Ecological conditions favoring species recognition and rapid mate pairing are associated with greater plumage sexual dichromatism in true thrushes (genus: *Turdus*)

Alec B. Luro^{1*}, Mark E. Hauber¹

¹ Department of Evolution, Ecology and Behavior, School of Integrative Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign *alec.b.luro@mail.com

HEADER

[1]

Abstract

Keywords

Background

Methods

$Plumage\ sexual\ dichromatism$

A total of N=77 Turdus thrush species were sampled for plumage spectral reflectance using from bird skins at the American Museum of Natural History in New York City and the Field Museum in Chicago. Reflectance measurements from 300-700nm were taken in triplicate for the belly, breast, throat, crown and mantle plumage patches [1] of each individual bird skin. N=3 male and N=3 female individuals were measured for most species (exceptions: Turdus lawrencii, N=2 males and N=2 females; Turdus swalesi, N=1 male and N=1 female). Reflectance spectra were measured using a 400 m fiber optic reflection probe fitted with a rubber stopper to maintain a consistent measuring distance of 3 mm and area of 2 mm2 at a 90° angle to the surface of the feather patch. Measurements were taken using a JAZ spectrometer with a pulsed-xenon light source (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, USA) and all measurements were made relative to a diffuse reflectance white standard (Spectralon WS-1-SL, Labsphere, North Sutton NH, USA).

We used a receptor-noise limited visual model [2] of the European Blackbird (Turdus merula) visual system [3] in the pavo [4] package in R v4.0.0 [5] to calculate avian-perceived chromatic and achromatic visual contrast (in units of "Just-Noticeable Differences", or JNDs) of male vs. female plumage patches for all sampled Turdus species. Chromatic and achromatic JNDs were calculated for male-female pairs within each species (i.e., N=9 JND values calculated per patch for each species where N=3 males and N=3 females sampled), and then JND values were averaged for each species' respective plumage patches. Under ideal laboratory conditions, a JND value of 1 is generally considered to be the discriminable threshold past which an observer is predicted to be able to perceive the two colors as different. However, natural light environments vary both spatially and temporally [6], bringing into question the accuracy of a JND=1 threshold for generalizing visual contrast under natural conditions. Therefore, we calculated the total number of sexually-dichromatic plumage patches per species (out of N=5 measured patches) as the number of plumage patches with average JND values > 1, 2, or 3 to account for uncertainty in visual discrimination thresholds due to variation in psychophysical and ambient lighting conditions affecting the strength of between-sex plumage visual contrast [7].

Life History Data

Breeding Timing Model

We collected data on migration behavior and breeding season length from *Thrushes* [8] and the *Handbook of the Birds of the World* [9]. We assigned three different kinds of migratory behavior: 1) full migration when a species description clearly stated that a species "migrates", 2) partial migration when a species was described to have "altitudinal migration", "latitudinal migration" or "movement during non-breeding season", or 3) sedentary when when a species was described as "resident" or "sedentary". Breeding season length was defined as the number of months the species breeds.

Breeding Sympatry Model

Species' breeding ranges were acquired from $BirdLife\ International\ [10]$. We calculated congener breeding range overlaps (as percentages) using the letsR package in R [11]. We then calculated the number of sympatric species as the number of congeners with breeding ranges that overlap >30% with the focal species' breeding range [12].

Breeding Spacing Model

Species' breeding range sizes (in km2) were acquired using the *BirdLife International* breeding range maps. Species' island vs. mainland residence was also determined using breeding ranges from *BirdLife International*. Mainland residence was assigned if the species had a breeding range on any continent and Japan. Island residence was assigned to species having a breeding range limited to a non-continental landmass entirely surrounded by an oceanic body of water.

Statistical Modeling

We used phylogenetically-corrected Bayesian multilevel logistic regression models using the *brms* v2.13.0 package [13] in R v4.0.0 [5] where responses, the number of sexually-dichromatic patches >1, 2, and 3 chromatic and achromatic JNDs, were modeled as binomial trials (N=5 plumage patch "trials") to test for associations with breeding timing, breeding sympatry and breeding spacing. For all phylogenetically-corrected models, we used the *Turdus* phylogeny from Nylander et al. (2008) [14] to create a covariance matrix of species' phylogenetic relationships. All models used a dataset of N=67 *Turdus* species for which all data were available.

