The alttex package

Arno L. Trautmann*

Version 0.a.1 December 30, 2008

This is the package alttex which will try to give an experimental new way to write $X_{\overline{1}} = X_{\overline{1}} = X_{$

Contents

1	introduction	
2	Textmode	
	2.1 no escape	
	2.2 tabular	•
3	Math stuff	
	3.1 braces	
	3.2 huge display math	
	3.3 unicode math	
4	Lists and such things	
	4.1 itemize with a single character	
	4.2 enumerate with a single character	

^{*}arno.trautmann@gmx.de

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ you don't know about X_HLAT_EX, see the appendix.4.2

1 introduction

The problem I have with LATEX² is the antique way of typing. Because most people still use a hopelessly outdated keyboard layout ("qwerty" or slightly adapted versions of that), LATEX doesn't make use of some cool features. I'm not talking about writing chinese or arabic text! Maybe this example will make the idea clear:

In standard LATEX, one has to write

```
This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

\begin{itemize}

\item text for first item

\item \begin{itemize}

\item this is an item inside an item...

\item[$\Rightarrow$] Here an item with a formula: $\int_a^b x^2 dx$

\end{itemize}

\item and the outer itemize goes on...
\end{itemize}
```

Using this package and having a superior keyboard layout³, you can simply write:⁴

This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

```
text for first item
this is an item inside an item
[→] Here an item with a formula: $∫_a^b x² dx$
and the outer itemize goes on...

And your normal text goes on...
```

Well, actually I'm lying now because this is not fully implemented so far. But it's the aim of this package to provide this – besides many, many other funny and cool things. The aim is to offer a more "wysiwyg" way, without loosing anything of logical markup. One still can re\define the • if he doesn't like the way his items look. I have just started to write the package, there will be much more stuff here in the future.

Ok, enough blahblah, now comes the code. We begin with the uninteresting preamble stuff:

1 \ProvidesPackage{alttex}

 $^{^2}$ I'll write IATEX instead of XHATEX—saves me two keystrokes. Most of the code below *only* works with XHATEX. If you need support for [utf8]inputenc or LuaIATEX, please contact the author.

 $^{^3\}mathrm{E.\,g.}$ the ergonomic layout NEO.

 $^{^4}$ The lmodern font I'm using here does not have the symbol for the inner item , so we change to DejaVu Sans Mono here.

```
2
3 \RequirePackage{amsmath}
```

\usepackage

Now, this is the first highlight. It is an extremely simple and stupid approach to load missing packages on-the-fly, just like MikT_EX does. We re\define the \usepackage and hope, it works. Only working with texlive! If you're using MikT_EX, put a

\let\usepacke\oldpackage

into your preamble, *directly* after loading alttex. If this does not work, delete the following lines from your alttex.sty.

```
4 \let\oldpackage\usepackage
5 \def\usepackage#1{
6  \AtBeginDocument{hallo}
7  \IfFileExists{#1.sty}{
8   \oldpackage{#1}
9   }{
10   \immediate\write18{tlmgr install #1}
11  }
12 }
```

So far, this code seems to be a bit buggy, but it should work anyhow.

Now load some nice packages and testing wether you're running $X_{\overline{1}}$ or not.

```
13 \RequirePackage{exscale}
14 \RequirePackage{ifxetex}
15 \RequirePackage{hhline}
16 \ifxetex
17 \typeout{Loading XeTeX, everything's fine.}
18 \else
19
   \typeout{^^J%
   20
   ! This package can only be compiled with XeLaTeX.^^J%
21
   ! pdfLaTeX cannot handle unicode the way it is used here.^^J%
22
23 ! If you want to have support for [utf8]inputenc, please contact the author.^^J%
  ! If you want to use LuaLaTeX, give it a try: ^^J%
  ! comment out the lines 32,33,35-43.^^J%
  ! Please e-mail me the result of your experiences!^^J%
   27
28
   \errmessage{No XeLaTeX, no alttex. See the log for more information.}
29
   \endinput
30
31 \fi
32
```

We need exscale to write really big formulae, and ifxetex to check wether one uses the correct engine.

