The alttex package

Arno L. Trautmann*

Version 0.a.1 December 29, 2008

This is the package alttex which will try to give an experimental new way to write $X_{\overline{1}} = X_{\overline{1}} = X_{$

Contents

1	introduction	
2	Textmode	
	2.1 no escape	
	2.2 tabular	•
3	Math stuff	
	3.1 braces	
	3.2 huge display math	
	3.3 unicode math	
4	Lists and such things	
	4.1 itemize with a single character	
	4.2 enumerate with a single character	

^{*}arno.trautmann@gmx.de

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ you don't know about XHATEX, see the appendix.4.2

1 introduction

The problem I have with LATEX² is the antique way of typing. Because most people still use a hopelessly outdated keyboard layout ("qwerty" or slightly adapted versions of that), LATEX doesn't make use of some cool features. I'm not talking about writing chinese or arabic text! Maybe this example will make the idea clear:

In standard LATEX, one has to write

```
This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

\begin{itemize}

\item text for first item

\item \begin{itemize}

\item this is an item inside an item...

\item[$\Rightarrow$] Here an item with a formula: $\int_a^b x^2 dx$

\end{itemize}

\item and the outer itemize goes on...
\end{itemize}
```

Using this package and having a superior keyboard layout³, you can simply write:⁴

This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

```
text for first item
this is an item inside an item
[→] Here an item with a formula: $∫_a^b x² dx$
and the outer itemize goes on...

And your normal text goes on...
```

Well, actually I'm lying now because this is not fully implemented so far. But it's the aim of this package to provide this – besides many, many other funny and cool things. The aim is to offer a more "wysiwyg" way, without loosing anything of logical markup. One still can re\define the • if he doesn't like the way his items look. I have just started to write the package, there will be much more stuff here in the future.

Ok, enough blahblah, now comes the code. We begin with the uninteresting preamble stuff:

1 \ProvidesPackage{alttex}

 $^{^2}$ I'll write IATEX instead of XHATEX—saves me two keystrokes. Most of the code below *only* works with XHATEX. If you need support for [utf8]inputenc or LuaIATEX, please contact the author.

 $^{^3\}mathrm{E.\,g.}$ the ergonomic layout NEO.

 $^{^4}$ The lmodern font I'm using here does not have the symbol for the inner item , so we change to DejaVu Sans Mono here.

```
2
3 \RequirePackage{amsmath}
```

\usepackage

Now, this is the first highlight. It is an extremely simple and stupid approach to load missing packages on-the-fly, just like MikT_EX does. We re\define the \usepackage and hope, it works. Only working with texlive! If you're using MikT_EX, put a

\let\usepacke\oldpackage

into your preamble, *directly* after loading alttex. If this does not work, delete the following lines from your alttex.sty.

```
4 \let\oldpackage\usepackage
5 \def\usepackage#1{
6  \AtBeginDocument{hallo}
7  \IfFileExists{#1.sty}{
8   \oldpackage{#1}
9   }{
10   \immediate\write18{tlmgr install #1}
11  }
12 }
```

So far, this code seems to be a bit buggy, but it should work anyhow.

Now load some nice packages and testing wether you're running $X_{\overline{1}}$ or not.

```
13 \RequirePackage{exscale}
14 \RequirePackage{ifxetex}
15 \RequirePackage{hhline}
16 \ifxetex
17 \typeout{Loading XeTeX, everything's fine.}
18 \else
19
   \typeout{^^J%
   20
   ! This package can only be compiled with XeLaTeX.^^J%
21
   ! pdfLaTeX cannot handle unicode the way it is used here.^^J%
22
23 ! If you want to have support for [utf8]inputenc, please contact the author.^^J%
  ! If you want to use LuaLaTeX, give it a try: ^^J%
  ! comment out the lines 32,33,35-43.^^J%
  ! Please e-mail me the result of your experiences!^^J%
   27
28
   \errmessage{No XeLaTeX, no alttex. See the log for more information.}
29
   \endinput
30
31 \fi
32
```

We need exscale to write really big formulae, and ifxetex to check wether one uses the correct engine.

