{∫}\int
119 \altmath{∀}\forall
120 }
```

There will be an \makenormalmath-switch as well.

3.4 Lazy underscript and superscript

Sometimes one has to make extensive use of subscripts and superscripts, e.g. when typing long formulae including tensors. Then it is a bit annoying to always write the $\{\}$, especially when there are only two letters in the sub/superscript. So let's try to implement the possibility to type $F_{\mu\nu} \$.

First, store the actual meaning of _ and ^ in **\oldunderscore** and **\oldhat**.

```
121 \let\oldunderscore_\relax
122 \let\oldhat^\relax
```

Now set _as \active char and define it the way we want it to behave. For this, we need the space char and end-of-line char to be an egroup char. So the underscript group is ended by space or eol and we don't need to close it explicitly.

```
123 \catcode`\ =13
124 \def_{%
125
     \ifmmode
       \catcode`\ =2\relax%
126
       \catcode`\^^M=2\relax%
127
       \expandafter\oldunderscore\bgroup%
128
     \else%
129
       \textunderscore%
130
131
     \fi%
132 }
134 \iffalse
135 This does not work so far...
136 \catcode`\^=13
137 \def^{%
138
    \ifmmode
```

An underscore at the end of an inline-formula has to be ended with } or egroup. That is not nice...

The redefinition of hat does not work because TeX uses it for definition of catcodes. There has to be a really tricky way to get around that.

To give the possibility to swith between normal and alttex behaviour, store the new underscore.

The newUnder does not work so far.

147 \let\advancedunderscore

And the switches. By default, _ is active. Type \oldUnder to get the normal _.

```
148 \def\oldUnder{
149 \global\catcode`\_=8\relax
150 }
151 \def\newUnder{
152 \global\let_\advancedunderscore
153 }
```

4 Lists and such things

4.1 itemize with a single character

• instead of \item

Here we use an active character (mostly a unicode character bullet \bullet) for the whole construct. And another one for nested itemizations (like a triangular bullet \bullet).

This does—guess it—not work correctly so far. I'm trying to find a tricky way so that the ending character is not necessary any more. So far one has to end an itemize with something like an – (em-dash). There will also be a possibility to change the characters responsible for the whole action.

insideitemize wird nicht zurückgesetzt!!

The following ugly peace of code is writen by me, defining the conditional insertion of the **\begin{itemize}**. This will be assigned to an active character using **\makeitemi** and **\makeitemii**, respectively.

```
154 \def\outside{o}
155 \def\inside{i}
156 \let\insideitemizei\outside
157 \let\insideitemizeii\outside
 The end of itemizei and itemizeii:
158 \def\•{\end{itemize}}
159 \def\►{\end{itemize}}
160
161 \def\newitemi{\%}
162
     \ifx\insideitemizei\inside%
163
       %\setcounter{lastitem}{0}%
        \expandafter\item%
164
     \else%
165
```

```
\begin{itemize}%
166
       \let\insideitemizei\inside%
167
       %\catcode`\f=5%
168
       %\catcode`\€=14%
169
       %\catcode`\^^M=\active\def^^M{\end{itemize}}
170
171
       \expandafter\item%
172
     \fi
173 }
174
175 \def\newitemii{
     \ifx\insideitemizeii\inside
176
177
       \expandafter\item%
178
     \else
       \begin{itemize}
179
          \let\insideitemizeii\inside
180
          \expandafter\item%
181
     \fi
182
183 }
```

Ok, the following code is stolen from the shortvrb package, and I don't understand anything of it. But I keep on trying... nevertheless, it's working fine, as far as I can see.

\makeitemii

\makeitemi With this macro, you can define the character you want to use for first-level itemize. (Guess the sense of \makeitemii...) Default ist • for first-level and ▶ for second-level. Maybe this will be extended till fourth level. More doesn't seem to make any sense.

