



ZTF-FCT
Zientzia eta Teknologia Fakultatea
Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología

Willmore-Like Energies and Elastic Curves with Potential

Álvaro Pámpano Llarena

*XXIst International Conference
Geometry, Integrability and Quantization*

Varna, June 3-8 2019

Introduction

Introduction

1. Historical Background

Introduction

1. Historical Background

- Bending Energy & Elasticae

Introduction

1. Historical Background

- Bending Energy & Elasticae
- Willmore Energy

Introduction

1. Historical Background

- Bending Energy & Elasticae
- Willmore Energy

2. Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

Introduction

1. Historical Background

- Bending Energy & Elasticae
- Willmore Energy

2. Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

- Basic Facts about Killing Submersions

Introduction

1. Historical Background

- Bending Energy & Elasticae
- Willmore Energy

2. Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

- Basic Facts about Killing Submersions

3. Link with Elasticae with Potential

Introduction

1. Historical Background
 - Bending Energy & Elasticae
 - Willmore Energy
2. Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces
 - Basic Facts about Killing Submersions
3. Link with Elasticae with Potential
4. Applications (Willmore Tori)

Introduction

1. Historical Background

- Bending Energy & Elasticae
- Willmore Energy

2. Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

- Basic Facts about Killing Submersions

3. Link with Elasticae with Potential

4. Applications (Willmore Tori)

- Invariant Willmore Tori in Total Spaces

Introduction

1. Historical Background

- Bending Energy & Elasticae
- Willmore Energy

2. Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

- Basic Facts about Killing Submersions

3. Link with Elasticae with Potential

4. Applications (Willmore Tori)

- Invariant Willmore Tori in Total Spaces
- Foliations of Total Spaces by Willmore Tori with CMC

Bending Energy

Bending Energy

- 1691: J. Bernoulli.

Bending Energy

- 1691: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the **shape** of elastic rods
(**bending** deformation of rods).

Bending Energy

- 1691: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the **shape** of elastic rods (**bending** deformation of rods).

- 1742: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study **elasticae** as **minimizers** of the **bending energy**.

Bending Energy

- 1691: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the **shape** of elastic rods (**bending** deformation of rods).

- 1742: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study **elasticae** as **minimizers** of the **bending energy**.

- 1744: L. Euler.

Described the shape of **planar elasticae**

Bending Energy

- 1691: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the **shape** of elastic rods (**bending** deformation of rods).

- 1742: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study **elasticae** as **minimizers** of the **bending energy**.

- 1744: L. Euler.

Described the shape of **planar elasticae** (partially solved by J. Bernoulli 1692-1694).

Bending Energy

- 1691: J. Bernoulli.

Proposed the problem of determining the **shape** of elastic rods (**bending** deformation of rods).

- 1742: D. Bernoulli.

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study **elasticae** as **minimizers** of the **bending energy**.

- 1744: L. Euler.

Described the shape of **planar elasticae** (partially solved by J. Bernoulli 1692-1694).

- 1986: R. Bryant & P. Griffiths.

Different approach to **extend** the notion of **elasticae** to Riemannian manifolds.

Bending Energy

- **1691: J. Bernoulli.**

Proposed the problem of determining the **shape** of elastic rods (**bending** deformation of rods).

- **1742: D. Bernoulli.**

In a letter to L. Euler suggested to study **elasticae** as **minimizers** of the **bending energy**.

- **1744: L. Euler.**

Described the shape of **planar elasticae** (partially solved by J. Bernoulli 1692-1694).

- **1986: R. Bryant & P. Griffiths.**

Different approach to **extend** the notion of **elasticae** to Riemannian manifolds.

- **Many others....**

Willmore Energy

Willmore Energy

- **1811:** **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

Willmore Energy

- **1811:** **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- **1920's:** **Blaschke's School.**

Willmore Energy

- **1811:** **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- **1920's:** **Blaschke's School.** \mathcal{W} is **conformally invariant**.

