Problem 1A.

```
(value-of
      <<let a = 10 in
            let f = proc (func) (func a) in
                  let a = 5 in
                        let g = proc(x) - (x, a) in (f g) >>
      p)
Let \rho 1 = [a=[10]]\rho
= (value-of
      <<let f = proc (func) (func a) in
            let a = 5 in
                  let g = proc(x) - (x, a) in (f g) >>
      ρ1)
Let \rho 2 = [f=(proc-val (procedure func <<(func a)>> <math>\rho 1)]\rho 1
= (value-of <<let a = 5 in let g = proc (x) -(x, a) in (f g)>> \rho2)
Let \rho3 = [a=[5]]\rho2
= (value-of << let g = proc (x) -(x, a) in (f g)>> \rho3)
Let \rho 4 = [g=(proc-val (procedure x <<-(x, a)>> \rho 3))]\rho 3
= (value-of <<(f g)>> \rho4)
= (apply-procedure
      (procedure func <<(func a)>> ρ1)
      (procedure x <<-(x, a)>> \rho3))
= (value-of
      <<(func a)>>
      [func=(proc-val (procedure x <<-(x, a)>> \rho3))]\rho1)
= (apply-procedure (procedure x <<-(x, a)>> \rho3) [5])
= (value-of <<-(x, a)>> [x=[5]]\rho3)
= (-55)
= 0
```

Problem 2A

Check for comments in "tests.rkt" for explanations.

Problem 2B

Procedure arguments are now a list (including the empty list).

```
Modified proc-exp and call-exp grammar in "lang.rkt"
Modified proc-exp and call-exp evaluation in "interp.rkt"
Modified apply-procedure in "interp.rkt"
Modified procedure datatype in "data-structures.rkt"
```