

# Integrated Climate Action: A Critical Necessity

Alyssa Reyes

Oregon State University

SNR 540: Global Environmental Change

December 2025

As environmental change accelerates globally, humanity faces a critical decision. A choice between stabilizing the biosphere for a livable future and continuing our path towards irreversible climate destabilization jeopardizing life on Earth as we know it. Mitigation and adaptation merging into one policy realm is essential to stabilizing the biosphere. An integrated approach that simultaneously reduces greenhouse gas emissions and strengthens social viewpoints on ecological resilience is required to address the climate crisis that is at risk of crossing planetary thresholds (Steffan et al., 2018; Van Vuuren et al., 2011).

Planetary thresholds enlighten the urgent need for immediate coordinated action. The interlinked feedback mechanisms that fuel the Earth's systems are threatened by destabilizing them. This destabilization can trigger cascading effects across global biophysical processes. Steffan et al. (2018) describes how crossing temperature thresholds could trigger a self-reinforcing cycle of tipping points. Tipping points such as loss of Arctic sea ice, melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet, and largescale death of the Amazon rainforest. These effects would collectively push Earth towards a “Hothouse Earth”, which would be far warmer than any age in the last 1.2 million years.

In climate policy, mitigation and adaptation have been separate domains with clear limitations. Mitigation reduces the long-term magnitude of climate change but has slow effects, constrained by the inertia of Earth's climate systems (Van Vuuren et al., 2011). Conversely, adaptation offers immediate and local benefits by reducing vulnerability to current impacts; its limitations lie in preventing large-scale climatic shifts.

Relying solely on adaptation, risks establishing inequalities; lower income nations and small areas face disproportionate exposure to climate shifts such as sea-level rise, drought, and extreme weather (Van Vuuren et al., 2011). Even the most stringent mitigation measures can't

eliminate all climate impacts. Considerable adaptation measures will still be needed to mitigate climate impacts already in action. Research shows that only an integrated system that combines adaptation and mitigation can aid in sustaining agricultural productivity, human health, and ecosystem stability (Van Vuuren et al., 2011). An example of this is, changing agricultural techniques to be “climate smart” can sequester carbon (mitigation) and improve crop resilience to climate impacts such as drought and heat stress (adaptation) shows a synergistic potential of dual strategies.

To navigate away from the Hothouse Earth trajectory will require what Steffan et al. (2018) calls “active planetary stewardship.” The concept emphasizes human responsibility for managing the biosphere, reinforcing stabilizing feedback loops. To achieve this vision, global energy systems, consumption patterns, and governance structures would need to be transformed. Integrated climate action must operate globally. Global coordination is vital for consistent emission reduction standards. Decentralized local governance is important specific adaptations (Parson, 2008; Van Vuuren et al., 2011). A great example of this is centralized data sharing that can inform local decisions on water resource management or infrastructure planning. This allows local adaptations to align with broader mitigation goals.

Societal transformation is another crucial step in successful integrated climate policy. Stabilizing Earth’s systems requires redefining human progress through sustainability, resilience, and equity rather than short-term economic growth. Steffan et al. states that the next few decades represent a critical “fork in the road” where coordinated global and local actions will determine whether the planet moves towards stabilization or not. I would argue that the “fork in the road” is now. Humanity’s lack of urgency has brought us to such a critical point. We are catching up instead of working ahead, slowing our environmental progress and keeping us on the precipice of

destruction. United responses rather than fragmentation are demanded to address the climate crisis. Cohesive multi-scale frameworks of planetary stewardship can bring humanity to a safe, livable future on Earth. The choice between a Stabilized Earth and a Hothouse Earth will hinge on our capacity for cooperation, foresight, and decisive action.

## References

Parson, E. A. (2008). Useful global-change scenarios: current issues and challenges.

*Environmental Research Letters*, 3(4), 045016. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/3/4/045016>

Steffen W, Rockström J, Richardson K, Lenton TM, Folke C, Liverman D, Summerhayes CP, Barnosky AD, Cornell SE, Crucifix M, Donges JF, Fetzer I, Lade SJ, Scheffer M, Winkelmann R, Schellnhuber HJ. Trajectories of the Earth System in the Anthropocene.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018 Aug 14;115(33):8252-8259. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1810141115. Epub 2018 Aug 6. PMID: 30082409; PMCID: PMC6099852.

Van Vuuren, D. P., Isaac, M., Kundzewicz, Z. W., Arnell, N., Barker, T., Criqui, P., Berkhout, F., Hilderink, H., Hinkel, J., Hof, A., Kitous, A., Kram, T., Mechler, R., & Scriegiu, S. (2011). The use of scenarios as the basis for combined assessment of climate change mitigation and adaptation. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2), 575–591.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2010.11.003>