The alttex package

Arno L. Trautmann*

Version 0.a.1 December 30, 2008

This is the package alttex which will try to give an experimental new way to write $X_T \text{LATE} X^1$ code. So far it is mostly done with very dirty code and actually it's a collection of things that come into my mind during boring lectures. Maybe someone will have fun with the following code fragments.

Contents

^{*}arno.trautmann@gmx.de

 $^{^1\}mathrm{If}$ you don't know about XHATEX, see the appendix.??

1 introduction

The problem I have with LATEX² is the antique way of typing. Because most people still use a hopelessly outdated keyboard layout ("qwerty" or slightly adapted versions of that), LATEX doesn't make use of some cool features. I'm not talking about writing chinese or arabic text! Maybe this example will make the idea clear:

In standard LATEX, one has to write

```
This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

\begin{itemize}

\item text for first item

\item \begin{itemize}

\item this is an item inside an item...

\item[$\Rightarrow$] Here an item with a formula: $\int_a^b x^2 dx$

\end{itemize}

\item and the outer itemize goes on...
\end{itemize}
```

Using this package and having a superior keyboard layout³, you can simply write:⁴

This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

```
text for first item
this is an item inside an item
[→] Here an item with a formula: $∫_a^b x² dx$
and the outer itemize goes on...

And your normal text goes on...
```

Well, actually I'm lying now because this is not fully implemented so far. But it's the aim of this package to provide this – besides many, many other funny and cool things. The aim is to offer a more "wysiwyg" way, without loosing anything of logical markup. One still can re\define the • if he doesn't like the way his items look. I have just started to write the package, there will be much more stuff here in the future.

Ok, enough blahblah, now comes the code. We begin with the uninteresting preamble stuff:

1 \ProvidesPackage{alttex}

 $^{^2}$ I'll write IATEX instead of XHATEX—saves me two keystrokes. Most of the code below *only* works with XHATEX. If you need support for [utf8]inputenc or LuaIATEX, please contact the author.

 $^{^3\}mathrm{E.\,g.}$ the ergonomic layout NEO.

 $^{^4}$ The lmodern font I'm using here does not have the symbol for the inner item , so we change to DejaVu Sans Mono here.

```
2
3 \RequirePackage{amsmath}
```

\usepackage

Now, this is the first highlight. It is an extremely simple and stupid approach to load missing packages on-the-fly, just like MikTEX does. We re\define the \usepackage and hope, it works. Only working with texlive! If you're using MikTEX, put a

\let\usepacke\oldpackage

into your preamble, *directly* after loading alttex. If this does not work, delete the following lines from your alttex.sty.

```
4 \let\oldpackage\usepackage
5 \def\usepackage#1{
6  \IfFileExists{#1.sty}{
7  \oldpackage{#1}
8  }{
9  \immediate\write18{tlmgr install #1}
10  }
11 }
```

So far, this code seems to be a bit buggy, but it should work anyhow.

Now load some nice packages and testing wether you're running $X \exists T \exists T \exists X$ or not.

```
12 \RequirePackage{exscale}
13 \RequirePackage{ifxetex}
14 \RequirePackage{hhline}
15 \ifxetex
16 \typeout{Loading XeTeX, everything's fine.}
17\else
   \typeout{^^J%
   ! This package can only be compiled with XeLaTeX.^^J%
20
   ! pdfLaTeX cannot handle unicode the way it is used here.^^J%
21
22 ! If you want to have support for [utf8]inputenc, please contact the author. ^ J%
  ! If you want to use LuaLaTeX, give it a try:^^J%
   ! comment out the lines 32,33,35-43.^^J%
   ! Please e-mail me the result of your experiences!^^J%
   26
27
   \errmessage{No XeLaTeX, no alttex. See the log for more information.}
28
   \endinput
29
30\fi
```

We need exscale to write really big formulae, and ifxetex to check wether one uses the correct engine.

