The alttex package

Arno L. Trautmann*

Version 0.a.2 January 2, 2009

Contents

1	intr	oduction
2		tmode
	2.1	no escape
	2.2	tabular
3		th stuff
	3.1	braces
	3.2	huge display math
	3.3	unicode math
4	List	s and such things
	4.1	itemize with a single character
	4.2	enumerate with a single character

^{*}arno.trautmann@gmx.de

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ you don't know about X_HLAT_EX, see the appendix.4.2

1 introduction

The problem I have with IATEX² is the antique way of typing. Because most people still use a hopelessly outdated keyboard layout (»qwerty« or slightly adapted versions of that), IATEX doesn't make use of some cool features. I'm not talking about writing chinese or arabic text! Maybe this example will make the idea clear:

In standard LATEX, one has to write

```
This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

\begin{itemize}

\item text for first item

\item \begin{itemize}

\item this is an item inside an item...

\item[$\Rightarrow$] Here an item with a formula: $\int_a^b x^2 dx$

\end{itemize}

\item and the outer itemize goes on...
\end{itemize}
```

Using this package and having a superior keyboard layout³, you can simply write:⁴

This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

```
text for first item
this is an item inside an item
[→] Here an item with a formula: $∫_a^b x² dx$
and the outer itemize goes on...
```

And your normal text goes on...

in the future.

Well, actually I'm lying now because this is not fully implemented so far. But it's the aim of this package to provide this – besides many, many other funny and cool things. The aim is to offer a more "wysiwyg" way, without loosing anything of logical markup. One still can re\define the • if he doesn't like the way his items look. I have just started to write the package, there will be much more stuff here

Ok, enough blahblah, now comes the code. We begin with the uninteresting preamble stuff:

²I'll write IATEX instead of XHIATEX—saves me two keystrokes. Most of the code below *only* works with XHIATEX. If you need support for [utf8]inputenc or LuaIATEX, please contact the author.

³E.g. the ergonomic layout Neo: http://neo-layout.org/

 $^{^4{}m The}$ lmodern font I'm using here does not have the symbol for the inner item , so we change to DejaVu Sans Mono here.

```
1 \ProvidesPackage{alttex}
2
3 \RequirePackage{amsmath}
```

\usepackage

Now, this is the first highlight. It is an extremely simple and stupid approach to load missing packages on-the-fly, just like MikTeX does. We re\define the \usepackage and hope, it works. Only working with texlive! If you're using MikTeX, put a

\let\usepacke\oldpackage

into your preamble, *directly* after loading alttex. If this does not work, delete the following lines from your alttex. sty.

```
4 \let\oldpackage\usepackage
5 \def\usepackage#1{
6  \IfFileExists{#1.sty}{
7  \oldpackage{#1}
8  }{
9  \immediate\write18{tlmgr install #1}
10  }
11 }
```

So far, this code seems to be a bit buggy, but it should work anyhow.

Now load some nice packages and testing wether you're running $X_{\overline{1}}$ or not.

```
12 \RequirePackage{exscale}
13 \RequirePackage{ifxetex}
14 \RequirePackage{hhline}
15 \ifxetex
16 \typeout{Loading XeTeX, everything's fine.}
17 \else
   \typeout{^^J%
   ! This package can only be compiled with XeLaTeX. ^^J%
   ! pdfLaTeX cannot handle unicode the way it is used here. ^^J%
   ! If you want to have support for [utf8]inputenc, please contact the au-
 thor. ^^J%
  ! If you want to use LuaLaTeX, give it a try: ^^J%
  ! comment out the lines 32, 33, 35-43. ^^J%
   ! Please e-mail me the result of your experiences!^^J%
   26
27
   \errmessage{No XeLaTeX, no alttex. See the log for more information.}
28
29
   \endinput
30 \fi
```

We need exscale to write really big formulae, and ifxetex to check wether one uses the correct engine.