2 Textmode

2.1 no escape

\noescape

You want to write plain text. Maybe you're annoyed by always escaping characters like $_\#\&\{\}$ \sim and so on. \noescape allows you to never escape anything—except the \noescape , which still might be used for $\text{textit}\{\}$ or so. Or maybe not... because the $\{\}$ are not escaped. Have to think about this one. Maybe the \noescape will be redefined to define $\{\}$ by itself.

```
33 \def\noescape{
    \catcode`\_= 11%
34
    \catcode`\^= 11%
35
36
    \catcode`\#= 11%
    \catcode`\&= 11%
37
    %\catcode`\{= 11%
38
    %\catcode`\}= 11%
39
    \catcode`\$= 11%
40
    \catcode`\~= 11%
41
    \makeatletter%
42
    \catcode`\%= 11
43
44 }
```

The \makeatletter is not necessary. But it fitted into this line, so I will leave it here.

\oldescape

Of course this has to be reset when doing anything like formula, tabular etc. Maybe I will be able to change the behaviour automatically. This idea has been inspired by a discussion on the ConTeXt mailinglist.

```
45 \def\oldescape{
    \catcode`\%= 14%
46
    \color= 8%
47
    \color= 7%
48
    \catcode`\#= 6%
49
    \color= 4\%
50
    %\catcode`\{= 1%
51
    %\catcode`\}= 2%
52
    \color= 3\%
    \catcode`\~= 13%
54
    \makeatother%
55
56 }
```

2.2 tabular

The way one has to type extensive tables is quite complex – and the resulting code is often not really readable. I don't have good ideas how to change this, but I'm thinking about it. Just a reminder to myself... mail me any suggestions for this!

This will be the first attempt to make tabulars easier: Mostly you want an **\hline** after an ****. So let's try something like:

I will try to implement cool stuff from the hhline-package.

```
\$ for \\hhline Type \$ at the end of a line, and you get an \hhline:
```

```
57 \def\\\\ \hhline}
```

This is shurely not a good symbol for this purpose, but I don't have a better idea so far. At least it's a "bar", so one can guess what it should do.

Math stuff 3

3.1braces

\newbraces \oldbraces Now this is something most LATEX-beginners don't recognize and wonder why the formula looks so ugly: The braces () do not fit to the hight of the formula. This can be achieved by putting \left and \right in front of the braces. But actually, this is annoying! In almost any case you want this behaviour, so this should be the standard. So we redefine the way braces are handled. With \newbraces the () always fit. If you prefer the normal LATEX way, use \oldbraces to reset everything. This new behaviour should be extended to other characters like | [{ < and so on. Maybe in some later version.

I would have never been able to implement this without the help of the mailinglist members of tex-d-l@listserv.dfn.de!

The redefinition of \mathstrut is necessary when using amsmath (you will use amsmath when typesetting formulae, won't you?), because the hight of formulae is determinated by the hight of a brace. But using () as \active characters, we need another brace here. So we take [. This will probably also change. But the code is working fine for ().

Maybe one could "temporarily hardcode" the hight of [and then use this..

```
58 \makeatletter
59 \def\resetMathstrut0{\%}
      \setbox\z@\hbox{%
60
        \mathchardef\@tempa\mathcode`\[\relax
61
        \def\@tempb##1"##2##3{\the\textfont"##3\char"}%
62
        \expandafter\@tempb\meaning\@tempa \relax
63
64
    \ht\Mathstrutbox@\ht\z@ \dp\Mathstrutbox@\dp\z@
65
66 }
67 \makeatother
68
69 \edef\oldbraces{
    \mathcode`(\the\mathcode`(
70
    \mathcode`)\the\mathcode`)
71
72 }
73 \begingroup
    \catcode`(\active \xdef({\left\string(}
74
    \catcode`)\active \xdef){\right\string)}
75
76 \endgroup
77
78 \def\newbraces{
    \mathcode`("8000
    \mathcode`)"8000
```

