

# The `alttex` package

Arno L. Trautmann\*

Version 0.a.2 January 12, 2009

This is the package `alttex` which will try to give an experimental new way to write  $\text{\LaTeX}$ <sup>1</sup> code. So far it is mostly done with very dirty code and actually it's a collection of things that come into my mind during boring lectures. Maybe someone will have fun with the following code fragments.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Textmode</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	no escape . . . . .	4
2.2	tabular . . . . .	4
2.3	excel tabulars . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Math stuff</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	braces . . . . .	5
3.2	huge display math . . . . .	6
3.3	unicode math . . . . .	7
3.4	Lazy underscript and superscript . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Lists and such things</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	itemize with a single character . . . . .	9
4.2	enumerate with a single character . . . . .	11

---

\*arno.trautmann@gmx.de

<sup>1</sup>If you don't know about  $\text{\LaTeX}$ , see the appendix.4.2

# 1 introduction

The problem I have with  $\text{\LaTeX}$ <sup>2</sup> is the antique way of typing. Because most people still use a hopelessly outdated keyboard layout («qwerty» or slightly adapted versions of that),  $\text{\LaTeX}$  doesn't make use of some cool features. I'm not talking about writing chinese or arabic text! Maybe this example will make the idea clear:

In standard  $\text{\LaTeX}$ , one has to write

This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

```
\begin{itemize}
  \item text for first item
  \item \begin{itemize}
    \item this is an item inside an item...
    \item[ $\rightarrow$ ] Here an item with a formula:  $\int_a^b x^2 dx$ 
  \end{itemize}
  \item and the outer itemize goes on...
\end{itemize}
```

Using this package and having a superior keyboard layout<sup>3</sup>, you can simply write:<sup>4</sup>

This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

- text for first item
- - this is an item inside an item
  - [ $\Rightarrow$ ] Here an item with a formula:  $\int_a^b x^2 dx$
- and the outer itemize goes on...

And your normal text goes on...

Well, actually I'm lying now because this is not fully implemented so far. But it's the aim of this package to provide this – besides many, many other funny and cool things. The aim is to offer a more „wysiwyg“ way, without loosing anything of logical markup. One still can re\define the • if he doesn't like the way his items look. I have just started to write the package, there will be much more stuff here in the future.

Ok, enough blahblah, now comes the code. We begin with the uninteresting preamble stuff:

---

<sup>2</sup>I'll write  $\text{\LaTeX}$  instead of  $\text{\XeLaTeX}$ —saves me two keystrokes. Most of the code below *only* works with  $\text{\XeLaTeX}$ . If you need support for `[utf8]inputenc` or  $\text{\LuaTeX}$ , please contact the author.

<sup>3</sup>E.g. the ergonomic layout Neo: <http://neo-layout.org/>

<sup>4</sup>The lmodern font I'm using here does not have the symbol for the inner item , so we change to DejaVu Sans Mono here.



## 2 Textmode

### 2.1 no escape

`\noescape` You want to write plain text. Maybe you're annoyed by always escaping characters like `_` `#` `&` `{` `}` `$` `~` and so on. `\noescape` allows you to never escape anything—except the `\`, which still might be used for `\textit{}` or so. Or maybe not... because the `{` `}` are not escaped. Have to think about this one. Maybe the `\` will be redefined to define `{` `}` by itself.

```
32 \def\noescape{
33   \catcode`\_ = 11%
34   \catcode`\^ = 11%
35   \catcode`\# = 11%
36   \catcode`\& = 11%
37   %\catcode`\{ = 11%
38   %\catcode`\} = 11%
39   \catcode`\$ = 11%
40   \catcode`\~ = 11%
41   \makeatletter%
42   \catcode`\% = 11
43 }
```

The `\makeatletter` is not necessary. But it fitted into this line, so I will leave it here.

