

# AKS PRIMALITY TESTING

Aman K. Foujdar

Ashoka University

Manindra Agrawal, Neeraj Kayal, and Nitin Saxena



## Introduction

Primality testing, a fundamental aspect of number theory and cryptography, determines whether a given number is prime. The AKS algorithm is a polynomial-time deterministic algorithm for primality testing. Unlike probabilistic tests, the foundations of the AKS algorithm is set on congruence in polynomials. Thus, it guarantees a definitive answer, ensuring the accuracy required for high-stakes applications in cryptography.

## Main Theorem

The AKS algorithm is based on the following theorem:

**Theorem 1. (*Theorem of Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena*).**

Let  $r \in \text{cp}(n)$  be a prime number with  $\text{ord}_r(n) > 4(\log n)^2$ . Also set  $Q := X^r - 1$ . If  $n$  is not a power of  $p$ , then there are at most  $r$  polynomials of the form  $P = X + a$ , with  $a \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , that satisfy

$$(P(X))^n \equiv P(X^n) \pmod{p, Q}. \quad (1)$$

Here, the set  $\text{cp}(n)$  denotes the collection of all integers from 1 to  $n-1$  that are coprime to  $n$ .

## The AKS Algorithm

**Input:** integer  $n > 1$ .

1. If  $(n = a^b$  for  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b > 1)$ , output COMPOSITE.
2. Find the smallest  $r$  such that  $\text{ord}_r(n) > \log^2 n$ .
3. If  $1 < (a, n) < n$  for some  $a \leq r$ , output COMPOSITE.
4. If  $n \leq r$ , output PRIME.<sup>1</sup>
5. For  $a = 1$  to  $\left\lfloor \sqrt{\varphi(r)} \log n \right\rfloor$  do  
if  $((X + a)^n \not\equiv X^n + a \pmod{X^r - 1, n})$ , output COMPOSITE;
6. Output PRIME;

## Foundation = Fermat

**Fermat's Little Theorem** states that if  $p$  is a prime number and  $a$  is an integer not divisible by  $p$ , then

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

**Theorem 2. (*Fermat for polynomials*).** Let  $p$  be a prime number. Then

$$(P(X))^p \equiv P(X^p) \pmod{p} \quad (2)$$

for all polynomials  $P$  with integer coefficients.

Let  $l$  denote the number of elements  $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $a \leq p-1$  for which the polynomial  $X + a$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$ . We define  $H$  as an irreducible factor of  $Q$  modulo  $p$ ,  $A$  as the number of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  that are pairwise distinct modulo  $p$  and  $H$ , and  $t$  as the number of polynomials of the form  $X^{n^i p^j}$ , where  $i, j \geq 0$ , that are pairwise distinct modulo  $p$  and  $H$ .

$$X^r - 1 = (X - 1) \cdot (X^{r-1} + X^{r-2} + \dots + X + 1).$$

Here, the polynomial

$$K_r := X^{r-1} + X^{r-2} + \dots + X + 1 \quad (3)$$

is called the ***r-th cyclotomic polynomial***.

**Theorem 3.** If  $t > 4(\log n)^2$  and  $l \geq t-1$ , then  $n$  is a power of  $p$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $r$  and  $p$  be prime numbers with  $r \neq p$ , and let  $H$  be an irreducible factor (modulo  $p$ ) of the  $r$ -th cyclotomic polynomial  $K_r$ . Also, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be any multiple of  $p$  such that  $\gcd(n, r) = 1$ . Then  $\text{ord}_r(n) \leq t \leq r$ .

**Proof(Theorem of Agrawal, Kayal, and Saxena).** Let  $n$  and  $p$  be as before, where  $p$  is a prime number and  $n$  is a multiple of  $p$ . Also, let  $r$  be a prime number that is coprime to  $n$  and satisfies  $\text{ord}_r(n) > 4(\log n)^2$ . Since  $r$  is coprime to  $n$ , we have  $r \neq p$ .

From theorem 3, we know that

$$4(\log n)^2 < t \leq r,$$

where  $t$  is the number of distinct polynomials  $X^m$  modulo  $p$  and  $H$ .

Now, let  $l$  denote the number of integers  $a \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  for which  $X + a$  satisfies the condition in the AKS equation. Suppose that  $l \geq r$ . Then, we have  $l \geq t \geq t-1$ . By theorem 2,  $n$  must be a power of  $p$ . This proves our main theorem. □

## Asymptotic Time Complexity and Improvements

The asymptotic time complexity of the AKS algorithm is  $O \sim (\log^{21/2} n)$ . Over time, several improvements have been proposed, including an algorithm by Lenstra and Pomerance, which offers a better running time by using a different polynomial for testing congruences. However, despite these improvements, no deterministic primality test has yet surpassed the efficiency of the Miller-Rabin test for practical use, leaving much room for further developments in the field.