3.2 huge display math

 ${\tt hugedisplaymath}$

Sometimes, especially in presentations, you might need an really big formula. Imagine two hours of struggle with transformations—and finally there is the beautiful formula. Now you can say

\begin{hugedisplaymath} E = mc^2 \end{hugedisplaymath}

There should be several steps of size, maybe.

```
82 \def\hugedisplaymath{
83  \makeatletter
84  \makeatother
85  \Huge
86  \begin{equation*}
87 }
88 \def\endhugedisplaymath{
89  \end{equation*}
90 }
```

3.3 unicode math

Typing math in T_EX is no great fun – you have to write things like \int instead of \int and so on. Have a look at the following formula:

```
\int_\infty^\infty \sum_a
```

 \int The code again is stolen and I don't understand, why it does what it does, but it does it: The first argument is the character you want to use for "unicode math", the second one is the T_EX-command.

```
91 \makeatletter
 92 \left| 4 \right|
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
       \add@special{#1}%
 94
       \expandafter
 95
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
 96
       \begingroup
 97
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
 98
 99
         \lowercase{%
100
         \global\expandafter\let
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
101
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{#2}}%
102
       \endgroup
103
       \global\catcode`#1\active
104
     \else
105
106
     \fi
107 }
108 \makeatother
```

We will make a switch to turn this stuff on or off, so it does not interfere with the unicode-math package. This list will increase by time. If you are missing a symbol, just send me the \altmath{X}{\Xcode}-line. I would be very thankful if anybody could send me a whole list of symbols!

```
109 \def\makealtmath{
110 \altmath{}\alpha
111 \altmath{}\beta
112 \altmath{}\gamma
113 \altmath{}\delta
114
115 \altmath{}\Rightarrow
116 \altmath{}\Leftarrow
117 \altmath{}\Leftarrow
118
119 \altmath{}\int
120 \altmath{}\forall
121 }
```

There will be an \makenormalmath-switch as well.

4 Lists and such things

4.1 itemize with a single character

• instead of \item

Here we use an active character (mostly a unicode character bullet •) for the whole construct. And another one for nested itemizations (like a triangular bullet •).

This does—guess it—not work correctly so far. I'm trying to find a tricky way so that the ending character is not necessary any more. So far one has to end an itemize with something like an – (em-dash). There will also be a possibility to change the characters responsible for the whole action.⁵

\newitemi \newitemii The following ugly peace of code is writen by me, defining the conditional insertion of the \begin{itemize}. This will be assigned to an active character using \makeitemi and \makeitemii, respectively.

```
122 \def\outside{o}
123 \def\inside{i}
124 \let\insideitemizei\outside
125 \let\insideitemizeii\outside
126
127 \def\newitemi{
128
     \ifx\insideitemizei\inside
129
       \expandafter\item%
130
     \else
        \begin{itemize}
131
          \global\let\insideitemizei\inside
132
          \expandafter\item%
133
     \fi
134
```

 $^{^5\}mathrm{The}$ triangular bullet sign does not appear here – the font is lacking it...

```
135 }
136
137 \def\newitemii{
     \ifx\insideitemizeii\inside
138
        \expandafter\item%
139
140
     \else
141
        \begin{itemize}
          \global\let\insideitemizeii\inside
142
          \expandafter\item%
143
     \fi
144
145 }
```

Ok, the following code is stolen from the **shortvrb** package, and I don't understand anything of it. But I keep on trying... nevertheless, it's working fine, as far as I can see.

\makeitemi \makeitemii

With this macro, you can define the character you want to use for first-level itemize. (Guess the sense of \makeitemii...) Default ist • for first-level and for second-level. Maybe this will be extended till fourth level. More doesn't seem to make any sense.

```
146 %
147 \makeatletter
148 \def\makeitemi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
       \add@special{#1}%
150
       \expandafter
151
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
152
       \begingroup
153
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
154
         \lowercase{%
155
         \global\expandafter\let
156
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
157
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemi}}%
158
       \endgroup
159
       \global\catcode`#1\active
160
161
     \else
162
     \fi
163 }
164
165 \def\makeitemii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
166
       \add@special{#1}%
167
       \expandafter
168
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
169
170
       \begingroup
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
171
         \lowercase{%
172
         \global\expandafter\let
173
174
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
175
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemii}}%
```

```
\endgroup
176
       \global\catcode`#1\active
177
     \else
178
     \fi
179
180 }
Now there are the two helperfunctions – no guess what they are really doing.
181 \def\add@special#1{%
     \rem@special{#1}%
182
     \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\dospecials\expandafter
183
184 {\dospecials \do #1}%
     \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\@sanitize\expandafter
186 {\@sanitize \@makeother #1}}
187 \def\rem@special#1{%
     \def\do##1{%
188
       \ifnum`#1=`##1 \else \noexpand\do\noexpand##1\fi}%
189
     \xdef\dospecials{\dospecials}%
190
     \begingroup
191
192
       \def\@makeother##1{%
193
         \ifnum`#1=`##1 \else \noexpand\@makeother\noexpand##1\fi}%
       \xdef\@sanitize{\@sanitize}%
194
     \endgroup}
195
196 \makeatother
```

