

Main page
Contents
Featured content
Current events
Random article
Donate to Wikipedia
Wikipedia store

Interaction

Help
About Wikipedia
Community portal
Recent changes

Contact page

Tools

What links here
Related changes
Upload file
Special pages
Permanent link
Page information
Wikidata item
Cite this page

Print/export

Article Talk Read Edit View history Search Wikipedia Q

# Continuous integration

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



This article includes a list of references, but **its sources remain unclear** because it has **insufficient inline citations**. Please help to improve this article by introducing more precise citations. (July 2016) (Learn how and when to remove this template message)

In software engineering, **continuous integration** (**CI**) is the practice of merging all developer working copies to a shared mainline several times a day.<sup>[1]</sup> Grady Booch first proposed the term CI in his 1991 method,<sup>[2]</sup> although he did not advocate integrating several times a day. Extreme programming (XP) adopted the concept of CI and did advocate integrating more than once per day – perhaps as many as tens of times per day.<sup>[3]</sup>

#### Contents [hide]

- 1 Rationale
- 2 Workflow
- 3 History
- 4 Common practices
  - 4.1 Maintain a code repository
  - 4.2 Automate the build
  - 4.3 Make the build self-testing
  - 4.4 Everyone commits to the baseline every day
  - 4.5 Every commit (to baseline) should be built
  - 4.6 Keep the build fast
  - 4.7 Test in a clone of the production environment
  - 4.8 Make it easy to get the latest deliverables

### **Software development**

#### **Core activities**

Processes · Requirements · Design · Engineering · Construction · Testing · Debugging · Deployment · Maintenance

#### Paradigms and models

Agile · Cleanroom · Incremental · Prototyping · Spiral · V model · Waterfall

#### Methodologies and frameworks

ASD · DevOps · DAD · DSDM · FDD · IID · Kanban · Lean SD · LeSS · MDD · MSF · PSP · RAD · RUP · SAFe · Scrum · SEMAT · TSP · UP · XP

#### **Supporting disciplines**

Configuration management · Documentation · Software quality assurance (SQA) · Project management · User experience

#### **Practices**

ATDD · BDD · CCO · CI · CD · DDD · PP · SBE · Stand-up · TDD

#### **Tools**

Compiler · Debugger · Profiler · GUI designer ·

Create a book Download as PDF Printable version In other projects Wikimedia Commons Languages العربية Deutsch Español Français 한국어 Italiano Русский Tiếng Việt 中文 Edit links

- 4.9 Everyone can see the results of the latest build
- 4.10 Automate deployment
- 5 Costs and benefits
- 6 See also
- 7 References
- 8 Further reading
- 9 External links

Modeling · IDE · Build automation · Release automation · Infrastructure as code · Testing

#### Standards and Bodies of Knowledge

BABOK · CMMI · IEEE standards · ISO 9001 · ISO/IEC standards · PMBOK · SWEBOK · ITIL

#### Glossaries

Artificial intelligence · Computer science · Electrical and electronics engineering

V.T.E

### Rationale [edit]

The main aim of CI is to prevent integration problems, referred to as "integration hell" in early descriptions of XP. CI is not universally accepted as an improvement over frequent integration, so it is important to distinguish between the two as there is disagreement about the virtues of each. [citation needed]

In XP, CI was intended to be used in combination with automated unit tests written through the practices of test-driven development. Initially this was conceived of as running and passing all unit tests in the developer's local environment before committing to the mainline. This helps avoid one developer's work-in-progress breaking another developer's copy. Where necessary, partially complete features can be disabled before committing, using feature toggles for instance.

Later elaborations of the concept introduced build servers, which automatically ran the unit tests periodically or even after every commit and reported the results to the developers. The use of build servers (not necessarily running unit tests) had already been practised by some teams outside the XP community. Nowadays, many organisations have adopted CI without adopting all of XP.

In addition to automated unit tests, organisations using CI typically use a build server to implement *continuous* processes of applying quality control in general — small pieces of effort, applied frequently. In addition to running the unit and integration tests, such processes run additional static and dynamic tests, measure and profile performance, extract and format documentation from the source code and facilitate manual QA processes. This continuous application of quality control aims to improve the quality of software, and to reduce the time taken to deliver it, by replacing the traditional practice of applying quality control *after* completing all development. This is very similar to the original idea of integrating more frequently to make integration easier, only applied to QA processes.

In the same vein, the practice of continuous delivery further extends CI by making sure the software checked in on the mainline is always in a state that can be deployed to users and makes the deployment process very rapid.