2 Textmode

2.1 no escape

\noescape

You want to write plain text. Maybe you're annoyed by always escaping characters like $_\#\&\{\}$ \sim and so on. \noescape allows you to never escape anything—except the \noescape , which still might be used for $\text{textit}\{\}$ or so. Or maybe not... because the $\{\noescape\}$ are not escaped. Have to think about this one. Maybe the $\noescape\}$ will be redefined to define $\{\noescape\}$ by itself.

```
32 \def\noescape{
    \catcode`\_= 11%
33
    \catcode`\^= 11%
34
    \catcode`\#= 11%
35
    \catcode`\&= 11%
36
    %\catcode`\{= 11%
37
    %\catcode`\}= 11%
38
    \catcode`\$= 11%
39
    \catcode`\~= 11%
40
    \makeatletter%
41
    \catcode`\%= 11
42
43 }
```

The \makeatletter is not necessary. But it fitted into this line, so I will leave it here.

\oldescape

Of course this has to be reset when doing anything like formula, tabular etc. Maybe I will be able to change the behaviour automatically. This idea has been inspired by a discussion on the ConT_EXt mailinglist.

```
44 \def\oldescape{
    \catcode`\%= 14%
45
    \color= 8
46
    \catcode`\^= 7%
47
    \catcode`\#= 6%
48
    \catcode`\&= 4%
49
    %\catcode`\{= 1%
50
    %\catcode`\}= 2%
51
    \catcode`\$= 3%
    \catcode`\~= 13%
53
    \makeatother%
54
55 }
```

2.2 tabular

The way one has to type extensive tabulars is quite complex – and the resulting code is often not easy to read. I don't have good ideas how to change this, but I'm thinking about it. Mail me any suggestions for this!

This will be the first attempt to make tabulars easier: Mostly you want an **\hline** after an ****. So let's try something like:

I will try to implement cool stuff from the hhline-package.

\§ for \\hhline Type \- (an en-dash) at the end of a line, and you get an \hhline. Type \= to get a double line

```
56 \def \{ \hhline}
57 \def\={\hhline}
```

This is shurely not a good symbol for this purpose, but I don't have a better idea so far. At least it's a "bar", so one can guess what it should do.

3 Math stuff

braces

\newbraces \oldbraces Now this is something most LATEX-beginners don't recognize and wonder why the formula looks so ugly: The braces () do not fit to the hight of the formula. This can be achieved by putting \left and \right in front of the braces. But actually, this is annoying! In almost any case you want this behaviour, so this should be the standard. So we redefine the way braces are handled. With \newbraces the () always fit. If you prefer the normal LATEX way, use \oldraces to reset everything. This new behaviour should be extended to other characters like | [{ < and so on. Maybe in some later version.

I would have never been able to implement this without the help of the mailinglist members of tex-d-l@listserv.dfn.de!

The redefinition of \mathstrut is necessary when using amsmath (you will use amsmath when typesetting formulae, won't you?), because the hight of formulae is determinated by the hight of a brace. But using () as \active characters, we need another brace here. So we take [. This will probably also change. But the code is working fine for ().

The newbraces does not work at the moment!

Maybe one could "temporarily hardcode" the hight of [and then use this...

```
58 \makeatletter
59 \def\resetMathstrut@{%
      \setbox\z@\hbox{%
60
        \mathchardef\@tempa\mathcode`\[\relax
61
         \def\@tempb##1"##2##3{\the\textfont"##3\char"}%
62
        \expandafter\@tempb\meaning\@tempa \relax
63
64
65
    \t \Mathstrutbox@\ht\z@ \dp\Mathstrutbox@\dp\z@
66 }
67 \makeatother
68
69
70 \edef\oldbraces{
    \mathcode`(\the\mathcode`(
    \mathcode`)\the\mathcode`)
72
73 }
74 \beginaroup
    \catcode`(\active \xdef({\left\string(}
    \catcode`)\active \xdef){\right\string)}
77 \endgroup
78 \def\newbraces{
```

```
79
80 \mathcode`("8000
81 \mathcode`)"8000
82 }
```

3.2 huge display math

hugedisplaymath

Sometimes, especially in presentations, you might need an really big formula. Imagine two hours of struggle with transformations—and finally there is the beautiful formula. Now you can say

```
\beta = mc^2 \end{hugedisplaymath}
```

