PROBLEM STATEMENT CS 461 GROUP 32

Member: Basil Al Zamil Xilun Guo Tanner Fry

Abstract

The primary goal of the project is to collect a dataset of real-world driving scenarios (images, video, and sensor data) where potentially problematic objects are in a frame along with bad weather and lighting scenarios. For example wildlife such as deer, cows, and birds need to be correctly identified, along with landmarks of odd shapes such as sculptures in all sorts of lighting and weather conditions. Then we test whether current self-driving car software can detect, recognize, and accurately maneuver around unexpected and or unordinary objects. Specifically, we look at autonomous driving algorithms capability to comprehend objects given particular world conditions (such as lighting, rain, water, snow, etc).

Problem Statement

1 Definition

The improvement we are trying to make comes from the Tesla Autodrive crash incident in which the auto drive system in the vehicle recognized a white semi as a cloud. Dr. Li believes that more flaws exist in the image recognition algorithms. The algorithm is created by cityscapes and they run an expansive suite of tests to check the algorithms performance. They use pixel-level semantic labeling for object recognition. Then apply "standard Jaccard Index, commonly known as the PASCAL VOC intersection-over-union metric IoU=TP/(TP+FP+FN) [1], where TP, FP, and FN are the numbers of true positive, false positive, and false negative pixels, respectively, determined over the whole test set" To analyze the algorithm performance [Cityscapes.com]. To help avoid and prevent future self-driving vehicle failures (and potentially save lives), we want to find and solve these flaws. The main area of focus will be on lighting, bad weather conditions, and unusual objects that the algorithms might not be able to handle correctly. In the Tesla crash, it was found that a particular angle of light reflecting off the surface of the semi-truck caused the incorrect object recognition of a cloud. Another company Dr. Li referenced is Mobileye five who are focusing on object recognition rather than full image recognition. They have their dataset of Artificial Vision Technology. Specifically, tracking speed limit sign, objects on the road surrounding the vehicle as well as lane departure.

2 Solution

Because of the nature of our problem we have a primary goal and a stretch goal. Our main object is to collect enough images, videos, and data sets (data sets being a collection of videos) that we find areas of failure in the image recognition algorithms used in the Autodrive systems. Our first step is to test the algorithms with pre-existing datasets. Assuming those pass, we will then collect our data sets using a camera system and a vehicle and run them through the same image recognition algorithms. The algorithms must be executed and watched to verify that the systems do not fail to recognize an object correctly. For instance, sunlight reflecting on a wet road might blind the camera system and cause points of failure in the algorithm. Or an odd shaped statue might be interpreted as something other than a solid object that should not be driven over.

Our stretch goal is to find the points of fault in the Autodrive algorithms and modify the algorithm to not make the same mistake again, or at least improve the system as a whole to avoid failure. This is dependent on multiple factors including the scale of the dataset we find to have the failure, availability of the algorithm source code, and acceptance by the host companies source control manager. This is an extra and not mandatory to complete the project and meet our Client, Dr. Li's guidelines and expectations.

3 Performance Metrics

The goal of our project is to find flaws within the image recognition software where it fails to drive safely given an expected object or bad driving coditions. Hence, we would measure the success of our project based on whether or not we would be able to repeatedly have the software fail to identify the objects under specific weather conditions or find objects that the software cannot identify to avoid. For example, the software may fail to determine the side of a white truck in heavy rain conditions. Our goal is to find a repeatable error in the image recognition algorithms to the extent that a self-driving vehicle might make a critical driving mistake, such as the Tesla crash that took a person's life.

Our stretch goal is to improve the software, such that it will not fail to identify the objects that it failed to recognize before our work. Hence, for our stretch goal, we would measure our success based on whether we can repeatedly prove that the software is able to determine the objects that it was failing to identify under the same conditions.