Willmore Energy

- 1811: **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- 1920's: **Blaschke**'s School. \mathcal{W} is **conformally invariant**.
Thomsen: First Variation Formula.

Willmore Energy

- 1811: **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- 1920's: **Blaschke**'s School. \mathcal{W} is **conformally invariant**.
Thomsen: First Variation Formula.
- 1960's: **T. Willmore**. Reintroduced \mathcal{W}

Willmore Energy

- 1811: **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- 1920's: **Blaschke**'s School. \mathcal{W} is **conformally invariant**.
Thomsen: First Variation Formula.
- 1960's: **T. Willmore**. Reintroduced \mathcal{W} and proposed the
Willmore Conjecture (Marques & Neves, 2014).

Willmore Energy

- **1811:** **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- **1920's:** **Blaschke's School.** \mathcal{W} is **conformally invariant**.
Thomsen: First Variation Formula.
- **1960's:** **T. Willmore.** Reintroduced \mathcal{W} and proposed the **Willmore Conjecture** (Marques & Neves, 2014).
- **1974:** **B.-Y. Chen.** **Chen-Willmore energy**

Willmore Energy

- **1811:** **S. Germain.** First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- **1920's:** **Blaschke's School.** \mathcal{W} is **conformally invariant**.
Thomsen: First Variation Formula.
- **1960's:** **T. Willmore.** Reintroduced \mathcal{W} and proposed the **Willmore Conjecture** (Marques & Neves, 2014).
- **1974:** **B.-Y. Chen.** **Chen-Willmore energy** (**conformally invariant** in Riemannian manifolds).

Willmore Energy

- 1811: **S. Germain**. First considered

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \int_S H^2 dA$$

to study elastic plates.

- 1920's: **Blaschke**'s School. \mathcal{W} is **conformally invariant**.
Thomsen: First Variation Formula.
- 1960's: **T. Willmore**. Reintroduced \mathcal{W} and proposed the **Willmore Conjecture** (Marques & Neves, 2014).
- 1974: **B.-Y. Chen**. **Chen-Willmore energy** (**conformally invariant** in Riemannian manifolds).

1985: U. Pinkall

Link between **Willmore surfaces** and **elastica**.

Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

Let \overline{M} be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{M})$ a smooth function and S a compact surface with no boundary.

Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

Let \overline{M} be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{M})$ a smooth function and S a compact surface with no boundary. In $I(S, \overline{M})$, we define the Willmore-like energy

$$\mathcal{W}_\Phi(S) = \int_S (H^2 + \Phi) \, dA.$$

Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

Let \overline{M} be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{M})$ a smooth function and S a compact surface with no boundary. In $I(S, \overline{M})$, we define the Willmore-like energy

$$\mathcal{W}_\Phi(S) = \int_S (H^2 + \Phi) \, dA.$$

Euler-Lagrange Equation

Extremals of \mathcal{W}_Φ are characterized by the equation

$$\Delta H + H(2H^2 - 2K_S + 2R + \text{Ric}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}) - 2\Phi) + \mathbf{N}(\Phi) = 0.$$

Willmore-Like Energies in 3-Spaces

Let \overline{M} be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold, $\Phi \in C^\infty(\overline{M})$ a smooth function and S a compact surface with no boundary. In $I(S, \overline{M})$, we define the Willmore-like energy

$$\mathcal{W}_\Phi(S) = \int_S (H^2 + \Phi) \, dA.$$

Euler-Lagrange Equation

Extremals of \mathcal{W}_Φ are characterized by the equation

$$\Delta H + H(2H^2 - 2K_S + 2R + \text{Ric}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}) - 2\Phi) + \mathbf{N}(\Phi) = 0.$$

- We are mainly interested in isometrically immersed surfaces on total spaces of Killing submersions.

Killing Submersions

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a Killing submersion if fibers are the trajectories of a complete unit Killing vector field, ξ .

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a **Killing submersion** if **fibers** are the trajectories of a **complete unit Killing vector field**, ξ .

