Study	Total	Mean	SD	Mean	MRAW	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Measure = Comprehension								
Nicoladis et al. (2018) – Very dominant	25	8.70	8.1000		8.70	[5.52; 11.88]	18.2%	5.0%
Nicoladis et al. (2018) – Slight dominant	18	15.60	12.6000		15.60	[9.78; 21.42]	5.4%	4.9%
De Houwer & Bornstein (2006)	31	17.60	15.2000		17.60	[12.25; 22.95]	6.4%	4.9%
Nicoladis et al. (2018) – Balanced	18	23.50	10.9000		23.50	[18.46; 28.54]	7.2%	4.9%
Byers–Heinlein & Werker (2013) – Comprehension	20	46.00	14.0000		46.00	[39.86; 52.14]	4.9%	4.8%
Legacy et al. (2017) – Expt 2 Checklist	20	52.00	24.0000		52.00	[41.48; 62.52]	1.7%	4.5%
Legacy et al. (2017) – Expt 2 Task	20	68.00	27.0000		68.00	[56.17; 79.83]	1.3%	4.4%
Common effect model	152				20.51	[18.50; 22.53]	45.1%	––
Random effects model					32.61	[16.34; 48.88]	––	33.4%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$, $\tau^2 = 468.1730$, $p < 0.01$								
Measure = Production								
Pettenati et al. (2011)	12	17.05	10.9051		17.05	[10.88; 23.22]	4.8%	4.8%
Byers–Heinlein & Werker (2013) – Production	20	26.00	17.0000		26.00	[18.55; 33.45]	3.3%	4.8%
Holowka et al. (2002)	6	28.00	7.0000		28.00	[22.40; 33.60]	5.8%	4.9%
Pearson et al. (1995)	27	29.12	18.2577		29.12	[22.23; 36.00]	3.9%	4.8%
Poulin–Dubois et al. (2013)	25	37.40	21.2000		37.40	[29.09; 45.71]	2.7%	4.7%
Junker & Stockman (2002)	10	43.70	19.8100		43.70	[31.42; 55.98]	1.2%	4.4%
Poulin–Dubois et al. (2018)	36	46.88	18.6100		46.88	[40.80; 52.96]	5.0%	4.8%
Crivello et al. (2016) – Wave 1	39	46.89	19.0000		46.89	[40.93; 52.85]	5.2%	4.9%
Frank & Poulin–Dubois (2002) – Younger	26	47.00	22.0000		47.00	[38.54; 55.46]	2.6%	4.7%
Legacy et al. (2017) – Expt 1 Wave 1	34	48.53	16.0000		48.53	[43.15; 53.91]	6.3%	4.9%
Frank & Poulin–Dubois (2002) – Older	28	51.00	29.0000		51.00	[40.26; 61.74]	1.6%	4.5%
Legacy et al. (2017) – Expt 1 Wave 2	34	52.84	16.0000		52.84	[47.46; 58.22]	6.3%	4.9%
Crivello et al. (2016) – Wave 2	39	57.75	25.0500		57.75	[49.89; 65.61]	3.0%	4.7%
Legacy et al. (2017) – Expt 1 Wave 3	34	61.15	22.0000		61.15	[53.76; 68.54]	3.4%	4.8%
Common effect model	370				41.69	[39.86; 43.51]	54.9%	––
Random effects model					42.28	[35.37; 49.18]	––	66.6%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 93\%$, $\tau^2 = 158.6442$, $p < 0.01$								
Common effect model	522				32.15	[30.79; 33.50]	100.0%	––
Random effects model					38.96	[31.80; 46.13]	––	100.0%

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 97\%$, $\tau^2 = 265.9529$, $p < 0.01$

Test for subgroup differences (fixed effect): $\chi^2_1 = 232.62$, df = 1 ($p < 0.01$)

Test for subgroup differences (random effects): $\chi^2_1 = 1.15$, df = 1 ($p = 0.28$)

10 20 30 40 50 60 70