`\oldescape` Of course this has to be reset when doing anything like formula, tabular etc. Maybe I will be able to change the behaviour automatically. This idea has been inspired by a discussion on the ConT<sub>E</sub>Xt mailinglist.

```
44 \def\oldescape{
45   \catcode`\% = 14%
46   \catcode`\_ = 8%
47   \catcode`\^ = 7%
48   \catcode`\# = 6%
49   \catcode`\& = 4%
50   %\catcode`\{ = 1%
51   %\catcode`\} = 2%
52   \catcode`\$ = 3%
53   \catcode`\~ = 13%
54   \makeatother%
55 }
```

### 2.2 tabular

The way one has to type extensive tabulars is quite complex – and the resulting code is often not easy to read. I don't have good ideas how to change this, but I'm thinking about it. Mail me any suggestions for this!

This will be the first attempt to make tabulars easier: Mostly you want an `\hline` after an `\\`. So let's try something like:

I will try to implement cool stuff from the `hhline`-package.

`\$` for `\\hhline` Type `\-` (an en-dash) at the end of a line, and you get an `\hhline`. Type `\=` to get a double line

```
56 \def\-{\\hhline}
57 \def\={\\hhline}
```

This is shurely not a good symbol for this purpose, but I don't have a better idea so far. At least it's a "bar", so one can guess what it should do.

## 2.3 excel tabulars

`\exceltabular` Often one uses a program to calculate tabulars of numbers. To insert it into L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, one has to do some work. Here we try to copy-paste the tabular from excel, Calc or any other program to a file `mytabular.txt` (or any other ending). Then you say `\exceltabular{mytabular}` (you do not need the ending, therefore it doesn't matter) and you get the tabular in a standard format. I will extend this to enable caption, variable number of columns, kind of rule used etc. This is just a very first test. There is a small bug with the very last `<enter>` at the end of the `\incuded` file causing an empty row at the end.

This is the definition of the command:

```
58 \def\exceltabular#1{
59   \catcode\^^I=4\relax
60   \eolintabular%
61   \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}\hhline%
62   \input{#1}%
63   \end{tabular}\catcode\^^M=5\relax
64 }
```

And a little helper function to make the `<enter>` `\active`. Again, thanks to the people on the mailinglists.

```
65 \def\mybreak{\\hhline{}}
66 \begingroup
67   \lccode\~=\^^M%
68   \lowercase{%
69     \endgroup
70     \def\eolintabular{%
71       \catcode\^^M=\active
72       \let~\mybreak
73     }%
74 }
```

## 3 Math stuff

### 3.1 braces

`\newbraces` Now this is something most L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X-beginners don't recognize and wonder why the  
`\oldbraces` formula looks so ugly: The braces `()` do not fit to the height of the formula. This can be achieved by putting `\left` and `\right` in front of the braces. But actually, this is annoying! In almost any case you want this behaviour, so this should be the

standard. So we redefine the way braces are handled. With `\newbraces` the `( )` always fit. If you prefer the normal  $\text{\LaTeX}$  way, use `\oldbraces` to reset everything. This new behaviour should be extended to other characters like `|` `[` `{` `<` and so on. Maybe in some later version.

I would have never been able to implement this without the help of the mailinglist members of [tex-d-1@listserv.dfn.de](mailto:tex-d-1@listserv.dfn.de)!

The redefinition of `\mathstrut` is necessary when using `amsmath` (you will use `amsmath` when typesetting formulae, won't you?), because the height of formulae is determined by the height of a brace. But using `( )` as `\active` characters, we need another brace here. So we take `[`. This will probably also change. But the code is working fine for `( )`.

```

75 \makeatletter
76 \def\resetMathstrut{%
77   \setbox\z@\hbox{%
78     \mathchardef\@tempa\mathcode`\[ \relax
79     \def\@tempb##1"##2##3{\the\textfont"##3\char"}%
80     \expandafter\@tempb\meaning\@tempa \relax
81   }%
82   \ht\Mathstrutbox=\ht\z@ \dp\Mathstrutbox=\dp\z@
83 }
84 \makeatother
85
86 {\catcode`\active \xdef{\left\string{}}
87 {\catcode`\active \xdef{\right\string{}}}
88
89 \def\newbraces{
90   \mathcode`("8000
91   \mathcode`) "8000
92 }
93
94 \edef\oldbraces{
95   \mathcode`\(\the\mathcode` (
96   \mathcode`\)\the\mathcode`)
97 }