2 Textmode

2.1 no escape

\noescape

You want to write plain text. Maybe you're annoyed by always escaping characters like $_\#\&\{\}$ \sim and so on. \noescape allows you to never escape anything—except the \noescape , which still might be used for $\text{textit}\{\}$ or so. Or maybe not... because the $\{\}$ are not escaped. Have to think about this one. Maybe the \noescape will be redefined to define $\{\}$ by itself.

```
32 \ensuremath{\mbox{def}\noescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnotescape}{\footnot
                                             \catcode`\_= 11%
33
                                               \catcode`\^= 11%
34
35
                                               \catcode`\#= 11%
                                               \catcode`\&= 11%
36
                                             %\catcode`\{= 11%
37
                                             %\catcode`\}= 11%
38
                                               \catcode`\$= 11%
39
                                               \catcode`\~= 11%
40
                                               \makeatletter%
41
                                               \catcode`\%= 11
42
43 }
```

The \makeatletter is not necessary. But it fitted into this line, so I will leave it here.

\oldescape

Of course this has to be reset when doing anything like formula, tabular etc. Maybe I will be able to change the behaviour automatically. This idea has been inspired by a discussion on the ConTeXt mailinglist.

```
44 \def\oldescape{
    \catcode`\%= 14%
45
    \color= 8%
46
    \catcode`\^= 7%
47
    \catcode`\#= 6%
48
    \color= 4\%
49
    %\catcode`\{= 1%
50
    %\catcode`\}= 2%
51
    \color= 3\%
    \catcode`\~= 13%
53
    \makeatother%
54
55 }
```

2.2 tabular

The way one has to type extensive tabulars is quite complex – and the resulting code is often not easy to read. I don't have good ideas how to change this, but I'm thinking about it. Mail me any suggestions for this!

This will be the first attempt to make tabulars easier: Mostly you want an **\hline** after an ****. So let's try something like:

I will try to implement cool stuff from the hhline-package.

 \S for $\\\$

Type \S at the end of a line, and you get an \hline . Type \S to get a double line

```
56 \def\\\\ \hhline}
```

This is shurely not a good symbol for this purpose, but I don't have a better idea so far. At least it's a "bar", so one can guess what it should do.

3 Math stuff

3.1 braces

\newbraces
\oldbraces

Now this is something most LATEX-beginners don't recognize and wonder why the formula looks so ugly: The braces () do not fit to the hight of the formula. This can be achieved by putting \left and \right in front of the braces. But actually, this is annoying! In almost any case you want this behaviour, so this should be the standard. So we redefine the way braces are handled. With \newbraces the () always fit. If you prefer the normal LATEX way, use \oldbraces to reset everything. This new behaviour should be extended to other characters like | [{ < and so on. Maybe in some later version.

I would have never been able to implement this without the help of the mailinglist members of tex-d-l@listserv.dfn.de!

The redefinition of \mathstrut is necessary when using amsmath (you will use amsmath when typesetting formulae, won't you?), because the hight of formulae is determinated by the hight of a brace. But using () as \active characters, we need another brace here. So we take [. This will probably also change. But the code is working fine for ().

The newbraces does *not* work at the moment!

Maybe one could "temporarily hardcode" the hight of [and then use this...

```
57 \makeatletter
58 \def\resetMathstrut@{%
      \setbox\z@\hbox{%
59
        \mathchardef\@tempa\mathcode`\[\relax
60
        \def\@tempb##1"##2##3{\the\textfont"##3\char"}%
61
        \expandafter\@tempb\meaning\@tempa \relax
62
63
    \ht\Mathstrutbox@\ht\z@ \dp\Mathstrutbox@\dp\z@
64
65 }
66 \makeatother
67
68
69 \edef\oldbraces{
    \mathcode`(\the\mathcode`(
70
    \mathcode`)\the\mathcode`)
71
72 }
73 \begingroup
    \catcode`(\active \xdef({\left\string(}
    \catcode`)\active \xdef){\right\string)}
76 \endgroup
77 \def\newbraces{
```

```
79 \mathcode`("8000
80 \mathcode`)"8000
81 }
```

3.2 huge display math

hugedisplaymath

Sometimes, especially in presentations, you might need an really big formula. Imagine two hours of struggle with transformations—and finally there is the beautiful formula. Now you can say

\begin{hugedisplaymath} E = mc^2 \end{hugedisplaymath}

There should be several steps of size, maybe.

```
82 \def\hugedisplaymath{
83  \makeatletter
84  \makeatother
85  \Huge
86  \begin{equation*}
87 }
88 \def\endhugedisplaymath{
89  \end{equation*}
90 }
```

