



# Replicating and Revising Current Literature on Reinforcement Learning For Strategic Chemotherapy Dosages

Kristina Beck, Daniel Henry, Jimmy Le

CS221 - Fall 2019

## Problem Statement

- Treatment scheduling and drug dosages for cancer chemotherapy vary tremendously according to the stage of tumor, patient wellness, white blood cell levels, external illnesses, age, and more.
- Current literature builds virtual environments for Reinforcement Learning agents using ODEs to simulate the effects of chemotherapy on patients.
- Researchers train these agents in hope of a future where RL improves the decisions made during cancer treatment.

### Objective

- We explored, replicated, and revised these models to generate our own environments and train our own agents to deliver optimal chemotherapy dosages.

## Approach

- We chose to replicate and revise two papers to create two models: the Tumor Size Model [3] (simple) and the Cells Count Model [1] (complex).
- We implement Watkins' Q-Learning algorithm, where we update feature weights with the following equation:  
$$\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \eta (\hat{Q}_{opt}(s, a; \mathbf{w}) - (r + \gamma \hat{V}_{opt}(s'))) \phi(s, a)$$
- For feature selection, we discretized our continuous state space to provide indicators for action selection.
- We custom tune hyperparameters with guidance from [1] and [3].

## Results



### Model 1: Tumor Size (TS)

- **States:**  $S_t = \{W_t, M_t, t\}$  where  $W$  is the patient's wellness,  $M$  is tumor size, and  $t$  is months
- **Actions:** dosages  $d$  where  $0 \leq d \leq 1$
- **State Succession / ODEs:** Hyperparameters influence changes of wellness and tumor size with each dosages

$$\dot{W}_t = \alpha M_t + \beta(d - \lambda)$$

$$\dot{M}_t = \chi W_t - \gamma(d - \lambda)$$

$V = 1$  ends simulation (death) where  $V \sim \text{Bernoulli}(e^{(-W+M)} + \psi)$

#### Reward:

$$R(s, a) = \begin{cases} 5 & M_t \leq 0 \\ -5 & V = 1 \\ R_W(s, a) + R_M(s, a) & t < 6 \\ 2M_0 & t = 6 \end{cases}$$
$$R_W(s, a) = \begin{cases} -W_t & W_t < -.5 \\ W_t & W_t > .5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad R_M(s, a) = \begin{cases} -M_t & M_t < -.5 \\ M_t & M_t > .5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Model 2: Cell Count (CC)

- **States:**  $S_t = \{N_t, T_t, I_t, C_t\}$  where  $N$  is normal cells count,  $T$  is tumor cells count,  $I$  is immune cells count,  $C$  is drug concentration, and  $t$  is months
- **Actions:** dosages  $d$  where  $0 \leq d \leq 1$
- **State Succession / ODEs:** Hyperparameters influence cell kill rate, cell carrying capacity, intra-cell competition, and cell death/growth/influx rates

$$\dot{N}_t = r_2 N_t (1 - b_2 N_t) - c_4 N_t T_t - a_3 N_t C_t$$

$$\dot{T}_t = r_1 T_t (1 - b_1 T_t) - c_2 T_t I_t - c_3 T_t N_t - a_2 T_t C_t$$

$$\dot{I}_t = s + \frac{\rho I_t T_t}{\alpha + T_t} - c_1 I_t T_t - d_1 I_t - a_1 I_t C_t$$

$$\dot{C}_t = d - d_2 C_t$$

#### Reward:

$$R(s, a) = \begin{cases} 3 & T_t \leq 0 \\ -3 & V = 1 \\ \frac{T_t - \hat{T}_t}{\hat{T}_t} & \hat{T}_t < T_t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Results Analysis

- We implemented a number of learning rates in the TS model and found them all to converge around the same average rewards of between 2-3 at different rates.
- The TS agent gave aggressive dosages when it was possible to cure the patient instantly, but became cautious when the tumor size was large and the patient was unwell.
- The CC agent discovered a fault in the environment setup and attained high rewards without curing the patient.
- Discretization factor had negligible impact, implying a need for a better simulation.
- **Future Work**
- Our agent should outperform the constant dosages by a greater factor than it currently does.
- Simulation realism leaves much to be desired. Further tuning and ODEs are needed.

## Conclusions + Social Impact

- This project highlights the breakthroughs at the intersection of cancer/chemotherapy research and reinforcement learning.
- Developing the sophistication of the mathematical models behind chemotherapy and effects on patients is crucial. Future research with simulation modeling in mind will be required.
- Our results show that it may be possible to eventually determine treatment schedules across various forms of cancer using reinforcement learning.

**References:** [1] Padmanabhan, Regina, Nader Meskin, and Wassim M. Haddad. 2017. "Reinforcement Learning-Based Control of Drug Dosing for Cancer Chemotherapy Treatment." *Mathematical Biosciences* 293 (November):11-20. doi:10.1016/j.mbs.2017.08.004. [2] Yaune, Gregory, and Pratik Shah. "Reinforcement learning with action-derived rewards for chemotherapy and clinical trial dosing regimen selection." In *Machine Learning for Healthcare Conference*, pp. 161-226. 2018. [3] Zhao, Yufan, Michael R. Kosorok, and Donglin Zeng. "Reinforcement learning design for cancer clinical trials." *Statistics in medicine* 28, no. 26 (2009): 3294-3315.