

## **Task ‘Collaborative\_The\_Pro\_and\_cons\_of\_logging\_The\_impact\_of\_log4j’**

### **Unit 4**

#### **‘Peer Response 1’**

Seba, your post does capture the paradox of modern monitoring capabilities effectively. Logging is, indeed, a double-edged sword of defensive security, when inadequately governed present additional attack vectors. In high-risk environments such as the Internet of Drones, trustworthy telemetry is indispensable for validating authentication, session establishment and payload integrity, particularly where communication channels are exposed to interception and manipulation.

(Nyangaresi, et al. 2024).

Strong authentication protocols must be complemented by verifiable system evidence to ensure that security guarantees are held under adversarial conditions. Logging provides evidentiary backbone for detection, forensic reconstruction and assurance.

At the same time, Log4Shell illustrates how deeply embedded components can transform the logging layer into an exploitable surface. The widespread adoption and popularity of Log4j across many software supply chains had contributed to the amplification of vulnerabilities using the enablement of remote code execution through attacker-controlled input and increased risk.

(Berger, A., 2024).

## **Task ‘Collaborative\_The\_Pro\_and\_cons\_of\_logging\_The\_impact\_of\_log4j’**

### **Unit 4**

This supports the arguments that logging infrastructure must be engineered and governed using very-high secure mechanism and practices for instance; Zero-Trust, rather than treated as neutral middleware. The application of Zero-Trust principles to the logging pipeline is, therefore, a logical secure architecture concept using continuous verification, least privilege and segmentation controls which are directly relevant to log management. Embedding these principles can minimize impact while preserving the visibility essential for resilient security operations.

(NIST, 2020; CISA, 2021)

Do you believe that enforcing too many security measures on logging infrastructures could limit visibility, or, in the context of SIEM platforms, could applying excessive security, such as restrictions or encryption logs, could influence correlation and detection and result in a delayed response?

Could overly stringent protections reduce the effectiveness of monitoring or analytics across distributed environments?

### **References**

- Berger, A. (2021). What is Log4Shell? The Log4j vulnerability explained (and what to do about it).
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) (2020) Zero Trust Architecture. NIST Special Publication 800-207. doi:10.6028/NIST.SP.800-207.

## **Task ‘Collaborative\_The\_Pro\_s\_and\_cons\_of\_logging\_The\_impact\_of\_log4j’**

### **Unit 4**

- Nyangaresi, V.O., Al-Joboury, I.M., Al-sharhanee, K.A., Najim, A.H., Abbas, A.H. and Hariz, H.M., 2024. A biometric and physically unclonable function-Based authentication protocol for payload exchanges in internet of drones. e-Prime-Advances in Electrical Engineering, Electronics and Energy, 7, p.100471.
- Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) & National Security Agency (NSA), (2021). Mitigating Log4Shell and Other Log4j-Related Vulnerabilities, Joint Cybersecurity Advisory AA21-356A. Last revised 23 December. Available at:  
<https://www.cisa.gov/news-events/alerts/2021/12/22/mitigating-log4shell-and-other-log4j-related-vulnerabilities> [Accessed: 22 February 2026].