3.3 unicode math

Typing math in T_EX is no great fun – you have to write things like \int instead of \int and so on. Have a look at the following formula:

```
\int_\infty^\infty \sum_a
```

 \int The code again is stolen and I don't understand, why it does what it does, but it does it: The first argument is the character you want to use for "unicode math", the second one is the TEX-command.

```
91 \makeatletter
 92 \left| 4\% \right|
 93
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
 94
       \add@special{#1}%
       \expandafter
 95
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
 96
       \begingroup
 97
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
 98
         \lowercase{%
 99
         \global\expandafter\let
100
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
101
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{#2}}%
102
       \endgroup
103
       \global\catcode`#1\active
104
     \else
105
106
     \fi
107 }
```

108 \makeatother

We will make a switch to turn this stuff on or off, so it does not interfere with the unicode-math package. This list will increase by time. If you are missing a symbol, just send me the \altmath{X}{\Xcode}-line. I would be very thankful if anybody could send me a whole list of symbols!

```
109 \def\makealtmath{
110 \altmath{}\alpha
111 \altmath{}\beta
112 \altmath{}\gamma
113 \altmath{}\delta
114
115 \altmath{}\Rightarrow
116 \altmath{}\Leftarrow
117 \altmath{}\Leftrightarrow
118
119 \altmath{}\int
120 \altmath{}\forall
121 }
```

There will be an \makenormalmath-switch as well.

4 Lists and such things

4.1 itemize with a single character

• instead of \item

Here we use an active character (mostly a unicode character bullet \bullet) for the whole construct. And another one for nested itemizations (like a triangular bullet \bullet).

This does—guess it—not work correctly so far. I'm trying to find a tricky way so that the ending character is not necessary any more. So far one has to end an itemize with something like an – (em-dash). There will also be a possibility to change the characters responsible for the whole action.⁵

insideitemize wird nicht zurückgesetzt!!

The following ugly peace of code is writen by me, defining the conditional insertion of the \begin{itemize}. This will be assigned to an active character using \makeitemi and \makeitemii, respectively.

```
122 \def\outside{o}

123 \def\inside{i}

124 \let\insideitemizei\outside

125 \let\insideitemizeii\outside

The end of itemizei and itemizeii:

126 \def\•{\end{itemize}}

127 \def\ {\end{itemize}}

128

129 \def\newitemi{

130 \ifx\insideitemizei\inside

131 \expandafter\item%
```

 $^{^5{\}rm The}$ triangular bullet sign does not appear here – the font is lacking it...

```
\else
132
       \begin{itemize}
133
       \let\insideitemizei\inside
134
       \expandafter\item%
135
     \fi
136
137 }
138
139 \def\newitemii{
     \ifx\insideitemizeii\inside
140
       \expandafter\item%
141
142
     \else
143
       \begin{itemize}
          \let\insideitemizeii\inside
144
          \expandafter\item%
145
146
     \fi
147 }
```

Ok, the following code is stolen from the **shortvrb** package, and I don't understand anything of it. But I keep on trying... nevertheless, it's working fine, as far as I can see.

\makeitemi \makeitemii With this macro, you can define the character you want to use for first-level itemize. (Guess the sense of \makeitemii...) Default ist • for first-level and for second-level. Maybe this will be extended till fourth level. More doesn't seem to make any sense.

```
148 %
149 \makeatletter
150 \def\makeitemi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
151
152
       \add@special{#1}%
       \expandafter
153
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
154
       \begingroup
155
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
156
         \lowercase{%
157
158
         \global\expandafter\let
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
159
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemi}}%
160
       \endgroup
161
       \global\catcode`#1\active
162
     \else
163
     \fi
164
165 }
166
167 \def\makeitemii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
168
       \add@special{#1}%
169
       \expandafter
170
171
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
172
       \begingroup
```

```
\catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
173
         \lowercase{%
174
         \global\expandafter\let
175
            \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
176
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newitemii}}%
177
178
       \endgroup
179
       \global\catcode`#1\active
180
     \else
     \fi
181
182 }
Now there are the two helperfunctions – no guess what they are really doing.
183 \def\add@special#1{%
     \rem@special{#1}%
     \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\dospecials\expandafter
185
186 {\dospecials \do #1}%
     \expandafter\gdef\expandafter\@sanitize\expandafter
187
188 {\@sanitize \@makeother #1}}
189 \def\rem@special#1{%
190
     \def\do##1{%
       \ifnum`#1=`##1 \else \noexpand\do\noexpand##1\fi}%
191
     \xdef\dospecials{\dospecials}%
192
     \begingroup
193
       \def\@makeother##1{%
194
         \ifnum`#1=`##1 \else \noexpand\@makeother\noexpand##1\fi}%
195
       \xdef\@sanitize{\@sanitize}%
196
197
     \endgroup}
198 \makeatother
```