There should be several steps of size, maybe.

```
83 \def\hugedisplaymath{
84  \makeatletter
85  \makeatother
86  \Huge
87  \begin{equation*}
88 }
89 \def\endhugedisplaymath{
90  \end{equation*}
91 }
```

3.3 unicode math

Typing math in TEX is no great fun – you have to write things like \int instead of \(\) and so on. Have a look at the following formula:

The code again is stolen and I don't understand, why it does what it does, but it does it: The first argument is the character you want to use for "unicode math", the second one is the T_EX-command.

```
92 \makeatletter
93 \def\altmath#1#2{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#l\endcsname\relax
       \add@special{#1}%
95
       \expandafter
96
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
97
       \begingroup
98
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
99
100
         \lowercase{%
         \global\expandafter\let
101
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
102
103
         \ensuremath{\texttt{expandafter}}\
       \endgroup
104
       \global\catcode`#1\active
105
106
     \else
107
     \fi
```

```
108 }
109 \makeatother
```

We will make a switch to turn this stuff on or off, so it does not interfere with the unicode-math package. This list will increase by time. If you are missing a symbol, just send me the \altmath{X}{\Xcode}-line. I would be very thankful if anybody could send me a whole list of symbols!

```
110 \def\makealtmath{
111 \altmath{\alpha}\alpha
112 \altmath{β}\beta
113 \altmath{γ}\gamma
114 \altmath{\delta}\ \delta
116 \altmath{⇒}\Rightarrow
117 \altmath{←} \Leftarrow
118 \altmath{⇔}\Leftrightarrow
120 \altmath{∫}\int
121 \altmath{∀}\forall
122 }
```

There will be an \makenormalmath-switch as well.

Lists and such things

itemize with a single character

• instead of \item Here we use an active character (mostly a unicode character bullet •) for the whole construct. And another one for nested itemizations (like a triangular bullet).

> This does—guess it—not work correctly so far. I'm trying to find a tricky way so that the ending character is not necessary any more. So far one has to end an itemize with something like an - (em-dash). There will also be a possibility to change the characters responsible for the whole action.⁵

insideitemize wird nicht zurückgesetzt!!

The following ugly peace of code is writen by me, defining the conditional insertion of the \begin{itemize}. This will be assigned to an active character using \makeitemi and \makeitemii, respectively.

```
123 \def\outside{o}
124 \def\inside{i}
125 \let\insideitemizei\outside
126 \let\insideitemizeii\outside
 The end of itemizei and itemizeii:
127 \def\•{\end{itemize}}
128 \def\*{\end{itemize}}
129
130 \def\newitemi{}
     \ifx\insideitemizei\inside
```

 $^{^5{\}rm The}$ triangular bullet sign does not appear here – the font is lacking it...

```
\expandafter\item%
132
     \else
133
       \begin{itemize}
134
       \let\insideitemizei\inside
135
       \expandafter\item%
136
137
     \fi
138 }
139
140 \def\newitemii{
     \ifx\insideitemizeii\inside
141
       \expandafter\item%
142
143
     \else
       \begin{itemize}
144
          \let\insideitemizeii\inside
145
          \expandafter\item%
146
     \fi
147
148 }
```

Ok, the following code is stolen from the shortvrb package, and I don't understand anything of it. But I keep on trying... nevertheless, it's working fine, as far as I

\makeitemii

\makeitemi With this macro, you can define the character you want to use for first-level itemize. (Guess the sense of \makeitemii...) Default ist • for first-level and ▶ for second-level. Maybe this will be extended till fourth level. More doesn't seem to make any sense.

```
149 %
150 \makeatletter
151 \def\makeitemi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
152
       \add@special{#1}%
153
154
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
155
       \begingroup
156
          \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
157
158
          \lowercase{%
159
          \global\expandafter\let
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
160
          \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemi}}%
161
       \endgroup
162
       \global\catcode`#1\active
163
     \else
164
165
     \fi
166 }
167
168 \def\makeitemii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
169
       \add@special{#1}%
170
171
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
172
```

```
\begingroup
173
                                 \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
174
                                 \lowercase{%
175
                                 \global\expandafter\let
176
                                           \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
177
178
                                \verb|\expandafter \expandafter \
179
                         \endgroup
                         \global\catcode`#1\active
180
                  \else
181
                  \fi
182
183 }
  Now there are the two helperfunctions – no guess what they are really doing.
184 \def\add@special#1{%
                  \rem@special{#1}%
185
                  \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\dospecials\expandafter
186
187 {\dospecials \do #1}%
                  \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\@sanitize\expandafter
189 {\@sanitize \@makeother #1}}
190 \def\rem@special#1{%
191
                  \def\do##1{%
                         \liminf #1=`##1 \le \infty \donoexpand#1\fi}%
192
                  \xdef\dospecials{\dospecials}%
193
                  \begingroup
194
                         \def\@makeother##1{%
195
                                 \ifnum`#1=`##1 \else \noexpand\@makeother\noexpand##1\fi}%
196
                         \xdef\@sanitize{\@sanitize}%
197
                  \endgroup}
198
199 \makeatother
```