- Most of the **geometry** is **encoded** in the pair of functions

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a Killing submersion if fibers are the trajectories of a complete unit Killing vector field, ξ .

- Most of the geometry is encoded in the pair of functions K_B (Gaussian curvature of B)

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a **Killing submersion** if **fibers** are the trajectories of a **complete unit Killing vector field**, ξ .

- Most of the **geometry** is **encoded** in the pair of functions K_B (**Gaussian curvature of B**) and τ_π (**bundle curvature**).

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a **Killing submersion** if **fibers** are the trajectories of a **complete unit Killing vector field**, ξ .

- Most of the **geometry** is **encoded** in the pair of functions K_B (**Gaussian curvature of B**) and τ_π (**bundle curvature**).
- **Theorem (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)** For any K_B and τ_π , **there exists a Killing submersion**. It can be chosen with **compact fibers**.

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a **Killing submersion** if **fibers** are the trajectories of a **complete unit Killing vector field**, ξ .

- Most of the **geometry** is **encoded** in the pair of functions K_B (**Gaussian curvature of B**) and τ_π (**bundle curvature**).
- **Theorem** (Barros, Garay & — , 2018) For any K_B and τ_π , **there exists a Killing submersion**. It can be chosen with **compact fibers**.
- For the **simply connected** case, we have **uniqueness**. (Manzano, 2014)

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a **Killing submersion** if **fibers** are the trajectories of a **complete unit Killing vector field**, ξ .

- Most of the **geometry** is **encoded** in the pair of functions K_B (**Gaussian curvature of B**) and τ_π (**bundle curvature**).
- **Theorem** (Barros, Garay & —, 2018) For any K_B and τ_π , **there exists a Killing submersion**. It can be chosen with **compact fibers**.
- For the **simply connected** case, we have **uniqueness**. (Manzano, 2014)

Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu Spaces

They are the canonical models with **constant K_B** and τ_π .

Killing Submersions

A Riemannian submersion $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold M over a surface B will be called a **Killing submersion** if **fibers** are the trajectories of a **complete unit Killing vector field**, ξ .

- Most of the **geometry** is **encoded** in the pair of functions K_B (**Gaussian curvature of B**) and τ_π (**bundle curvature**).
- **Theorem** (Barros, Garay & —, 2018) For any K_B and τ_π , there exists a **Killing submersion**. It can be chosen with **compact fibers**.
- For the **simply connected** case, we have **uniqueness**. (Manzano, 2014)

Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu Spaces

They are the canonical models with **constant K_B** and τ_π .

- They include all 3-dimensional **homogeneous spaces** with group of isometries of **dimension 4**.

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Let γ be an immersed curve in B .

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Let γ be an immersed curve in B .

- The surface $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Let γ be an immersed curve in B .

- The surface $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is an isometrically immersed surface in M .

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Let γ be an immersed curve in B .

- The surface $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is an isometrically immersed surface in M .
- Moreover, S_γ is invariant under the flow of the vertical Killing vector field, ξ .

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Let γ be an **immersed curve** in B .

- The surface $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is an **isometrically immersed surface** in M .
- Moreover, S_γ is **invariant** under the flow of the vertical Killing vector field, ξ .
- It is usually called **vertical tube shaped on γ** .

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Let γ be an immersed curve in B .

- The surface $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is an isometrically immersed surface in M .
- Moreover, S_γ is invariant under the flow of the vertical Killing vector field, ξ .
- It is usually called vertical tube shaped on γ .
- In fact, all ξ -invariant surfaces of M can be seen as vertical lifts of curves.

Vertical Lifts in Killing Submersions

Let γ be an immersed curve in B .

- The surface $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is an isometrically immersed surface in M .
- Moreover, S_γ is invariant under the flow of the vertical Killing vector field, ξ .
- It is usually called vertical tube shaped on γ .
- In fact, all ξ -invariant surfaces of M can be seen as vertical lifts of curves.
- The mean curvature of these surfaces is (Barros, 1997)

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (\kappa \circ \pi) ,$$

κ denoting the geodesic curvature of γ in B .

