

Does the Duckweed Microbiome Change Seasonally?

Alyssa Daigle and Ciana Lazú

2023-11-29

INTRODUCTION

Duckweeds are in the family Lemnaceae and includes five genera, *Spirodela*, *Landoltia*, *Lemna*, *Wolffiella*, and *Wolffia*. They tend to grow best in smaller bodies of water such as ponds. Duckweeds are small water plants with a short generation time, that primarily reproduces clonally (Bog, Appenroth, and Sree 2019). Doubling times can range from about a day to 2-3 days (Cheng and Stomp 2009). They can be used for biogas, biofuel, and even animal feed (Bog, Appenroth, and Sree 2019).

Duckweeds have been shown to accumulate Cd, Se, Cu, and Cr (Zayed, Gowthaman, and Terry 1998). Its yields are among the highest for plants grown in nutrient rich wastewater (Xu et al. 2012). This is important because duckweed biomass is considered a viable option as raw material for microbial fermentation in industrial settings (Cheng and Stomp 2009; Xu et al. 2012). This is especially notable in the case of yeast fermentation, which produces ethanol (Cheng and Stomp 2009; Xu et al. 2012). Duckweeds are also a known bioremediator, where plants are used to remove pollutants from the environment.

Duckweeds are considered mitigators of eutrophication because they can recover nutrients and even ammonia in water sources. Historically in the United States, duckweeds have been used in wastewater treatment due to their ability to pull nutrients (Cheng and Stomp 2009). Duckweeds have specifically been used for swine wastewater treatment in North Carolina. The introduction of duckweeds was associated with a 62–76% reduction in chemical oxygen demand (COD) and 52–73% reduction in total organic carbon (TOC) (Cheng and Stomp 2009).

Observing the trends and patterns of microbe morphology on New Hampshire, duckweeds can give insight on how to increase the efficiency of duckweeds as a phytoremediator locally. There is interest in learning what microbes are associated with duckweeds during different seasons when some ecosystem services are more prevalent than others. Chen et al. (2023) found that surface-flow constructed wetlands, which includes duckweeds, reduced greater amounts of nitrogen in autumn. These findings suggest that understanding how these plant-associated microbes change can help elucidate what ecosystem services are provided when.

It is important to better understand how microbial communities change and come together over time. It has been historically observed that microbes seasonally change in aquatic and terrestrial systems (Lima et al. 2022; Thoms and Gleixner 2013; Zhang et al. 2022). But there are few studies on variation of plant associated microbial communities through the seasons. Different metrics such as dissolved oxygen, pH, plant exudates, phosphate concentration etc. have been known to change seasonally, subsequently altering what microbes are dominant in the environment. Zhang et al. (2022) found that in three different aquatic plants, the highest abundance and diversity of rhizosphere bacteria was seen in the autumn months.

This study will take morphological data, like the color of the colonies and the percent coverage of the

Yeast Mannitol Agar (YMA) plate associated with that color, to view the seasonal changes in microbial communities. The microbes found on these plates are bacterial and fungal communities from duckweed microbiome samples collected in New Hampshire in 2022.

METHODS

Data Collection

This data set was generated by members Alyssa Daigle and Ciana Lazu of the UNH O'Brien Lab. Using the aseptic technique, nutrient agar plates were streaked with water samples from six Durham, NH locations (Mill Pond, Woodman Road, Durham Reservoir, LaRoche Pond, Thompson Farm, and Upper Mill Pond) to achieve microbe growth. Plates were generated from each sampling date, where the sampling season ranged from May to December in 2022. Additionally, at each location, samples were taken from a “left” and a “right” side (approximately 5 feet apart) to assess diversity within each location. Microbe diversity was quantified by assessing the percent coverage of each microbe on the agar plates. Data were recorded in Microsoft Excel to be read into RStudio using `read_csv()`.




Figure 1: Map of the sampling locations in Durham, NH.

Data Cleaning + Data Frames

Analyses and data cleaning were conducted in the programming software R version 4.3.1 (R Core Team, 2018). From the original data frame, a matrix was generated where columns represented microbe colors, and each row represented a sampling date. The matrix quantified the percent coverage for each microbe color on every date for every location and side. This matrix was then converted back into a data frame using `mutate()`, where columns for Location, Side, and Date were pulled from the original data frame. `Pivot_longer()` was used to reverse the rows and columns to generate the final data frame, “all_colors_df”, which was used for generating line plots. Other measures were taken for data cleaning, including renaming variables and filtering columns using functions in the `dplyr` package.

