Speed up XGBoost optimization using parallel computing in R

Álvaro Orgaz Expósito

OBJECTIVE

The aim of this paper is to reduce computation time in R without using GPU or *Big Data* tools, just using all cores available in the CPU (by default R uses one single core). Basically, we will compare the required time for developing a *data science* task with the default R configuration and with parallel computing configuration.

The task will be to find the optimal combination (higher AUC metric) of hyperparameters for the popular $machine\ learning\ algorithm\ XGBoost$, which is known as $hyperparameters\ grid\ search$. Although this algorithm has more hyperparameters, we will try several combinations of the parameters: eta or learning rate, max_depth or maximum depth of trees, and nround or maximum number of boosting iteration. About the data, we will use the popular dataset $titanic_train$ in R library titanic. Let's predict the binary variable Survived with the explanatory features: Fare, Age, Sex, Embarked, Parch, Pclass and SibSp.

CODE

Firstly, we need to load the data and preprocess it. Basically, the code includes:

- 1. Load the dataset titanic_train
- 2. Preprocess the data to recode properly the levels of the categorical features
- 3. Create a vector with the target variable y and a matrix (necessary for next steps) x with the preprocessed features

```
# 1
library(titanic)
data <- titanic_train
target <- "Survived"</pre>
categorical <- c("Sex", "Embarked", "Parch", "Pclass", "SibSp")</pre>
numerical <- c("Age", "Fare")</pre>
data <- data[,c(target,categorical,numerical)]</pre>
valid levels <- list(</pre>
  levels_Survived = c("0","1"),
  levels Sex = c("female", "male"),
  levels Embarked = c("C", "Q", "S"),
  levels_Parch = c("0","1","2","3","4","5","6"),
  levels_Pclass = c("1","2","3"),
  levels_SibSp = c("0","1","2","3","4","5","6","7","8")
for(i in categorical){
  level <- paste0("levels_",i)</pre>
  data[,i] <- as.numeric(factor(data[,i],levels=valid_levels[[level]],exclude=NULL))</pre>
}
v <- data[,target]</pre>
x <- data.matrix(data[,c(categorical,numerical)])</pre>
```

Secondly, let's define all the hyperparameter combinations that we want to study in the object parametrizations and save it in the file data.RData.file together with the data objects x and y.

```
etas <- c(0.01,0.05,0.1,0.15,0.2)
max_depths <- c(5,10,15,20,25)
nrounds <- c(50,100,200,300,400)
parametrizations <- expand.grid(list(eta=etas,max_depth=max_depths,nround=nrounds))
save(x,y,parametrizations,file="data.RData")</pre>
```

Thirdly, let's define the function to loop. What does it mean? We will use the function llply in plyr R package for parallel computing, and its structure is like llply(data,fun,parallel=TRUE) where fun is the function to apply for each element in data. Then, we will iterate all the defined hyperparameters combinations and apply in each iteration a function that trains a XGBoost model and calculate the accuracy metric AUC with its predictions.

Note: When using the option .parallel=TRUE in the function llply, we cannot use objects in the actual session as x, y or parametrization. For this reason, we saved them in the file data.RData and load it inside the function to loop.

Once the looping function is created, let's activate all available cores in the R session using R packages parallel and doParallel.

```
max_threads <- parallel::detectCores()
cluster <- parallel::makeCluster(max_threads)
doParallel::registerDoParallel(cluster)</pre>
```

Finally, let's compute in parallel the AUC value of all XGBoost parametrizations using the created function auxiliar and the function llply from R package plyr which use parallel backend provided by the previous activation.

```
start <- Sys.time()
auc_parallel <- plyr::llply(1:nrow(parametrizations),auxiliary,.parallel=TRUE)
Sys.time()-start</pre>
```

Time difference of 1.06985 mins

Now, we can do the same job but desactivating the parallel option, just using the default configuration in R which only uses one core.

```
start <- Sys.time()
auc <- plyr::llply(1:nrow(parametrizations),auxiliary,.parallel=FALSE)
Sys.time()-start</pre>
```

Time difference of 2.822773 mins

Note: If you open the task manager in your machine while parallel computing, you will see that the CPU

is working almost at 100% level. But if you open it while default computing, you will see that the CPU is working at a lower level than before.

Let's make a simple check to ensure that all outputs (AUC metric for every hyperparameters combination) are equal in parallel and non-parallel procedures.

```
unlist(auc)==unlist(auc_parallel)
 ##
##
 ##
 ##
##
 ##
 Then, the optimal hyperparameters are the same in both procedures.
optimal <- which(unlist(auc)==max(unlist(auc)))</pre>
parametrizations[optimal,]
##
  eta max_depth nround
## 125 0.2
      25
optimal_parallel <- which(unlist(auc_parallel)==max(unlist(auc_parallel)))</pre>
parametrizations[optimal_parallel,]
##
  eta max_depth nround
## 125 0.2
      25
```

Conclusion, we can drastically reduce the required time to find the optimal combination of hyperparameters for a machine learning algorithm such as XGBoost. However, this can be applied to any computing objective just changing or adapting the auxiliary function.