Link with Elasticae with Potential

Link with Elasticae with Potential

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ be an **invariant potential**, that is, $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} \circ \pi$,

Link with Elasticae with Potential

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ be an invariant potential, that is, $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} \circ \pi$, and consider the Willmore-like energy

$$\mathcal{W}_\Phi(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H^2 + \Phi) \, dA$$

defined on the space of surface immersions in a total space of a Killing submersion with compact fibers, $Imm(N^2, M)$.

Link with Elasticae with Potential

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ be an invariant potential, that is, $\Phi = \bar{\Phi} \circ \pi$, and consider the Willmore-like energy

$$\mathcal{W}_\Phi(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H^2 + \Phi) \, dA$$

defined on the space of surface immersions in a total space of a Killing submersion with compact fibers, $Imm(N^2, M)$.

Main Theorem (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

If γ is a closed curve in B , then S_γ is a Willmore-like torus, if and only if, γ is an extremal of

$$\Theta_{4\bar{\Phi}}(\gamma) = \int_\gamma (\kappa^2 + 4\bar{\Phi}) \, ds.$$

Applications of the Main Theorem

Applications of the Main Theorem

Our main purpose consists on studying **Willmore surfaces** (tori)

Applications of the Main Theorem

Our main purpose consists on studying **Willmore surfaces** (tori)
(more precisely, **Willmore-Chen tori**).

Applications of the Main Theorem

Our main purpose consists on studying **Willmore surfaces** (tori)
(more precisely, **Willmore-Chen tori**).

Therefore, we explain two methods:

Applications of the Main Theorem

Our main purpose consists on studying **Willmore surfaces** (tori)
(more precisely, **Willmore-Chen tori**).

Therefore, we explain two methods:

1. Invariant **Willmore Tori** in Total Spaces

Applications of the Main Theorem

Our main purpose consists on studying **Willmore surfaces** (tori)
(more precisely, **Willmore-Chen tori**).

Therefore, we explain two methods:

1. Invariant Willmore Tori in Total Spaces
2. Foliations of Total Spaces by Willmore Tori with CMC

Invariant Willmore Tori

Invariant Willmore Tori

Now, for $\phi \in Imm(N^2, M)$, we consider the Chen-Willmore energy

$$\mathcal{CW}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H_\phi^2 + R) \ dA_\phi$$

where R denotes the extrinsic Gaussian curvature.

Invariant Willmore Tori

Now, for $\phi \in Imm(N^2, M)$, we consider the Chen-Willmore energy

$$\mathcal{CW}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H_\phi^2 + R) \ dA_\phi$$

where R denotes the extrinsic Gaussian curvature.

- Extremals of \mathcal{CW} are called Willmore surfaces.

Invariant Willmore Tori

Now, for $\phi \in Imm(N^2, M)$, we consider the Chen-Willmore energy

$$\mathcal{CW}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H_\phi^2 + R) \ dA_\phi$$

where R denotes the extrinsic Gaussian curvature.

- Extremals of \mathcal{CW} are called Willmore surfaces.
- In general, $\mathcal{CW} \neq \mathcal{W}_\Phi$.

Invariant Willmore Tori

Now, for $\phi \in Imm(N^2, M)$, we consider the Chen-Willmore energy

$$\mathcal{CW}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H_\phi^2 + R) \ dA_\phi$$

where R denotes the extrinsic Gaussian curvature.

- Extremals of \mathcal{CW} are called Willmore surfaces.
- In general, $\mathcal{CW} \neq \mathcal{W}_\Phi$. However, if $M = M^3(\rho)$, then $\mathcal{CW} = \mathcal{W}_\Phi$ for $\Phi = \rho$.

Invariant Willmore Tori

Now, for $\phi \in Imm(N^2, M)$, we consider the Chen-Willmore energy

$$\mathcal{CW}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H_\phi^2 + R) \ dA_\phi$$

where R denotes the extrinsic Gaussian curvature.