4.2 enumerate with a single character

¹, ² And we do just the same stuff with \enumerate. But here we take the character ¹ as first level item, the ²⁶ as second level etc. This may be confusing some way, but just try it.

For the implementation: copy-pasted the code above, nothing interesting so far.

```
199 \def\'\{\end\{\enumerate\}\}
200 \def\'^2\{\end\{\enumerate\}\}
201
202
203 \let\insideenumi\outside
204 \let\insideenumi\outside
205
206 \def\newenumi\{
207 \ifx\insideenumi\inside
208 \expandafter\item\'
```

 $^{^6}$ Maybe this is a very stupid idea, because now the 2 cannot be used as a square in mathmode. Of course there could be a test ifmmode, but I rather would like to find a better character for enumerate.

```
\else
209
        \begin{enumerate}
210
          \let\insideenumi\inside
211
          \expandafter\item%
212
     \fi
213
214 }
215
216 \def\newenumii{
     \ifx\insideenumii\inside
217
        \expandafter\item%
218
     \else
219
        \begin{enumerate}
220
221
          \let\insideenumii\inside
222
          \expandafter\item%
223
     \fi
224 }
225
```

We use the same methods as above, still not understanding, what they are doing. Just changing two lines of code and hoping, everything will be fine.

```
226 \makeatletter
227 \def\makeenumi#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
228
229
       \add@special{#1}%
230
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
231
232
       \begingroup
233
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
234
         \lowercase{%
         \global\expandafter\let
235
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
236
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumi}}%
237
238
       \endgroup
239
       \global\catcode`#1\active
^{240}
     \else
     \fi
241
242 }
243
244 \def\makeenumii#1{%
     \expandafter\ifx\csname cc\string#1\endcsname\relax
245
       \add@special{#1}%
246
247
       \expandafter
       \xdef\csname cc\string#1\endcsname{\the\catcode`#1}%
248
       \begingroup
249
         \catcode`\~\active \lccode`\~`#1%
250
         \lowercase{%
251
252
         \global\expandafter\let
253
             \csname ac\string#1\endcsname~%
         \expandafter\gdef\expandafter~\expandafter{\newenumii}}%
254
       \endgroup
255
```

```
256 \global\catcode`#1\active
257 \else
258 \fi
259 }
260 \makeatother
261

Finally, we set the default characters for the items and enumerations:
262 \makeitemi•
263 \makeitemii
264 \makeenumii
265 \makeenumii<sup>2</sup>

And that's it.
```

Happy altTeXing!

A very short introduction to X¬IFT_EX

Everything you have to know about X¬IL¬T¬EX to use this package: Write your L¬T¬EX file just as you are used to. But save it as utf8-encoded, do not use \usepackage{inputenc} and \usepackage{fontenc}, but do use

\usepackage{xltxra}.

This loads some files that provide all the cool stuff XALATEX offers. You don't have to take care of letters TEX would not understand — XALEX understands every character you type. But sometimes the font may not have the symbol for this — then you can use \fontspec{fontname}, where fontname is the name of a font on your system, e.g. Arno Pro, Linux Libertine etc. Of course, you don't compile with the command latex file.tex, but xelatex file.tex. You get a pdf as output. Nevertheless, XALEX is not pdfTEX, so you cannot use microtypographic extensions...:(

If you have any trouble using $X_{\overline{A}}I_{\overline{A}}T_{\overline{E}}X$, just mail me!

todo

Here a section with some ideas that could be implemented.

 $\bullet~$ Use 2 as square in math mode and possibly 1 as \footnote?

•