

gw02-sols

```
library(bayesrules) # R package for our textbook
library(tidyverse) # Collection of packages for tidying and plotting data
library(janitor) # Helper functions like tidy and tabyl
```

1 BR Exercise 2.13

See handwritten

2 BR Exercise 2.18

The smallest Y where $P(\pi = .6|Y) > .4$ is 6

```
tibble(
  y = 0:10, # possible values of Y
  p_y = dbinom(y, size = 10, prob = .6)) |> # f(Y|pi = .6)
  rowwise() |>
  mutate(
    norm_constant = (.25*dbinom(y, 10, .2) + .5*dbinom(y, 10, .4) + .25*dbinom(y, 10, .6)), # N
    posterior = (.25*p_y)/norm_constant # posterior: f(pi = .6 | Y)
  )
```

A tibble: 11 x 4

Rowwise:

	y	p_y	norm_constant	posterior
	<int>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>
1	0	0.000105	0.0299	0.000877
2	1	0.00157	0.0877	0.00449
3	2	0.0106	0.139	0.0191
4	3	0.0425	0.168	0.0630

5	4	0.111	0.175	0.159
6	5	0.201	0.157	0.319
7	6	0.251	0.120	0.523
8	7	0.215	0.0752	0.715
9	8	0.121	0.0356	0.850
10	9	0.0403	0.0109	0.928
11	10	0.00605	0.00156	0.966

3 BR Exercise 2.17

```
# Define possible trees
trees <- data.frame(type = c("mold", "not mold"))

# Define the prior model
prior <- c(0.18, .82)

# Simulate 10000 trees
set.seed(84735)
trees_sim <- sample_n(trees, size = 10000,
                      weight = prior, replace = TRUE)

trees_sim <- trees_sim %>%
  mutate(data_model = case_when(type == "mold" ~ 0.8,
                                type == "not mold" ~ 0.1))

# Define tree species
data <- c("maple", "not maple")

# Simulate species

trees_sim <- trees_sim %>%
  group_by(1:n()) %>%
  mutate(species = sample(data, size = 1,
                          prob = c(data_model, 1-data_model)))

trees_sim %>%
  filter(species == "maple") %>%
  tabyl(type) %>%
  adorn_totals("row")
```

type	n	percent
mold	1482	0.6327925

```
not mold 860 0.3672075
Total 2342 1.0000000
```

4 MLE

If $Y \sim \text{Binom}(n, \pi)$, show that $\hat{\pi}_{MLE} = \frac{Y}{n}$
(see handwritten)

5 BR Exercise 3.1

For each part, use `plot_beta` and/or `summarize_beta` to justify your answer

6 BR Exercise 3.12

7 Choice of prior

I am interviewing Carleton students about whether or not they have used (knowingly) used ChatGPT on coursework in a non-approved way. I think the proportion has a 90% chance of being less than .25.

(a) Choose an informative prior that you think is reasonable for this belief

I then ask 20 students this question and 15 respond “yes”. Find the posterior using the prior from above, then using the 3 non/weakly informative priors below:

- (a) `Unif(0,1)` prior
- (b) `Beta(2,2)` prior
- (c) “Reference” prior `Beta(.5, .5)`

Compare the posteriors for each of the priors above. Do results change if we instead observe 150/200 students responding “yes”?

8 BR 4.13

(see handwritten)