2 Textmode

2.1 no escape

\noescape

You want to write plain text. Maybe you're annoyed by always escaping characters like $_\#\&\{\}$ \sim and so on. \noescape allows you to never escape anything—except the \noescape , which still might be used for $\text{textit}\{\}$ or so. Or maybe not... because the $\{\}$ are not escaped. Have to think about this one. Maybe the \noescape will be redefined to define $\{\}$ by itself.

```
33 \def\noescape{
    \catcode`\_= 11%
34
    \catcode`\^= 11%
35
36
    \catcode`\#= 11%
    \catcode`\&= 11%
37
    %\catcode`\{= 11%
38
    %\catcode`\}= 11%
39
    \catcode`\$= 11%
40
    \catcode`\~= 11%
41
    \makeatletter%
42
    \catcode`\%= 11
43
44 }
```

The \makeatletter is not necessary. But it fitted into this line, so I will leave it here.

\oldescape

Of course this has to be reset when doing anything like formula, tabular etc. Maybe I will be able to change the behaviour automatically. This idea has been inspired by a discussion on the ConTeXt mailinglist.

```
45 \def\oldescape{
    \catcode`\%= 14%
46
    \color= 8%
47
    \color= 7%
48
    \catcode`\#= 6%
49
    \color= 4\%
50
    %\catcode`\{= 1%
51
    %\catcode`\}= 2%
52
    \color= 3\%
    \catcode`\~= 13%
54
    \makeatother%
55
56 }
```

2.2 tabular

The way one has to type extensive tables is quite complex – and the resulting code is often not really readable. I don't have good ideas how to change this, but I'm thinking about it. Just a reminder to myself... mail me any suggestions for this!

This will be the first attempt to make tabulars easier: Mostly you want an **\hline** after an ****. So let's try something like:

I will try to implement cool stuff from the hhline-package.

```
\$ for \\hhline Type \$ at the end of a line, and you get an \hhline:
```

```
57 \def\\\\ \hhline}
```

This is shurely not a good symbol for this purpose, but I don't have a better idea so far. At least it's a "bar", so one can guess what it should do.

Math stuff 3

3.1braces

\newbraces \oldbraces Now this is something most LATEX-beginners don't recognize and wonder why the formula looks so ugly: The braces () do not fit to the hight of the formula. This can be achieved by putting \left and \right in front of the braces. But actually, this is annoying! In almost any case you want this behaviour, so this should be the standard. So we redefine the way braces are handled. With \newbraces the () always fit. If you prefer the normal LATEX way, use \oldbraces to reset everything. This new behaviour should be extended to other characters like | [{ < and so on. Maybe in some later version.

I would have never been able to implement this without the help of the mailinglist members of tex-d-l@listserv.dfn.de!

The redefinition of \mathstrut is necessary when using amsmath (you will use amsmath when typesetting formulae, won't you?), because the hight of formulae is determinated by the hight of a brace. But using () as \active characters, we need another brace here. So we take [. This will probably also change. But the code is working fine for ().

Maybe one could "temporarily hardcode" the hight of [and then use this..

```
58 \makeatletter
59 \def\resetMathstrut0{\%}
      \setbox\z@\hbox{%
60
        \mathchardef\@tempa\mathcode`\[\relax
61
        \def\@tempb##1"##2##3{\the\textfont"##3\char"}%
62
        \expandafter\@tempb\meaning\@tempa \relax
63
64
    \ht\Mathstrutbox@\ht\z@ \dp\Mathstrutbox@\dp\z@
65
66 }
67 \makeatother
68
69 \edef\oldbraces{
    \mathcode`(\the\mathcode`(
70
    \mathcode`)\the\mathcode`)
71
72 }
73 \begingroup
    \catcode`(\active \xdef({\left\string(}
74
    \catcode`)\active \xdef){\right\string)}
75
76 \endgroup
77
78 \def\newbraces{
    \mathcode`("8000
    \mathcode`)"8000
```

3.2 huge display math

 ${\tt hugedisplaymath}$

Sometimes, especially in presentations, you might need an really big formula. Imagine two hours of struggle with transformations—and finally there is the beautiful formula. Now you can say

\begin{hugedisplaymath} E = mc^2 \end{hugedisplaymath}

There should be several steps of size, maybe.

```
82 \def\hugedisplaymath{
83  \makeatletter
84  \makeatother
85  \Huge
86  \begin{equation*}
87 }
88 \def\endhugedisplaymath{
89  \end{equation*}
90 }
```