4.2 enumerate with a single character

¹, ² And we do just the same stuff with \enumerate. But here we take the character ¹ as first level item, the ²⁶ as second level etc. This may be confusing some way, but just try it.

For the implementation: copy-pasted the code above, nothing interesting so far.

```
200 \def\1 {\end{enumerate}}
201 \def\2 {\end{enumerate}}
202
203
204 \let\insideenumi\outside
205 \let\insideenumii\outside
206
207 \def\newenumi{
208 \ifx\insideenumi\inside
```

 $^{^6\}mathrm{Maybe}$ this is a very stupid idea, because now the 2 cannot be used as a square in mathmode. Of course there could be a test ifmmode, but I rather would like to find a better character for enumerate.

```
\expandafter\item%
209
     \else
210
       \begin{enumerate}
211
          \let\insideenumi\inside
212
          \expandafter\item%
213
214
     \fi
215 }
216
217 \def\newenumii{
     \ifx\insideenumii\inside
218
       \expandafter\item%
219
220
     \else
221
       \begin{enumerate}
          \let\insideenumii\inside
222
223
          \expandafter\item%
     \fi
224
225 }
226
```

We use the same methods as above, still not understanding, what they are doing. Just changing two lines of code and hoping, everything will be fine.

```
227 \makeatletter
228 \def\makeenumi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
229
230
       \add@special{#1}%
231
       \expandafter
232
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
233
       \begingroup
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
234
         \lowercase{%
235
         \global\expandafter\et
236
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
237
238
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumi}}%
239
       \endgroup
^{240}
       \global\catcode`#1\active
241
     \else
     \fi
242
243 }
244
245 \def\makeenumii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
^{246}
247
       \add@special{#1}%
       \expandafter
248
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
249
       \begingroup
250
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
251
252
         \lowercase{%
253
         \global\expandafter\let
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
254
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumii}}%
255
```

```
\endgroup
256
257
        \global\catcode`#1\active
258
     \else
259
     \fi
260 }
261 \mbox{ makeatother}
Finally, we set the default characters for the items and enumerations:
263 \makeitemi•
264 \makeitemii▶
265 \mbox{ } \mbox{makeenumi}^{1}
266 \makeenumii²
And that's it.
```

Happy altTeXing!

A very short introduction to X¬IFT_EX

Everything you have to know about X π IATEX to use this package: Write your IATEX file just as you are used to. But save it as utf8-encoded, *do not* use \usepackage{inputenc} and \usepackage{fontenc}, but *do use*

\usepackage{xltxra}.

This loads some files that provide all the cool stuff X_TEX offers. You don't have to take care of letters TEX would not understand – X_TEX understands every character you type. But sometimes the font may not have the symbol for this – then you can use \fontspec{fontname}, where fontname is the name of a font on your system, e.g. Arno Pro, Linux Libertine etc. Of course, you don't compile with the command latex file tex, but xelatex file tex. You get a pdf as output. Nevertheless, X_TEX is not pdfTEX, so you cannot use microtypographic extensions...:(

If you have any trouble using X¬IAT_FX, just mail me!

todo

Here a section with some ideas that could be implemented.

 $\bullet~$ Use $^2~$ as square in math mode and possibly $^1~$ as $\mbox{\tt footnote}?$

•