

# 13: GOODNESS-OF-FIT TESTS

Larsen & Marx 10

Prof Amanda Luby

Up until now, we've learned how to *estimate* parameters and how to draw *inferences* about possible parameter values given a set of data. In all of these scenarios, we've assumed that the form of  $p_x$  or  $f_x$  is known. In many scenarios, we're instead interested in making inferences about the form of  $p_x$  or  $f_x$  instead of the value of the parameters.

In general, statistical procedures that seek to determine whether a set of data could reasonably have originated from some probability distribution (or family of probability distributions) is called a **goodness-of-fit** test.

Idea: sample  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Put  $x_i$ 's into  $k$  groups ( $k$  arbitrary)

Assume a particular  $f_x(x; \theta)$  and find the expected # of observations in each group under  $f_x$

compare observed counts to expected counts

→ 'close' → likely that  $x \sim f_x$   
 → 'far away' → unlikely that  $x \sim f_x$

## 1 The multinomial distribution

**Multinomial Distribution** → Extension of binomial for  $> 2$  outcomes

Let  $X_i$  denote the number of times that the outcome  $r_i$  occurs, for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  in a series of  $n$  independent trials where  $p_i = P(r_i)$ . Then the vector  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t)$  has a **multinomial** distribution and

$$p_{X_1, \dots, X_t}(k_1, \dots, k_t) = \frac{n!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_t!} p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_t^{k_t}$$

$t=2$

$$\frac{n!}{k_1! (n-k_1)!} p_1^{k_1} (1-p_1)^{n-k_1}$$

**Example:** Five observations are drawn at random from a continuous Uniform(0,5) distribution. What is the probability that one observation lies in the interval  $[0, 1)$ , none in the interval  $[1, 2)$ , three in the interval  $[2, 3)$ , one in the interval  $[3, 4)$ , and none in the interval  $[4, 5)$ ?

## 2 Goodness of Fit Test: All parameters known

The simplest goodness of fit test arises when we're able to *completely* specify the model that we believe our data came from. For example, whether our observed  $y_i$ 's came from a  $Exp(6.3)$  distribution, or a  $N(2.2, 5.4)$  distribution.

### Pearson's $\chi^2$ test statistic

Let  $r_1, \dots, r_t$  be the set of outcomes associated with  $n$  independent trials. Let  $X_i$  be the number of times  $r_i$  occurs. Then,

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{(X_i - np_i)^2}{np_i}$$

*Proof (t=2):*

**Example:** From the uniform example earlier, test  $H_0 : p_1 = 1/5, p_2 = 1/5, p_3 = 1/5, p_4 = 1/5, p_5 = 1/5$  against  $H_1 : \text{at least one different}$ .

### 3 Goodness of fit tests: parameters unknown

The above test statistic assumes that we know  $p_i$  for each class  $i$ . Since  $p_i$  does not have a hat on it, it's the true population parameter for a data point falling into class  $i$ . It's rare that we would know  $\theta$  for a pdf  $f_y(\theta)$ , but not be sure about the form of  $f$ . A more common scenario is to *estimate* all unknown parameters first, and then use a modified version of Pearson's  $D$  Statistic:

#### Approximate $\chi^2$ test statistic

Suppose that a random sample of  $n$  observations is taken from  $f_x(x; \theta)$  or  $f_x(x; \theta)$ , a probability distribution having  $s$  unknown parameters. Let  $r_1, \dots, r_t$  be the set of outcomes associated with  $n$  independent trials. Let  $X_i$  be the number of times  $r_i$  occurs, and let  $\hat{p}_i$  be the *estimated* probability of  $r_i$ , replacing  $\theta$  in  $p_x(x; \theta)$  or  $f_x(x; \theta)$  with  $\hat{\theta}$ . Then,

$$D_1 = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{(X_i - n\hat{p}_i)^2}{n\hat{p}_i}$$

**Example:** The Poisson probability distribution often models rare events that occur over a period of time. Listed below are the daily numbers of death notices for women over the age of 80 that appeared in the London Times over a 3 year period. Are these fatalities occurring in a pattern consistent with the Poisson pdf?

```
tibble(
  n_deaths = 0:10,
  observed = c(162, 267, 271, 185, 111, 61, 27, 8, 3, 1, 0),
  expected = dpois(n_deaths,
                    lambda = sum(n_deaths*observed)/(365*3))*1096
) %>%
  knitr::kable(digits = 2)
```

n_deaths	observed	expected
0	162	126.53
1	267	273.18
2	271	294.88
3	185	212.21
4	111	114.53
5	61	49.45
6	27	17.79
7	8	5.49
8	3	1.48
9	1	0.36
10	0	0.08