Our breeding timing models included the following predictors: z-scores of breeding season length (mean centered and divided by one standard deviation), migratory behavior (full migration as the reference category versus partial migration or sedentary), and their interaction. Breeding sympatry models included the number of sympatric species with greater than 30% breeding range overlap as the only predictor of the number of sexually-dichromatic plumage patches. Breeding spacing models included loge transformed breeding range size (km2) and breeding landmass (mainland as the reference category versus island). We also ran null models (intercept only) for all responses. All models' intercepts and response standard deviations were assigned a weak prior (Student T: df = 3, location = 0, scale = 10), and predictor coefficients were assigned flat priors. We ran each model for 6,000 iterations across 6 chains and assessed Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) convergence using the Gelman-Rubin diagnostic (Rhat) [15]. We then performed k-fold cross-validation [16] to refit each model K=16 times. For each k-fold, the training dataset included a randomly selected set of (N - N cdot left (frac{1}{K} right)) or N 63 species, and the testing dataset included (N cdot frac{1}{K}) or N 4 species not included in the training dataset. Finally, we compared differences between the models' expected log pointwise predictive densities (ELPD) to assess which model(s) best predicted the number of sexually-dichromatic plumage patches [16].

Results

We obtained N 4000 effective samples for each model parameter and all models' Markov Chains (MCMC) successfully converged (Rhat = 1 for all models' parameters).

Table 1: Expected log pointwise predictive densities (ELPD) differences and kfold information criterion values of models.

Table 2: Model predictor effect estimates (posterior median log-odds) on the number of achromatic and chromatic plumage patches with visual contrast values > 1, 2, and 3 JND. Model effects with a probability of direction (pd) value

0.90 are bolded in red for a negative effect and blue for a positive effect on plumage dichromatism.

Discussion

Conclusions

Acknowledgments

References

References

- 1. Vorobyev M, Osorio D. 1998 Receptor noise as a determinant of colour thresholds. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society* **265**, 351–8. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0302)
 - 1. Andersson S, Prager M. 2006 Quantifying Colors. In *Bird coloration*, *Volume 1: Mechanisms and Measurements* (eds GE Hill, KJ McGraw), pp. 76–77. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
 - 2. Vorobyev M, Osorio D. 1998 Receptor noise as a determinant of colour thresholds. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **265**, 351–8. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0302)
 - 3. Hart NS, Partridge JC, Cuthill IC, Bennett AT. 2000 Visual pigments, oil droplets, ocular media and cone photoreceptor distribution in two species of passerine bird: the blue tit (Parus caeruleus L.) and the blackbird (Turdus merula L.). *J. Comp. Physiol. A.* **186**, 375–387. (doi:10.1007/s003590050437)
 - 4. Maia R, Gruson H, Endler JA, White TE. 2019 pavo 2: New tools for the spectral and spatial analysis of colour in r. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 10, 1097–1107. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13174)
 - $5.\,$ R Core Team. 2020 R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
 - 6. Endler JA, Monographs E, Feb N. 1993 The Color of Light in Forests and Its Implications. *Ecol. Monogr.* **63**, 1–27. (doi:10.2307/2937121)
 - Kemp DJ, Herberstein ME, Fleishman LJ, Endler JA, Bennett ATD, Dyer AG, Hart NS, Marshall J, Whiting MJ. 2015 An Integrative Framework for the Appraisal of Coloration in Nature. Am. Nat. 185, 705–724. (doi:10.1086/681021)
 - 8. Clement P, Hathway R. 2000 *Thrushes*. London: A&C Black Publishers Ltd.
 - 9. del Hoyo J, Elliott A, Sargatal J, Christie DA, de Juana E. 2017 Handbook of the birds of the world alive. *Handb. Birds World Alive*.
 - 10. BirdLife International and Handbook of the Birds of the World. 2018 Bird species distribution maps of the world. Version 2018.1. See http://datazone.birdlife.org/species/requestdis.

- 11. Vilela B, Villalobos F. 2015 letsR: a new R package for data handling and analysis in macroecology. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **6**, 1229–1234. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12401)
- 12. Cooney CR, Tobias JA, Weir JT, Botero CA, Seddon N. 2017 Sexual selection, speciation and constraints on geographical range overlap in birds. *Ecol. Lett.* **20**, 863–871. (doi:10.1111/ele.12780)
- 13. Bürkner PC. 2017 brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. J. Stat. Softw. 80, 1–28. (doi:10.18637/jss.v080.i01)
- Nylander JAA, Olsson U, Alström P, Sanmartín I. 2008 Accounting for phylogenetic uncertainty in biogeography: A bayesian approach to dispersal-vicariance analysis of the thrushes (Aves: Turdus). Syst. Biol. 57, 257–268. (doi:10.1080/10635150802044003)
- 15. Gelman A, Carlin JB, Stern HS, Dunson DB, Vehtari A, Rubin DB. 2013 Bayesian data analysis, third edition. 3rd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. (doi:10.1201/b16018)
- Vehtari A, Gelman A, Gabry J. 2017 Practical Bayesian model evaluation using leave-one-out cross-validation and WAIC. Stat. Comput. 27, 1413– 1432. (doi:10.1007/s11222-016-9696-4)