3.3 unicode math

Typing math in T_EX is no great fun – you have to write things like \int instead of \int and so on. Have a look at the following formula:

```
\int_\infty^\infty \sum_a
```

 \int The code again is stolen and I don't understand, why it does what it does, but it does it: The first argument is the character you want to use for "unicode math", the second one is the T_EX-command.

```
91 \makeatletter
 92 \left| 4 \right|
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
       \add@special{#1}%
 94
       \expandafter
 95
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
 96
       \begingroup
 97
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
 98
 99
         \lowercase{%
100
         \global\expandafter\let
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
101
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{#2}}%
102
       \endgroup
103
       \global\catcode`#1\active
104
     \else
105
106
     \fi
107 }
108 \makeatother
```

We will make a switch to turn this stuff on or off, so it does not interfere with the unicode-math package. This list will increase by time. If you are missing a symbol, just send me the \altmath{X}{\Xcode}-line. I would be very thankful if anybody could send me a whole list of symbols!

```
109 \def\makealtmath{
110 \altmath{}\alpha
111 \altmath{}\beta
112 \altmath{}\gamma
113 \altmath{}\delta
114
115 \altmath{}\Rightarrow
116 \altmath{}\Leftarrow
117 \altmath{}\Leftarrow
119 \altmath{}\lint
120 \altmath{}\forall
121 }
```

There will be an \makenormalmath-switch as well.

4 Lists and such things

4.1 itemize with a single character

• instead of \item

Here we use an active character (mostly a unicode character bullet •) for the whole construct. And another one for nested itemizations (like a triangular bullet •).

This does—guess it—not work correctly so far. I'm trying to find a tricky way so that the ending character is not necessary any more. So far one has to end an itemize with something like an – (em-dash). There will also be a possibility to change the characters responsible for the whole action.⁵

insideitemize wird nicht zurückgesetzt!!

The following ugly peace of code is writen by me, defining the conditional insertion of the **\begin{itemize}**. This will be assigned to an active character using **\makeitemi** and **\makeitemii**, respectively.

```
122 \def\outside{o}
123 \def\inside{i}
124 \let\insideitemizei\outside
125 \let\insideitemizeii\outside
 The end of itemizei and itemizei:
126 \def\•{\end{itemize}}
127 \def\ {\end{itemize}}
128 \def\newitemi{
     \ifx\insideitemizei\inside
129
130
        \expandafter\item%
131
     \else
       \begin{itemize}
132
```

 $^{^5{\}rm The}$ triangular bullet sign does not appear here – the font is lacking it...

```
\let\insideitemizei\inside
133
        \expandafter\item%
134
     \fi
135
136 }
137
138 \def\newitemii{
139
     \ifx\insideitemizeii\inside
        \expandafter\item%
140
     \else
141
       \begin{itemize}
142
          \global\let\insideitemizeii\inside
143
144
          \expandafter\item%
145
     \fi
146 }
```

Ok, the following code is stolen from the **shortvrb** package, and I don't understand anything of it. But I keep on trying... nevertheless, it's working fine, as far as I can see.

