The **alttex** package

Arno L. Trautmann[*]

Version 0.a, 2008 December 17

This is the package `alttex` which will try to give an experimental new way to write XƎLATEX code. So far it is mostly done with very dirty and actually it's a collection of things I think about in boring lectures. Maybe someone will have fun with the following code.

Contents

---

[*]arno.trautmann@gmx.de

1 introduction

The problem I have with LaTeX[1] is the antique way of typing. Because most people still use a hopelessly outdated keyboard layout („qwerty" or slightly adapted versions of that), LaTeX doesn't make use of some cool features. I'm not talking about writing chinese or arabic text! Maybe this example will make the idea clear:

In standard LaTeX, one has to write

```
This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:
\begin{itemize}
  \item text for first item
  \item \begin{itemize}
        \item this is an item inside an item…
        \item[$\Rightarrow$] Here an item with a formula: $\int_a^b x^2 dx$
        \end{itemize}
  \item and the outer itemize goes on…
\end{itemize}
```

Using this package and having a superior keyboard layout[2], you can simply write:[3]

```
This is the normal text, then comes the itemization:

• text for first item
•

   ‣ this is an item inside an item
   ‣[ ⇒] Here an item with a formula: $∫_a^b x² dx$

• and the outer itemize goes on…

And your normal text goes on…
```

Well, actually I'm lying now because this is not fully implemented so far. But it's the aim of this package to provide this – besides many, many other funny and cool things. The aim is to offer a more „wysiwyg" way, without loosing anything of logical markup. One still can re\define the • if he doesn't like the way his items look. I have just started to write the package, there will be much more stuff here in the future.

Ok, enough blahblah, now comes the code. We begin with the mostly uninteresting preamble stuff:

```
1 \ProvidesPackage{alttex}
```

---

[1]I'll write LaTeX instead of XƎLaTeX—saves me two keystrokes. Most of the code below *only* works with XƎLaTeX. If you need support for [utf8]inputenc or LuaLaTeX, please contact the author.

[2]E. g. the ergonomic layut NEO.

[3]The lmodern font I'm using here does not have the symbol for the inner item , so we change to DejaVu Sans Mono here.

```
2
3 \RequirePackage{exscale}
4 \RequirePackage{ifxetex}
5
```

We need `exscale` to write really big formulae, and `ifxetex` to check wether one uses the correct engine.

2 Textmode

2.1 no escape

`\noescape` You want to write plain text. Maybe you're annoyed by always escaping characters like _ # & { } $ ~ and so on. `\noescape` allows you to never escape anything—except the \, which still might be used for `\textit{}` or so. Or maybe not… because the { } are not escaped. Have to think about this one. Maybe the \ will be redefined to define { } by itself.

```
6 \def\noescape{
7   \catcode`\_= 11%
8   \catcode`\^= 11%
9   \catcode`\#= 11%
10   \catcode`\&= 11%
11   %\catcode`\{= 11%
12   %\catcode`\}= 11%
13   \catcode`\$= 11%
14   \catcode`\~= 11%
15   \makeatletter% I just noticed this is not necessary… but I'll leave it for some strange \thin@ga
16   \catcode`\%= 11
17 }
```

`\oldescape` Of course this has to be reset when doing anything like formula, tabular etc.

```
18 \def\oldescape{
19   \catcode`\%= 14%
20   \catcode`\_= 8%
21   \catcode`\^= 7%
22   \catcode`\#= 6%
23   \catcode`\&= 4%
24   %\catcode`\{= 1%
25   %\catcode`\}= 2%
26   \catcode`\$= 3%
27   \catcode`\~= 13%
28   \makeatother%
29 }
```

3 Math stuff

3.1 braces

\newbraces
\oldbraces

Now this is something most LATEX-beginners don't recognize and wonder why the formula looks so ugly: The braces () do not fit to the hight of the formula. This can be achieved by putting \left and \right in front of the braces. But actually, this is annoying! In almost any case you want this behaviour, so this should be the standard. So we redefine the way braces are handled. With \newbraces the ( ) always fit. If you prefer the normal LATEX way, use \oldbraces to reset everything. This new behaviour should be extended to other characters like | [{ < and so on. Maybe in version 0.0.1…

I would have never been able to implement this without the help of the mailinglist members of TEX-D-L@LISTSERV.DFN.DE!