## Workflow [edit]

When embarking on a change, a developer takes a copy of the current code base on which to work. As other developers submit changed code to the source code repository, this copy gradually ceases to reflect the repository code. Not only can the existing code base change, but new code can be added as well as new libraries, and other resources that create dependencies, and potential conflicts.

The longer a branch of code remains checked out, the greater the risk of multiple integration conflicts and failures when the developer branch is reintegrated into the main line. When developers submit code to the repository they must first update their code to reflect the changes in the repository since they took their copy. The more changes the repository contains, the more work developers must do before submitting their own changes.

Eventually, the repository may become so different from the developers' baselines that they enter what is sometimes referred to as "merge hell", or "integration hell", [4] where the time it takes to integrate exceeds the time it took to make their original changes.

Continuous integration involves integrating early and often, so as to avoid the pitfalls of "integration hell". The practice aims to reduce rework and thus reduce cost and time. [5]

A complementary practice to CI is that before submitting work, each programmer must do a complete build and run (and pass) all unit tests. Integration tests are usually run automatically on a CI server when it detects a new commit.

## History [edit]



This section **needs expansion**.

You can help by adding to it. (August 2014)

The earliest known work on continuous integration was the Infuse environment developed by G.E. Kaiser, D.E. Perry and W.M. Schell. [6]

In 1994, Grady Booch used the phrase continuous integration in Object-Oriented Analysis and Design with Applications (2nd edition)<sup>[7]</sup> to explain how, when developing using micro processes, "internal releases represent a sort of continuous integration of the system, and exist to force closure of the micro process."

In 1997, Kent Beck and Ron Jeffries invented Extreme Programming (XP) while on the Chrysler Comprehensive Compensation System project, including continuous integration. [8] Beck published about continuous integration in 1998, emphasising the importance of face-toface communication over technological support. [9] In 1999, Beck elaborated more in his first full book on Extreme Programming. [10] CruiseControl, one of the first open-source CI tools. [11] was released in 2001.

## Common practices [edit]



This section **contains instructions, advice, or how-to content**. The purpose of Wikipedia is to present facts, not to train. Please help improve this article either by rewriting the how-to content or by moving it to Wikiversity, Wikibooks or Wikivoyage. (*May 2015*)

This section lists best practices suggested by various authors on how to achieve continuous integration, and how to automate this practice. Build automation is a best practice itself.<sup>[12][13]</sup>

Continuous integration – the practice of frequently integrating one's new or changed code with the existing code repository – should occur frequently enough that no intervening window remains between commit and build, and such that no errors can arise without developers noticing them and correcting them immediately.<sup>[14]</sup> Normal practice is to trigger these builds by every commit to a repository, rather than a periodically scheduled build. The practicalities of doing this in a multi-developer environment of rapid commits are such that it is usual to trigger a short time after each commit, then to start a build when either this timer expires, or after a rather longer interval since the last build. Note that since each new commit resets the timer used for the short time trigger, this is the same technique used in many button debouncing algorithms [ex:<sup>[15]</sup>]. In this way the commit events are "debounced" to prevent unnecessary builds between a series of rapid-fire commits. Many automated tools offer this scheduling automatically.

Another factor is the need for a version control system that supports atomic commits, i.e. all of a developer's changes may be seen as a single commit operation. There is no point in trying to build from only half of the changed files.

To achieve these objectives, continuous integration relies on the following principles.

### Maintain a code repository [edit]

Main article: Version control

This practice advocates the use of a revision control system for the project's source code. All artifacts required to build the project should be placed in the repository. In this practice and in the revision control community, the convention is that the system should be buildable from a fresh checkout and not require additional dependencies. Extreme Programming advocate Martin Fowler also mentions that where branching is supported by tools, its use should be minimised. [14] Instead, it is preferred for changes to be integrated rather than for multiple versions of the software to be maintained simultaneously. The mainline (or trunk) should be the place for the working version of the software.

#### Automate the build [edit]

Main article: Build automation

A single command should have the capability of building the system. Many build tools, such as make, have existed for many years. Other more recent tools are frequently used in continuous integration environments. Automation of the build should include automating the integration, which often includes deployment into a production-like environment. In many cases, the build script not only compiles binaries, but also generates documentation, website pages, statistics and distribution media (such as Debian DEB, Red Hat RPM or Windows MSI files).