```

### 3.2 huge display math

`hugedisplaymath` Sometimes, especially in presentations, you might need an really big formula. Imagine two hours of struggle with transformations—and finally there is the beautiful formula. Now you can say

```
\begin{hugedisplaymath} E = mc^2 \end{hugedisplaymath}
```

There should be several steps of size, maybe.

```

98 \def\hugedisplaymath{
99   \makeatletter
100   \makeatother

```

```

101 \Huge
102 \begin{equation*}
103 }
104 \def\endhugedisplaymath{
105 \end{equation*}
106 }

```

### 3.3 unicode math

Typing math in T<sub>E</sub>X is no great fun – you have to write things like `\int` instead of  $\int$  and so on. Have a look at the following formula:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_a$$

The code again is stolen and I don't understand, why it does what it does, but it does it: The first argument is the character you want to use for “unicode math“, the second one is the T<sub>E</sub>X-command.

```

107 \makeatletter
108 \def\altmath#1#2{%
109 \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
110 \add@special{#1}%
111 \expandafter
112 \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
113 \begingroup
114 \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
115 \lowercase{%
116 \global\expandafter\let
117 \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
118 \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{#2}}%
119 \endgroup
120 \global\catcode`#1\active
121 \else
122 \fi
123 }
124 \makeatother

```

We will make a switch to turn this stuff on or off, so it does not interfere with the unicode-math package. This list will increase by time. If you are missing a symbol, just send me the `\altmath{X}{\Xcode}`-line. I would be very thankful if anybody could send me a whole list of symbols!

```

125 \def\makealtmath{
126 \altmath{\alpha}\alpha
127 \altmath{\beta}\beta
128 \altmath{\gamma}\gamma
129 \altmath{\delta}\delta
130
131 \altmath{\Rightarrow}\Rightarrow
132 \altmath{\Leftarrow}\Leftarrow
133 \altmath{\Leftrightarrow}\Leftrightarrow
134
135 \altmath{\int}\int

```

```

136 \altmath{V}\forall
137 }

```

There will be an `\makenormalmath`-switch as well.

### 3.4 Lazy underscore and superscript

Sometimes one has to make extensive use of subscripts and superscripts, e. g. when typing long formulae including tensors. Then it is a bit annoying to always write the `{}`, especially when there are only two letters in the sub/superscript. So let's try to implement the possibility to type  $F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ .

First, store the actual meaning of `_` and `^` in `\oldunderscore` and `\oldhat`.

```

138 \let\oldunderscore_\relax
139 \let\oldhat^\relax

```

Now set `_` as `\active` char and define it the way we want it to behave. For this, we need the space char and end-of-line char to be an egroup char. So the underscore group is ended by space or eol and we don't need to close it explicitly.

```

140 \catcode`\_ =13
141 \def_{%
142   \ifmmode
143     \catcode`\_ =2\relax%
144     \catcode`\^^M=2\relax%
145     \expandafter\oldunderscore\bgroup%
146   \else%
147     \textunderscore%
148   \fi%
149 }
150
151 \iffalse
152 This does not work so far...
153 \catcode`\^ =13
154 \def^{%
155   \ifmmode
156     \catcode`\_ =2\relax%
157     \catcode`\^^M=2\relax%
158     \expandafter\oldhat\bgroup%
159   \else%
160     \oldhat%
161   \fi%
162 }
163 \fi

```

To give the possibility to switch between normal and `alttex` behaviour, store the new underscore.

```

164 \let\advancedunderscore_

```

And the switches. By default, `_` is active. Type `\oldUnder` to get the normal `_`.

```

165 \def\oldUnder{
166   \global\catcode`\_ =8\relax

```

An underscore at the end of an inline-formula has to be ended with `}` or egroup. That is not nice...