The redefinition of \mathstrut is necessary when using amsmath (you will use amsmath when typesetting formulae, won't you?), because the hight of formulae is determinated by the hight of a brace. But using ( ) as \active characters, we need another brace here. So we take [. This will probably also change. But the code is working fine for ( ).

```
30 \makeatletter
31 \def\resetMathstrut@{%
32   \setbox\z@\hbox{%
33     \mathchardef\@tempa\mathcode`\[\relax
34     \def\@tempb##1"##2##3{\the\textfont"##3\char"}%
35     \expandafter\@tempb\meaning\@tempa \relax
36 }%
37   \ht\Mathstrutbox@\ht\z@ \dp\Mathstrutbox@\dp\z@
38 }
39 \makeatother
40
41 \edef\oldbraces{
42   \mathcode`(\the\mathcode`(
43   \mathcode`)\the\mathcode`)
44 }
45 \begingroup
46   \catcode`(\active \xdef({\left\string(}
47   \catcode`)\active \xdef){\right\string)}
48 \endgroup
49 \def\newbraces{
50
51   \mathcode`("8000
52   \mathcode`)"8000
53 }
```

hugedisplaymath

Sometimes, especially in presentations, you might need an really big formula. Imagine two hours of struggle with transformations—and finally there is the beautiful formula. Now you can say
\begin{hugedisplaymath} E = mc^2 \end{hugedisplaymath} There should

be several steps of size, maybe.

```
54 \def\hugedisplaymath{
55   \makeatletter
56     \makeatother
57   \Huge
58     \begin{equation*}
59 }
60 \def\endhugedisplaymath{
61   \end{equation*}
62 }
```

4 itemize and similar things

4.1 itemize with a single character

Here we use an active character (mostly a unicode character bullet •) for the whole construct. And another one for nested itemizations (like a triangular bullet)

This does not—guess it— work correctly so far. I'm trying to find a tricky way so that the ending character is not necessary any more. So far one has to end an itemize with something like an -. There will also be a possibility to change the characters responsible for the whole action.

• instead of \item

```
63 \newcounter{itemi}
64 \setcounter{itemi}{0}
65
66 \catcode`\•=\active
67 \catcode`\ =\active
68
69 \def•{
70   \ifvmode \ifnum \theitemi = 0 %außerhalb einer itemize
71     \begin{itemize}\setcounter{itemi}{1}
72       \item
73     \else
74       \item %zum Fortsetzen einer Liste
75     \fi
76   \else
77     \item % normales item innerhalb einer Liste
78   \fi
79 }
80
81 \def {
82   \ifvmode
83     \begin{itemize}
84       \item
85   \else
86     \item
```

```latex
87     \fi
88 }
89
90 \def\-{\end{itemize}}
91
92
93 \newcounter{insideitemize}
94 \setcounter{insideitemize}{0}
95 \newcounter{insideitem}
96 \setcounter{insideitem}{0}
97
98 \catcode`\•=\active
99
100 \iffalse
101 \def•{
102 \ifnum \theinsideitemize = 0 % Außerhalb einer itemize-Umgebung  initialisieren
103     \begin{itemize}
104       \iffalse
105       \catcode`\^^M=\active
106       \def^^M{\myeol} \catcode`\^^M=5%
107       \fi
108       \setcounter{insideitemize}{1} % Nun innerhalb einer itemize
109       \setcounter{insideitem}{1}% und innerhalb eines Items
110       \expandafter\item
111   \else
112     \makeatletter
113       \ifthenelse{\boolean{@inlabel}}{%
114     \makeatother
115       tach
116 }{%
117     \makeatother
118      \setcounter{insideitem}{1}% innerhalb eines items
119      \expandafter\item
120 }
121   \fi
122 }
123 \fi
124
125 \def\myeol{%
126   \ifnum \theinsideitem = 0%
127     \end{itemize}%
128     \catcode`\^^M=5%
129     \setcounter{insideitem}{0}%
130   \else%
131     \setcounter{insideitem}{0}%
132 \fi%
133 }
```