4.2 enumerate with a single character

, ² And we do just the same stuff with \enumerate. But here we take the character ¹ as first level item, the ²⁶ as second level etc. This may be confusing some way, but just try it.

For the implementation: copy-pasted the code above, nothing interesting so far.

```
197 \def\inside{i}
198 \def\outside{o}
199 \let\insideenumi\outside
200 \let\insideenumii\outside
201
202 \def\newenumi{
     \ifx\insideenumi\inside
203
       \expandafter\item%
204
     \else
205
206
       \begin{enumerate}
207
          \global\let\insideenumi\inside
          \expandafter\item%
208
209
     \fi
210 }
211
```

⁶Maybe this is a very stupid idea, because now the ² cannot be used as a square in mathmode. Of course there could be a test <code>ifmmode</code>, but I rather would like to find a better character for <code>enumerate</code>.

```
212 \def\newenumii{
213
     \ifx\insideenumii\inside
        \expandafter\item%
214
     \else
215
       \begin{enumerate}
216
217
          \global\let\insideenumii\inside
218
          \expandafter\item%
     \fi
219
220 }
221
```

We use the same methods as above, still not understanding, what they are doing. Just changing two lines of code and hoping, everything will be fine.

```
222 \makeatletter
223 \def\makeenumi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
224
225
       \add@special{#1}%
226
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
227
228
       \begingroup
229
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
230
         \lowercase{%
         \global\expandafter\let
231
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
232
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumi}}%
233
234
       \endgroup
235
       \global\catcode`#1\active
236
     \else
     \fi
237
238 }
239
240 \def\makeenumii#1{%}
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
241
242
       \add@special{#1}%
243
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
244
       \begingroup
245
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
246
         \lowercase{%
247
         \global\expandafter\let
248
249
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumii}}%
250
       \endgroup
251
       \global\catcode`#1\active
252
     \else
253
254
     \fi
255 }
256 \makeatother
257
```

Finally, we set the default characters for the items and enumerations:

 $258 \mbox{\mbox{$\backslash$}makeitemi} \bullet$

 $259 \mbox{ \mbox{$\backslash$}}$ makeitemii

 $260 \mbox{\mbox{$\backslash$}makeenumi1

261 \makeenumii²

And that's it.

Happy altTeXing!

A very short introduction to X¬IFT_EX

Everything you have to know about X¬IL¬T¬EX to use this package: Write your L¬T¬EX file just as you are used to. But save it as utf8-encoded, do not use \usepackage{inputenc} and \usepackage{fontenc}, but do use

\usepackage{xltxra}.

This loads some files that provide all the cool stuff XALATEX offers. You don't have to take care of letters TEX would not understand — XALEX understands every character you type. But sometimes the font may not have the symbol for this — then you can use \fontspec{fontname}, where fontname is the name of a font on your system, e.g. Arno Pro, Linux Libertine etc. Of course, you don't compile with the command latex file.tex, but xelatex file.tex. You get a pdf as output. Nevertheless, XALEX is not pdfTEX, so you cannot use microtypographic extensions...:(

If you have any trouble using $X_{\overline{A}}I_{\overline{A}}T_{\overline{E}}X$, just mail me!

todo

Here a section with some ideas that could be implemented.

 $\bullet~$ Use 2 as square in math mode and possibly 1 as \footnote?

•