The redefinition of hat does not work because TeX uses it for definition of catcodes. There has to be a really tricky way to get around that.

The new `Under` does not work so far.



```

167 }
168 \def\newUnder{
169   \global\let_\advancedunderscore
170 }

```

## 4 Lists and such things

### 4.1 itemize with a single character

- instead of `\item` Here we use an active character (mostly a unicode character bullet •) for the whole construct. And another one for nested itemizations (like a triangular bullet ▸).

This does—guess it—not work correctly so far. I’m trying to find a tricky way so that the ending character is not necessary any more. So far one has to end an itemize with something like an – (em-dash). There will also be a possibility to change the characters responsible for the whole action.

The following ugly peace of code is written by me, defining the conditional insertion of the `\begin{itemize}`. This will be assigned to an active character using `\makeitemi` and `\makeitemii`, respectively.

```

171 \def\outside{o}
172 \def\inside{i}
173 \let\insideitemizei\outside
174 \let\insideitemizeii\outside
175
176 The end of itemizei and itemizeii:
177
178 \def\•{\end{itemize}}
179 \def\▸{\end{itemize}}
180
181 \def\newitemi{%
182   \ifx\insideitemizei\inside%
183     %\setcounter{lastitem}{0}%
184     \expandafter\item%
185   \else%
186     \begin{itemize}%
187       \let\insideitemizei\inside%
188       %\catcode`\f=5%
189       %\catcode`\€=14%
190       %\catcode`\^^M=\active\def^^M{\end{itemize}}
191       \expandafter\item%
192     \fi
193   }
194
195 \def\newitemii{
196   \ifx\insideitemizeii\inside
197     \expandafter\item%
198   \else
199     \begin{itemize}
200       \let\insideitemizeii\inside
201       \expandafter\item%
202     \end{itemize}
203   \fi
204 }

```

insideitemize wird nicht  
zurückgesetzt!!

```

199 \fi
200 }

```

Ok, the following code is stolen from the `shortvrb` package, and I don't understand anything of it. But I keep on trying... nevertheless, it's working fine, as far as I can see.

`\makeitemi` With this macro, you can define the character you want to use for first-level  
`\makeitemii` itemize. (Guess the sense of `\makeitemii`...) Default ist • for first-level and ► for second-level. Maybe this will be extended till fourth level. More doesn't seem to make any sense.

```

201 %
202 \makeatletter
203 \def\makeitemi#1{%
204   \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
205     \add@special{#1}%
206     \expandafter
207     \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
208     \begingroup
209       \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
210       \lowercase{%
211         \global\expandafter\let
212         \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
213         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemi}}%
214     \endgroup
215     \global\catcode`#1\active
216   \else
217   \fi
218 }
219
220 \def\makeitemii#1{%
221   \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
222     \add@special{#1}%
223     \expandafter
224     \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
225     \begingroup
226       \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
227       \lowercase{%
228         \global\expandafter\let
229         \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
230         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemii}}%
231     \endgroup
232     \global\catcode`#1\active
233   \else
234   \fi
235 }

```

Now there are the two helperfunctions – no guess what they are really doing.

```

236 \def\add@special#1{%
237   \rem@special{#1}%

```

```

238 \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\dospecials\expandafter
239 {\dospecials \do #1}%
240 \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\@sanitize\expandafter
241 {\@sanitize \@makeother #1}}
242 \def\rem@special#1{%
243 \def\do##1{%
244 \ifnum`#1=##1 \else \noexpand\do\noexpand##1\fi}%
245 \xdef\dospecials{\dospecials}%
246 \begingroup
247 \def\@makeother##1{%
248 \ifnum`#1=##1 \else \noexpand\@makeother\noexpand##1\fi}%
249 \xdef\@sanitize{\@sanitize}%
250 \endgroup}
251 \makeatother

```

## 4.2 enumerate with a single character

<sup>1</sup>, <sup>2</sup> And we do just the same stuff with `\enumerate`. But here we take the character <sup>1</sup> as first level item, the <sup>2</sup><sup>5</sup> as second level etc. This may be confusing some way, but just try it.