```
184 %
185 \setminus makeatletter
186 \def\makeitemi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
187
188
        \add@special{#1}%
       \expandafter
189
        \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
190
       \begingroup
191
192
          \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
193
          \lowercase{%
          \global\expandafter\let
194
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
195
          \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemi}}%
196
        \endgroup
197
       \global\catcode`#1\active
198
199
     \else
     \fi
200
201 }
202
203 \def\makeitemii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
204
205
       \add@special{#1}%
206
        \expandafter
```

```
\xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
207
      \begingroup
208
        \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
209
        \lowercase{%
210
        \global\expandafter\let
211
212
          \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
213
        \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemii}}%
214
      \endgroup
      \global\catcode`#1\active
215
    \else
216
    \fi
217
218 }
Now there are the two helperfunctions – no guess what they are really doing.
219 \def\add@special#1{%
    \rem@special{#1}%
220
    \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\dospecials\expandafter
221
222 {\dospecials \do #1}%
    224 {\@sanitize \@makeother #1}}
225 \def\rem@special#1{%
    \def\do##1{%
226
      227
    \xdef\dospecials{\dospecials}%
228
229
    \begingroup
230
      \def\@makeother##1{%
        231
      \xdef\@sanitize{\@sanitize}%
232
233
    \endgroup}
234 \makeatother
```

4.2 enumerate with a single character

¹, ² And we do just the same stuff with \enumerate. But here we take the character ¹ as first level item, the ²⁵ as second level etc. This may be confusing some way, but just try it.

For the implementation: copy-pasted the code above, nothing interesting so

```
235 \def\¹{\end{enumerate}}
236 \def\²{\end{enumerate}}
237
238 \let\insideenumi\outside
239 \let\insideenumii\outside
240
241 \def\newenumi{
242 \ifx\insideenumi\inside
```

 $^{^5}$ Maybe this is a very stupid idea, because now the 2 cannot be used as a square in mathmode. Of course there could be a test ifmmode, but I rather would like to find a better character for enumerate.

```
\expandafter\item%
243
     \else
244
       \begin{enumerate}
245
          \let\insideenumi\inside
246
          \expandafter\item%
247
248
     \fi
249 }
250
251 \def\newenumii{
     \ifx\insideenumii\inside
252
       \expandafter\item%
253
254
     \else
255
       \begin{enumerate}
          \let\insideenumii\inside
256
          \expandafter\item%
257
     \fi
258
259 }
260
```

We use the same methods as above, still not understanding, what they are doing. Just changing two lines of code and hoping, everything will be fine.

```
261 \makeatletter
262 \def\makeenumi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
263
264
       \add@special{#1}%
265
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
266
       \begingroup
267
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
268
         \lowercase{%
269
         \global\expandafter\et
270
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
271
272
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumi}}%
273
       \endgroup
274
       \global\catcode`#1\active
275
     \else
     \fi
276
277 }
278
279 \def\makeenumii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
280
281
       \add@special{#1}%
       \expandafter
282
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
283
       \begingroup
284
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
285
286
         \lowercase{%
287
         \global\expandafter\let
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
288
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumii}}%
289
```

```
\endgroup
290
291
        \global\catcode`#1\active
292
     \else
293
    \fi
294 }
295 \mbox{ \mbox{\mbox{\it makeatother}}}
Finally, we set the default characters for the items and enumerations:
297 \makeitemi•
298 \makeitemii▶
299 \makeenumi¹
300 \makeenumii²
And that's it.
```

Happy altTeXing!

A very short introduction to X¬IATEX

Everything you have to know about XHATEX to use this package: Write your LATEX file just as you are used to. But save it as utf8-encoded, and say

\usepackage{xltxra}

instead of

\usepackage[latin1]{inputenc} and \usepackage[T1]{fontenc}

This loads some files that provide all the cool stuff X_{\text{T}EX} offers. You don't have to take care of letters T_{\text{E}X} would not understand – X_{\text{T}EX} understands every character you type. But sometimes the font may not have the symbol for this – then you can use \fontspec{fontname}, where fontname is the name of a font on your system, e.g. Arno Pro, Linux Libertine, LT Zapfino One etc.

Then, you compile your document with the command xelatex file.tex, instead of xelatex file.tex and you get a pdf as output. Nevertheless, X_HT_EX is not an pdfT_EX successor, so you cannot use microtypographic extensions.

If you have any trouble using X¬IAT_FX, just e-mail me!

todo

Here a section with some ideas that could be implemented.

- $\bullet~$ Use $^2~$ as square in math mode and possibly $^1~$ as $\mbox{\tt footnote}?$
- Do something to enable easy tabular
- If there is only one char after an $_$, there should no space be needed.
- Maybe there could be a ConTeXt-version of this file.