\makeitemi \makeitemii With this macro, you can define the character you want to use for first-level itemize. (Guess the sense of \makeitemii...) Default ist • for first-level and for second-level. Maybe this will be extended till fourth level. More doesn't seem to make any sense.

```
147 %
148 \makeatletter
149 \def\makeitemi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
150
       \add@special{#1}%
151
       \expandafter
152
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
153
       \begingroup
154
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
155
         \lowercase{%
156
         \global\expandafter\let
157
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
158
159
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemi}}%
160
       \endgroup
       \global\catcode`#1\active
161
     \else
162
     \fi
163
164 }
165
166 \def\makeitemii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
167
168
       \add@special{#1}%
       \expandafter
169
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
170
       \begingroup
171
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
172
173
         \lowercase{%
```

```
\global\expandafter\let
174
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
175
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemii}}%
176
       \endgroup
177
       \global\catcode`#1\active
178
179
     \else
180
     \fi
181 }
Now there are the two helperfunctions – no guess what they are really doing.
182 \def\add@special#1{%}
     \rem@special{#1}%
     \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\dospecials\expandafter
185 {\dospecials \do #1}%
     \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\@sanitize\expandafter
187 {\@sanitize \@makeother #1}}
188 \def\rem@special#1{%
     \def\do##1{%
189
190
       \ifnum`#1=`##1 \else \noexpand\do\noexpand##1\fi}%
191
     \xdef\dospecials{\dospecials}%
192
     \begingroup
       \def\@makeother##1{%
193
         \ifnum`#1=`##1 \else \noexpand\@makeother\noexpand##1\fi}%
194
       \xdef\@sanitize{\@sanitize}%
195
196
     \endgroup}
197 \makeatother
```

4.2 enumerate with a single character

¹, ² And we do just the same stuff with $\ensuremath{\setminus}$ But here we take the character ¹ as first level item, the ²⁶ as second level etc. This may be confusing some way, but just try it.

For the implementation: copy-pasted the code above, nothing interesting so far.

```
198 \let\insideenumi\outside
199 \let\insideenumii\outside
200
201 \def\newenumi{
     \ifx\insideenumi\inside
202
       \expandafter\item%
203
204
     \else
205
        \begin{enumerate}
          \global\let\insideenumi\inside
206
          \expandafter\item%
207
208
     \fi
209 }
```

⁶Maybe this is a very stupid idea, because now the ² cannot be used as a square in mathmode. Of course there could be a test <code>ifmmode</code>, but I rather would like to find a better character for <code>enumerate</code>.

```
210
211 \def\newenumii{
     \ifx\insideenumii\inside
212
       \expandafter\item%
213
     \else
214
215
       \begin{enumerate}
216
          \global\let\insideenumii\inside
          \expandafter\item%
217
     \fi
218
219 }
220
```

We use the same methods as above, still not understanding, what they are doing. Just changing two lines of code and hoping, everything will be fine.

```
221 \makeatletter
222 \def\makeenumi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
223
       \add@special{#1}%
224
       \expandafter
225
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
226
227
       \begingroup
228
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
         \lowercase{%
229
         \global\expandafter\let
230
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
231
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumi}}%
232
233
       \endgroup
234
       \global\catcode`#1\active
235
     \else
     \fi
236
237 }
238
239 \def\makeenumii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
240
241
       \add@special{#1}%
242
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
243
       \begingroup
244
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
245
         \lowercase{%
246
247
         \global\expandafter\let
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
248
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumii}}%
249
       \endgroup
250
       \global\catcode`#1\active
251
     \else
252
253
     \fi
254 }
255 \makeatother
256
```

Finally, we set the default characters for the items and enumerations:

 $257 \mbox{\mbox{$\mbox{makeitemi}} ullet}$

 $258 \mbox{\mbox{$\backslash$}makeitemii}$

 $259 \text{ \label{locality} $$} 19$

260 \makeenumii²

And that's it.

Happy altTeXing!

A very short introduction to X¬IFT_EX

Everything you have to know about X¬IL¬T¬EX to use this package: Write your L¬T¬EX file just as you are used to. But save it as utf8-encoded, do not use \usepackage{inputenc} and \usepackage{fontenc}, but do use

\usepackage{xltxra}.

This loads some files that provide all the cool stuff XALATEX offers. You don't have to take care of letters TEX would not understand — XALEX understands every character you type. But sometimes the font may not have the symbol for this — then you can use \fontspec{fontname}, where fontname is the name of a font on your system, e.g. Arno Pro, Linux Libertine etc. Of course, you don't compile with the command latex file.tex, but xelatex file.tex. You get a pdf as output. Nevertheless, XALEX is not pdfTEX, so you cannot use microtypographic extensions...:(

If you have any trouble using $X_{\overline{A}}I_{\overline{A}}T_{\overline{E}}X$, just mail me!

todo

Here a section with some ideas that could be implemented.

 $\bullet~$ Use 2 as square in math mode and possibly 1 as \footnote?

•