### Make the build self-testing [edit]

Once the code is built, all tests should run to confirm that it behaves as the developers expect it to behave. [16]

### Everyone commits to the baseline every day [edit]

By committing regularly, every committer can reduce the number of conflicting changes. Checking in a week's worth of work runs the risk of conflicting with other features and can be very difficult to resolve. Early, small conflicts in an area of the system cause team members to communicate about the change they are making.<sup>[1]</sup> Committing all changes at least once a day (once per feature built) is generally considered part of the definition of Continuous Integration. In addition performing a nightly build is generally recommended. <sup>[citation needed]</sup> These are lower bounds; the typical frequency is expected to be much higher.

### Every commit (to baseline) should be built [edit]

The system should build commits to the current working version to verify that they integrate correctly. A common practice is to use Automated Continuous Integration, although this may be done manually. Automated Continuous Integration employs a continuous integration server or daemon to monitor the revision control system for changes, then automatically run the build process.

#### Keep the build fast [edit]

The build needs to complete rapidly, so that if there is a problem with integration, it is quickly identified.

### Test in a clone of the production environment [edit]

Main article: Test environment

Having a test environment can lead to failures in tested systems when they deploy in the production environment because the production environment may differ from the test environment in a significant way. However, building a replica of a production environment is cost

prohibitive. Instead, the test environment, or a separate pre-production environment ("staging") should be built to be a scalable version of the production environment to alleviate costs while maintaining technology stack composition and nuances. Within these test environments, service virtualisation is commonly used to obtain on-demand access to dependencies (e.g., APIs, third-party applications, services, mainframes, etc.) that are beyond the team's control, still evolving, or too complex to configure in a virtual test lab.

#### Make it easy to get the latest deliverables [edit]

Making builds readily available to stakeholders and testers can reduce the amount of rework necessary when rebuilding a feature that doesn't meet requirements. Additionally, early testing reduces the chances that defects survive until deployment. Finding errors earlier can reduce the amount of work necessary to resolve them.

All programmers should start the day by updating the project from the repository. That way, they will all stay up to date.

#### Everyone can see the results of the latest build [edit]

It should be easy to find out whether the build breaks and, if so, who made the relevant change and what that change was.

#### Automate deployment [edit]

Most CI systems allow the running of scripts after a build finishes. In most situations, it is possible to write a script to deploy the application to a live test server that everyone can look at. A further advance in this way of thinking is continuous deployment, which calls for the software to be deployed directly into production, often with additional automation to prevent defects or regressions.<sup>[17][18]</sup>

## Costs and benefits [edit]



The **neutrality of this section is disputed**. Relevant discussion may be found on the talk page. Please do not remove this message until conditions to do so are met. (May 2016) (Learn how and when to remove this template message)



This section **needs additional citations for verification**. Please help improve this article by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed.

Find sources: "Continuous integration" – news · newspapers · books · scholar · JSTOR (May 2016) (Learn how and when to remove this template message)

Continuous integration is intended to produce benefits such as:

- Integration bugs are detected early and are easy to track down due to small change sets. This saves both time and money over the lifespan of a project.
- Avoids last-minute chaos at release dates, when everyone tries to check in their slightly incompatible versions
- When unit tests fail or a bug emerges, if developers need to revert the codebase to a bug-free state without debugging, only a small number of changes are lost (because integration happens frequently)
- Constant availability of a "current" build for testing, demo, or release purposes
- Frequent code check-in pushes developers to create modular, less complex code [citation needed]

With continuous automated testing benefits can include:

- Enforces discipline of frequent automated testing
- Immediate feedback on system-wide impact of local changes
- Software metrics generated from automated testing and CI (such as metrics for code coverage, code complexity, and feature
  completeness) focus developers on developing functional, quality code, and help develop momentum in a team<sup>[citation needed]</sup>

Some downsides of continuous integration can include:

- Constructing an automated test suite requires a considerable amount of work, including ongoing effort to cover new features and follow intentional code modifications.
  - Testing is considered a best practice for software development in its own right, regardless of whether or not continuous integration is employed, and automation is an integral part of project methodologies like test-driven development.
  - Continuous integration can be performed without any test suite, but the cost of quality assurance to produce a releasable product can be high if it must be done manually and frequently.
- There is some work involved to set up a build system, and it can become complex, making it difficult to modify flexibly.<sup>[19]</sup>
  - However, there are a number of continuous integration software projects, both proprietary and open-source, which can be used.
- Continuous Integration is not necessarily valuable if the scope of the project is small or contains untestable legacy code.
- Value added depends on the quality of tests and how testable the code really is.<sup>[20]</sup>
- Larger teams means that new code is constantly added to the integration queue, so tracking deliveries (while preserving quality) is difficult and builds queueing up can slow down everyone.<sup>[20]</sup>
- With multiple commits and merges a day, partial code for a feature could easily be pushed and therefore integration tests will fail until the feature is complete.<sup>[20]</sup>

• Safety and mission-critical development assurance (e.g., DO-178C, ISO 26262) require rigorous documentation and in-process review that are difficult to achieve using Continuous Integration. This type of life cycle often requires additional steps be completed prior to product release when regulatory approval of the product is required.

