

## Homework 02: Due 9/20

Stat061-F23

Prof Amanda Luby

---

1. Define a new estimator  $\hat{\theta}_4$  for the Uniform(0,  $\theta$ ) distribution as follows. Is  $\hat{\theta}_4$  unbiased? You can give an intuitive justification or a mathematical one, and are welcome to use any results from class.

$$\hat{\theta}_4 = \begin{cases} 2\bar{X} & \text{if } \max\{X_i\} < 2\bar{X} \\ \max\{X_i\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from the pdf  $f_y(y) = \frac{1}{\theta}e^{-y/\theta}, y > 0$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\hat{\theta}_1 = Y_1$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{Y}$ , and  $\hat{\theta}_3 = nY_{\min}$  are all unbiased estimators for  $\theta$ . You may use the general formula for the pdf of a minimum:  $f_{Y_{\min}}(y) = n(1 - F_y(y))^{n-1}f_y(y)$ , where  $f_y$  is the pdf of  $Y$  and  $F_y$  is the cdf of  $Y$ .
  - (b) Find an expression for the probability that  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is within 0.1 of  $\theta$ . (Hint: use the pdf of  $\hat{\theta}_1$ )
  - (c) What is the probability from (b) if  $\theta = .5$ ? what if  $\theta = 2$ ?
  - (d) Find the variances of  $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \hat{\theta}_3$ . Comment on which estimator is most efficient.
3. Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid from a Gamma( $\alpha, \lambda$ ) distribution. That is,  $f_x(x) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}x^{\alpha-1}e^{-\lambda x}$ . You can also use  $E(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$  and  $V(X) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}$ .
  - (a) Write out the likelihood function, and show that it depends on the data values only through  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{X}_g = (\prod X_i)^{1/n}$  ( $\bar{X}_g$  is the geometric mean).
  - (b) When  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are both unknown, the MLE does not have a closed form solution. Instead, find the MoM estimates  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}$ .
  - (c) Are the MoM estimates unbiased?
  - (d) Gamma random variables are the waiting times for Poisson occurrences. In sports, goals are often assumed to follow a Poisson process, which means that the waiting time for the 1st goal can be assumed to be a Gamma random variable. For the Swarthmore women's soccer team so far this year, the first goal in  $n = 4$  games has occurred at  $X_i = 11.01667, 3.05, 76.65, 24.1333$  minutes. Report  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}$  for these data. (Note that  $\alpha = 1$  implies an exponential distribution, which would be the case if goals occur as a Poisson process)
4. The following R code simulates  $n = 25$  draws from a Uniform(0,10) distribution 10,000 times. Here we know that  $\theta = 10$ , but we want to investigate how our estimators behave. For each sample, we estimate (1) the MoM estimate  $\hat{\theta}_1 = 2\bar{X}$ , (2) the MLE  $\hat{\theta}_2 = X_{\max}$ , and (3) our  $\hat{\theta}_4$  estimate from Q1.

```
library(tidyverse)
set.seed(091523) # set a random seed for reproducibility
sim_results = tibble( # sim_results is a data frame that
  MOM = rep(NA, 10000), # will store our 10,000 estimates x3
  MLE = rep(NA, 10000),
  Est3 = rep(NA, 10000)
)
```

```

for(ii in 1:10000){
  x = runif(25, 0, 10) # draw 25 uniform(0,10) RV's
  sim_results$MOM[ii] = 2 * mean(x) # compute MoM and store
  sim_results$MLE[ii] = max(x) # compute MLE and store
  sim_results$Est3[ii] = max(2*mean(x), max(x)) # compute Est3 and store
}

```

- (a) Construct a histogram for each estimate (e.g. one for the distribution of MoM, one for MLE, one for Est3)
  - (b) Compute the mean and variance of the MoM, MLE, and Est3 estimates. Comment on the bias and efficiency of each. Do the results surprise you given your answer to Question 1 and our previous results from class?
5. Let  $f_y$  be a continuous pdf with median  $M$ . If  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim f_y$ , the sample median  $\hat{M} = \text{Median}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  has an approximate  $N(M, \frac{1}{4n(f_y(M))^2})$  distribution.
- (a) Suppose  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Show that  $\hat{M}$  has an approximate  $N(\mu, \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2n})$ .
  - (b) Find the relative efficiency of  $\bar{Y}$  to  $\hat{M}$ .
  - (c) Using your result from (b), show that  $\bar{Y}$  achieves the same standard error as  $\hat{M}$  with only 63.7% as much data
  - (d) Find the relative efficiency of  $\bar{Y}$  to  $\hat{M}$  if  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$ . What do you conclude?