- Extremals of \mathcal{CW} are called Willmore surfaces.
- In general, $\mathcal{CW} \neq \mathcal{W}_\Phi$. However, if $M = M^3(\rho)$, then $\mathcal{CW} = \mathcal{W}_\Phi$ for $\Phi = \rho$.

Theorem (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

A vertical torus S_γ is Willmore in M , if and only if, it is extremal of

$$\mathcal{W}_{\tau_\pi^2}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H^2 + \tau_\pi^2) \ dA.$$

Invariant Willmore Tori

Now, for $\phi \in Imm(N^2, M)$, we consider the Chen-Willmore energy

$$\mathcal{CW}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H_\phi^2 + R) \, dA_\phi$$

where R denotes the extrinsic Gaussian curvature.

- Extremals of \mathcal{CW} are called Willmore surfaces.
- In general, $\mathcal{CW} \neq \mathcal{W}_\Phi$. However, if $M = M^3(\rho)$, then $\mathcal{CW} = \mathcal{W}_\Phi$ for $\Phi = \rho$.

Theorem (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

A vertical torus S_γ is Willmore in M , if and only if, it is extremal of

$$\mathcal{W}_{\tau_\pi^2}(N^2) = \int_{N^2} (H^2 + \tau_\pi^2) \, dA.$$

- That is, if and only if, γ is an elastica with potential $4\tau_\pi^2$ in B .

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

1. Orthonormal Frame Bundles

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

1. Orthonormal Frame Bundles

Proposition (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

Orthonormal frame bundles of compact rotational surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 admit a foliation by minimal Willmore tori.

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

1. Orthonormal Frame Bundles

Proposition (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

Orthonormal frame bundles of compact rotational surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 admit a foliation by minimal Willmore tori.

In order to get foliations by non-minimal Willmore tori,

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

1. Orthonormal Frame Bundles

Proposition (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

Orthonormal frame bundles of compact rotational surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 admit a **foliation by minimal Willmore tori**.

In order to get foliations by **non-minimal Willmore tori**,

- Consider $S_f = I \times_f \mathbb{S}^1$ such that all **fibers**, δ , are **extremals** of

$$\Theta_{K_{S_f}^2}(\delta) = \int_{\delta} (\kappa^2 + K_{S_f}^2) dt.$$

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

1. Orthonormal Frame Bundles

Proposition (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

Orthonormal frame bundles of compact rotational surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 admit a **foliation by minimal Willmore tori**.

In order to get foliations by **non-minimal Willmore tori**,

- Consider $S_f = I \times_f \mathbb{S}^1$ such that all **fibers**, δ , are **extremals** of

$$\Theta_{K_{S_f}^2}(\delta) = \int_{\delta} (\kappa^2 + K_{S_f}^2) dt.$$

- This completely **determines** $f(s)$.

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

1. Orthonormal Frame Bundles

Proposition (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

Orthonormal frame bundles of compact rotational surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 admit a foliation by minimal Willmore tori.

In order to get foliations by non-minimal Willmore tori,

- Consider $S_f = I \times_f \mathbb{S}^1$ such that all fibers, δ , are extremals of

$$\Theta_{K_{S_f}^2}(\delta) = \int_{\delta} (\kappa^2 + K_{S_f}^2) dt.$$

- This completely determines $f(s)$.
- These S_f give rise to orthonormal frame bundles admitting foliations by Willmore tori with CMC.

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

- Let γ be a (proper) elastic curve in a surface B .

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

- Let γ be a (proper) elastic curve in a surface B .
- Define $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \exp(\kappa(s)t + \varpi(s)) + \lambda$ for an arbitrary function $\varpi(s)$ along γ .

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

- Let γ be a (proper) elastic curve in a surface B .
- Define $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \exp(\kappa(s)t + \varpi(s)) + \lambda$ for an arbitrary function $\varpi(s)$ along γ .
- Consider $\pi : M(K_B, \tau_\pi) \rightarrow B$ a Killing submersion with closed fibers for $4\tau_\pi^2 = \bar{\Phi}(s, t)$.