For the implementation: copy-pasted the code above, nothing interesting so far.

```

252 \def\^1{\end{enumerate}}
253 \def\^2{\end{enumerate}}
254
255 \let\insideenumi\outside
256 \let\insideenumii\outside
257
258 \def\newenumi{
259 \ifx\insideenumi\inside
260 \expandafter\item%
261 \else
262 \begin{enumerate}
263 \let\insideenumi\inside
264 \expandafter\item%
265 \fi
266 }
267
268 \def\newenumii{
269 \ifx\insideenumii\inside
270 \expandafter\item%
271 \else
272 \begin{enumerate}
273 \let\insideenumii\inside
274 \expandafter\item%
275 \fi

```

---

<sup>5</sup>Maybe this is a very stupid idea, because now the <sup>2</sup> cannot be used as a square in mathmode. Of course there could be a test `ifmmode`, but I rather would like to find a better character for `enumerate`.

276 }

277

We use the same methods as above, still not understanding, what they are doing.  
Just changing two lines of code and hoping, everything will be fine.

278 \makeatletter

279 \def\makeenumi#1{%

280 \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax

281 \add@special{#1}%

282 \expandafter

283 \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%

284 \begingroup

285 \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%

286 \lowercase{%

287 \global\expandafter\let

288 \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%

289 \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumi}}%

290 \endgroup

291 \global\catcode`#1\active

292 \else

293 \fi

294 }

295

296 \def\makeenumii#1{%

297 \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax

298 \add@special{#1}%

299 \expandafter

300 \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%

301 \begingroup

302 \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%

303 \lowercase{%

304 \global\expandafter\let

305 \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%

306 \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumii}}%

307 \endgroup

308 \global\catcode`#1\active

309 \else

310 \fi

311 }

312 \makeatother

313

Finally, we set the default characters for the items and enumerations:

314 \makeitemi•

315 \makeitemii▶

316 \makeenumi<sup>1</sup>

317 \makeenumii<sup>2</sup>

And that's it.

Happy altT<sub>E</sub>Xing!

## A very short introduction to Xe<sub>Λ</sub>LaTeX

Everything you have to know about Xe<sub>Λ</sub>LaTeX to use this package: Write your LaTeX file just as you are used to. But save it as utf8-encoded, and say

```
\usepackage{xltxra}
```

instead of

```
\usepackage[latin1]{inputenc} and \usepackage[T1]{fontenc}
```

This loads some files that provide all the cool stuff Xe<sub>Λ</sub>LaTeX offers. You don't have to take care of letters TeX would not understand – Xe<sub>Λ</sub>TeX understands every character you type. But sometimes the font may not have the symbol for this – then you can use `\fontspec{fontname}`, where `fontname` is the name of a font on your system, e. g. `Arno Pro`, `Linux Libertine`, `LT Zapfino One` etc.

Then, you compile your document with the command `xelatex file.tex`, instead of `xelatex file.tex` and you get a pdf as output. Nevertheless, Xe<sub>Λ</sub>TeX is not an pdfTeX successor, so you cannot use microtypographic extensions.

If you have any trouble using Xe<sub>Λ</sub>LaTeX, just e-mail me!

## todo

Here a section with some ideas that could be implemented.

- Use <sup>2</sup> as square in mathmode and possibly <sup>1</sup> as `\footnote`?
- Do something to enable easy tabular
- If there is only one char after an `_`, there should no space be needed.
- Maybe there could be a ConTeXt-version of this file.