Another data frame called “location_microbe” was generated from “all_colors_df” to count the total number of microbe colors reported at each location. `Dplyr` functions were used to group the data by location and microbe color name, where the total number of microbe colors was summed into a new column. Finally, a data frame called “avg_percent_colors” was generated from the original matrix where the data were grouped by location and the mean percent coverage for each microbe color was calculated using `summarise()`. `Pivot_longer()` was used to display the columns as location, microbe color name, and value (average percent coverage).

Plotting

Line Plots

A series of custom functions were generated to plot microbe abundance over time in a line plot. The first function “plot_all” was used to generate a line plot comparing percent microbe coverage for left and right sides across all locations. “All_colors_df” was piped into `ggplot` to create a line plot (`geom_line()`) where the x axis showed months and the y axis showed percent coverage. A line was generated for each microbe color (`color = name`), and sides within each site were distinguished by dashed lines (`linetype = Side`). “Plot_grid” (`cowplot`) was used to combine these plots into one “grid” figure for better location comparison. The second custom function, “no_legend”, was used to remove individual legends from each plot within `plot_grid` to then create an object called “plot_legend” to generate a legend for the overall grid plot that included all microbe colors.

Bar Plots

Proportion of Microbe Color Incidences per Location The “all_colors_df” data frame was piped into `ggplot`. The x axis showed Location, and the fill showed the microbe color name. `Geom_bar()` was used

to generate a stacked bar graph, where a manual color list was used to display microbe colors. The result is a stacked bar graph displaying the proportion of microbe color incidences at each location. This figure provided a visual that allowed for comparison of microbe color occurrences.

Average Microbe Color Plate Composition The “avg_percent_colors” data frame was piped into ggplot. The x axis showed Location and the y axis showed the percent coverage value. Fill was set to the name of the microbe color. Geom_bar() was used to generate a stacked bar graph, where a manual color list was used to display microbe colors. The result is a stacked bar graph displaying the average percent plate composition for each location. In other words, this figure aims to display what the “average” plate of microbes would look like from each location. The y axis is a scale of the total percent coverage of a microbe color on a plate.

Number of Microbes Observed at Each Location The “location_microbe” data frame was piped into ggplot. The x axis showed the six locations, and the y axis showed the number of microbe colors observed. Under these parameters, geom_bar() was used to generate a bar graph showing the total number of microbe colors observed at each of the sampling locations. This figure provides a visual regarding which locations contained more microbe colors.

Other Plots

Quadratic Regression The Date column in the “all_colors_df” data frame was formatted as Julian dates and then changed into numeric values to prepare for the quadratic regression. From this data frame, the “lm” function was used to create a quadratic regression where x = Julian date and y = the percent coverage of each microbe color. Ggplot was used to visualize this data, where a separate plot with stats was generated for each microbe color. The package “ggpubr” with the command “stat_cor()” was used to amend stats to the plots.

Sampling Locations Map To generate a map of the sampling site, the packages “leaflet” and “mapview” were installed. A custom data frame was generated by creating three objects: one with a list of location names, one with a list of location longitudes, and one with a list of location latitudes. The “data.frame” function was used to combine these objects into a data frame called “sampling_locations.” A new object called “sampling_map” was created by piping the data frame into leaflet, and the resulting map was saved using mapshot().

All code for data cleaning and figure generation can be found in the following repository: https://github.com/alyssa-daigle/BIOL806_Final.git.

RESULTS




Figure 2: The incidence of each microbe color as a proportion at each location.

Beige, amber, and black are found at each of the locations as seen in Figure 2. Magenta, pink, purple, grey, and green tend to be rarer in general. Plates at each site are mostly composed of beige microbes (Figure 3). The rarer colors mentioned above also do not tend to cover much of the plate. Most sites have 7-8 microbe colors (Figure 4). The exception to this is Mill Pond, which has 5 microbe colors on average on each plate.