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

- Let γ be a (proper) elastic curve in a surface B .
- Define $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \exp(\kappa(s)t + \varpi(s)) + \lambda$ for an arbitrary function $\varpi(s)$ along γ .
- Consider $\pi : M(K_B, \tau_\pi) \rightarrow B$ a Killing submersion with closed fibers for $4\tau_\pi^2 = \bar{\Phi}(s, t)$.

Theorem (Barros, Garay & — , 2018)

The vertical lift $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a Willmore tori in $M(K_B, \tau_\pi)$.

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

- Let γ be a (proper) elastic curve in a surface B .
- Define $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \exp(\kappa(s)t + \varpi(s)) + \lambda$ for an arbitrary function $\varpi(s)$ along γ .
- Consider $\pi : M(K_B, \tau_\pi) \rightarrow B$ a Killing submersion with closed fibers for $4\tau_\pi^2 = \bar{\Phi}(s, t)$.

Theorem (Barros, Garay & —, 2018)

The vertical lift $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a Willmore tori in $M(K_B, \tau_\pi)$.

As an illustration, take $B = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ and $\{C_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

- Let γ be a (proper) elastic curve in a surface B .
- Define $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \exp(\kappa(s)t + \varpi(s)) + \lambda$ for an arbitrary function $\varpi(s)$ along γ .
- Consider $\pi : M(K_B, \tau_\pi) \rightarrow B$ a Killing submersion with closed fibers for $4\tau_\pi^2 = \bar{\Phi}(s, t)$.

Theorem (Barros, Garay & —, 2018)

The vertical lift $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a Willmore tori in $M(K_B, \tau_\pi)$.

As an illustration, take $B = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ and $\{C_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

- The potentials $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \tilde{f}(s)t + \frac{1}{3t^2}$, $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ make the whole family of circles elasticae with potential.

Willmore Tori Foliations of Total Spaces

2. General Killing Submersions

- Let γ be a (proper) elastic curve in a surface B .
- Define $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \exp(\kappa(s)t + \varpi(s)) + \lambda$ for an arbitrary function $\varpi(s)$ along γ .
- Consider $\pi : M(K_B, \tau_\pi) \rightarrow B$ a Killing submersion with closed fibers for $4\tau_\pi^2 = \bar{\Phi}(s, t)$.

Theorem (Barros, Garay & —, 2018)

The vertical lift $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a Willmore tori in $M(K_B, \tau_\pi)$.

As an illustration, take $B = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ and $\{C_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

- The potentials $\bar{\Phi}(s, t) = \tilde{f}(s)t + \frac{1}{3t^2}$, $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ make the whole family of circles elasticae with potential.
- Corollary.** There exists a Killing submersion admitting a foliation by Willmore tori with CMC.

REFERENCES

1. M. Barros, Willmore Tori in Non-Standard 3-Spheres, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **121** (1997), 321-324.
2. M. Barros, O. J. Garay and A. Pámpano, Willmore-like Tori in Killing Submersions, *Adv. Math. Phys.*, **2018** (2018).
3. W. Blaschke, Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie und Geometrische Grundlagen von Einsteins Relativitätstheorie I: Elementare Differenntialgeometrie, *Springer*, (1930).
4. J. M. Manzano, On the Classification of Killing Submersions and Their Isometries, *Pacific J. Math.*, **270** (2014), 367-392.
5. F. C. Marques and A. Neves, Min-Max Theory and the Willmore Conjecture, *Ann. Math. Second Series*, **179-2** (2014), 683-782.
6. U. Pinkall, Hopf Tori in S^3 , *Invent. Math.*, **81-2** (1985), 379-386.

THE END

Acknowledgements: Research partially supported by MINECO-FEDER,
MTM2014-54804-P and by Gobierno Vasco, IT1094-16.