## See also [edit]

- Application release automation
- Build light indicator
- Comparison of continuous integration software
- Continuous design
- Continuous testing
- Multi-stage continuous integration
- Rapid application development

### References [edit]

- 2. ^ Booch, Grady (1991). Object Oriented Design: With Applications & Benjamin Cummings. p. 209. ISBN 9780805300918. Retrieved 18 August 2014.
- 3. \* "Embracing Change with Extreme Programming". CiteSeerX 10.1.1.617.9195 ...

- A. G. E. Kaiser, D. E. Perry and W. M. Schell, "Infuse: fusing integration test management with change management," [1989] Proceedings of the Thirteenth Annual International Computer Software & Applications Conference, Orlando, FL, USA, 1989, pp. 552-558. doi: 10.1109/CMPSAC.1989.65147

- 7. A Booch, Grady (December 1998). "Object-Oriented Analysis and Design with applications (2nd edition, 15th printing)" (PDF). www.cvauni.edu. Retrieved 2 December 2014.
- 9. ^ Beck, Kent (28 March 1998). "Extreme Programming: A Humanistic Discipline of Software Development" ☑. Fundamental Approaches to Software Engineering: First International Conference, FASE'98, Held as Part of the Joint European Conferences on Theory and Practice of Software, ETAPS'98, Lisbon, Portugal, 28 March 4 April 1998, Proceedings, Volume 1. Lisbon: Springer. p. 4. ISBN 9783540643036.
- 10. ^ Beck, Kent (1999). Extreme Programming Explained &. ISBN 978-0-201-61641-5.

- 11. ^ "A Brief History of DevOps, Part III: Automated Testing and Continuous Integration" & CircleCI. 2018-02-01. Retrieved 2018-05-19.
- 12. ^ Brauneis, David (1 January 2010). "[OSLC] Possible new Working Group Automation" & open-services.net Community (Mailing list). Retrieved 16 February 2010.
- 13. ^ Taylor, Bradley. "Rails Deployment and Automation with ShadowPuppet and Capistrano" & Rails machine (World wide web log).
- 14. ^ a b Fowler, Martin. "Practices" ☑. Continuous Integration (article).
  Retrieved 29 November 2015.
- 15. ^ "Arduino Debounce" &.
- 16. ^ "Reaching true agility with continuous integration" ₽.

- 17. ^ Ries, Eric (30 March 2009). "Continuous deployment in 5 easy steps" & Radar. O'Reilly. Retrieved 10 January 2013.
- 18. ^ Fitz, Timothy (10 February 2009). "Continuous Deployment at IMVU: Doing the impossible fifty times a day" &. Wordpress. Retrieved 10 January 2013.
- 19. ^ Laukkanen, Eero (2016). "Problems, causes and solutions when adopting continuous delivery—A systematic literature review" ↓ (PDF). *Information and Software Technology.* 82: 55–79. doi:10.1016/j.infsof.2016.10.001 ₺ via Elsevier Science Direct.
- 20. ^ a b c Debbiche, Adam. "Assessing challenges of continuous integration in the context of software requirements breakdown: a case study" (PDF).

## Further reading [edit]

Duvall, Paul M. (2007). Continuous Integration. Improving Software Quality and Reducing Risk. Addison-Wesley. ISBN 978-0-321-33638-5.

## External links [edit]

- Fowler, Martin. "Continuous Integration" №.
- "Continuous Integration" ๔ (wiki) (a collegial discussion). C2.
- Flowers, Jay. "A Recipe for Build Maintainability and Reusability" №.
- Duvall, Paul (2007-12-04). "Developer works" ₽.
- "Version lifecycle" 
  ☑. MediaWiki.
- "Continuous Integration in the Cloud" (PDF). CrossTalk. 2016.

Categories: Agile software development | Continuous integration | Extreme programming | Types of tools used in software development

This page was last edited on 6 March 2019, at 18:53 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

Privacy policy About Wikipedia Disclaimers Contact Wikipedia Developers Cookie statement Mobile view