There are some interesting trends seen in Figure 5. The Left sampling site of Mill Pond has an increase of beige microbes through July to December, but this same trend is not seen in the Right sampling site. Woodman Rd has some spikes of magenta and black microbes on the Right sampling site around July to September. The Left sampling site also has a spike of black microbes around the same time. Durham Reservoir has a decrease of black microbes on the Left sampling site from August to September. On the Right sampling site, there is a slight increase of black microbes from August to October. LaRoche Pond has a high percent coverage of a rarer colored microbe (magenta) in mid-September on the Left sampling site. Thompson Farm, on the Left sampling site, has a high percent coverage of the beige microbes which is not seen again after November. There is also a spike of black microbes at both the sampling sites. The spike on the Left sampling site is around early September while the spike on the Right sampling site is around early October. Upper Mill Pond has a high percent coverage of beige microbes on the Right sampling site. The beige colored microbe started to decline around mid-August. On the Left sampling site, different colored




Figure 3: The average percent coverage for each microbe color at each location.




Figure 4: Total number of microbes observed from each plate at each location.




Figure 5: Line plot showing the percent coverage of each color over time at each location on the Left or Right side.




Figure 6: Quadratic regression analyzing the relationship between Julian Day and the percent abundance of each microbe color.

microbes have a low percent coverage, though beige microbes begin to increase around mid-October.

The R^2 value is 0.00016, suggesting this model does not explain most of the variation in the response variable, the percent coverage of each microbe (Figure 6). The F-stat is 0.06174 and the p-value is 0.9401, meaning this model is not statistically significant. 0.063 is the change in the percent coverage for a one-unit change in Julian, and 4.10 is the change in the rate of change of the percent coverage concerning Julian.

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to find a connection between time (namely the seasons) and when certain microbe colors appear on the plates. There was no significant correlation between time and color of the microbe (Figure 4). This contradicts previous work that found that microbes significantly change by season (Lima et al. 2022; Thoms and Gleixner 2013; Zhang et al. 2022).

It is a possibility that with more data, there may be more of an association with microbes and different seasons. A lack of long-term data is one of the main limitations of this study. Additionally, there was no identification of any of the microbes, meaning only morphological data was used to correlate microbes with seasonality. If microbes were identified by family or genus, a significant pattern correlated to season may become apparent. Notably, previous research based their findings on identification of microbes rather than on morphological data.

Future research should mediate these limitations by increasing the number of samples and identifying microbes. This data also may benefit by utilizing a program to calculate the percent coverage on each plate to make the data more precise and accurate.

References

- Bog, Manuela, Klaus-J. Appenroth, and K. Sowjanya Sree. 2019. “Duckweed (Lemnaceae): Its Molecular Taxonomy.” *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems* 3. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2019.00117>.
- Chen, Xiaowan, Shengjiong Deng, Bohua Ji, Suqing Wu, and Junjun Chang. 2023. “Seasonal Purification Efficiency, Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Microbial Community Characteristics of a Field-Scale Surface-Flow Constructed Wetland Treating Agricultural Runoff.” *Journal of Environmental Management* 345 (November): 118871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118871>.
- Cheng, Jay J., and Anne-M. Stomp. 2009. “Growing Duckweed to Recover Nutrients from Wastewaters and for Production of Fuel Ethanol and Animal Feed.” *CLEAN – Soil, Air, Water* 37 (1): 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.200800210>.
- Lima, Daniel Vinícius Neves de, Cesar Macedo Lima Filho, Ana Beatriz Furlanetto Pacheco, and Sandra Maria Feliciano de Oliveira e Azevedo. 2022. “Seasonal Variation in the Phytoremediation by *Pontederia Crassipes* (Mart) Solms (Water Hyacinth) and Its Associated Microbiota.” *Ecological Engineering* 183 (October): 106744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2022.106744>.
- Thoms, Carolin, and Gerd Gleixner. 2013. “Seasonal Differences in Tree Species’ Influence on Soil Microbial Communities.” *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 66 (November): 239–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.05.018>.
- Xu, Jiele, Hai Zhao, Anne-Marie Stomp, and Jay J Cheng. 2012. “The Production of Duckweed as a Source of Biofuels.” *Biofuels* 3 (5): 589–601. <https://doi.org/10.4155/bfs.12.31>.
- Zayed, Adel, Suvarnalatha Gowthaman, and Norman Terry. 1998. “Phytoaccumulation of Trace Elements by Wetland Plants: I. Duckweed.” *Journal of Environmental Quality* 27 (3): 715–21. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1998.00472425002700030032x>.
- Zhang, Jiawei, Zuhan Ge, Zihang Ma, Deying Huang, and Jibiao Zhang. 2022. “Seasonal Changes Driving Shifts of Aquatic Rhizosphere Microbial Community Structure and the Functional Properties.” *Journal of Environmental Management* 322 (November): 116124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116124>.