

Logic

Gunjan.Rehani@bennett.edu.in, Madhushi.Verma@bennett.edu.in



CSE, SEAS
Bennett University

March 19, 2021

Propositions

Unary Operators

Binary Operators

Converse, Inverse and Contrapositive

Compound Propositions

Precedence of Logical Operators

A **proposition** is a declarative sentence that is either true or false, but not both.

true = T (or 1), false = F (or 0) (binary logic)

Example 1 Sentences that are propositions:

1. New Delhi is the capital of India.
2. Moon is made of green cheese.
3. $1+1=2$
4. $2+2=7$

Example 2 Sentences that are not propositions:

1. What time is it?
2. Read this carefully.
3. $x+1=2$
4. $x+y=z$

Propositional Variables : p, q, r, s, \dots

New Propositions from old: **calculus of propositions** -
relate new propositions to old using TRUTH TABLES

Logical operators: unary, binary

1. Unary:

- Negation

2. Binary:

- Conjunction
- Disjunction
- Exclusive OR
- Implication
- Biconditional

Unary Operators

► Negation

not, denoted by: \neg

Example 3:

p : Today is Friday.

$\neg p$: It is not the case that today is Friday.

or

$\neg p$: Today is not Friday.

or

$\neg p$: It is not Friday today.

p	$\neg p$
0	1
1	0

Table 1: Truth Table : \neg

Binary Operators

► Conjunction

and, denoted by: \wedge

Example 4:

p : Today is Friday.

q : It is raining today.

$p \wedge q$ Today is Friday and it is raining today.

Note: Both p and q must be true

p	q	$p \wedge q$
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

Table 2: Truth Table : $p \wedge q$

Binary Operators

► Disjunction

inclusive 'or', denoted by: \vee

Example 5:

p: Today is Friday.

q: It is raining today.

$p \vee q$: Today is Friday or it is raining today.

Note: only one of p and q must be true.
Hence, the inclusive nature.

p	q	$p \vee q$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

Table 3: Truth Table : $p \vee q$

Binary Operators

► Exclusive OR

Exclusive 'or', denoted by: \oplus

Example 6:

p : Today is Friday.

q : It is raining today.

$p \oplus q$: Today is Friday or it is raining today, but not both.

Note: p or q , but not both.

p	q	$p \oplus q$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

Table 4: Truth Table : $p \oplus q$

Binary Operators

► Implication

'If.. then..', denoted by: \implies

Example 7:

p : I am elected.

q : I will lower taxes.

$p \implies q$: If I am elected, then I will lower taxes.

Note: The implication is false only when P is true and Q is false!

$p \implies q$ has the same truth value as $\neg p \vee q$

p	q	$p \implies q$
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	0
1	1	1

Table 5: Truth Table : $p \implies q$

Equivalent forms:

If p , then q

p implies q

If p , q

p only if q

p is a sufficient condition for q

q if p

q whenever p

q is a necessary condition for p

Terminology:

p = premise, hypothesis, antecedent

q = conclusion, consequence

More terminology:

$q \implies p$ is the CONVERSE of $p \implies q$

$\neg q \implies \neg p$ is the CONTRAPOSITIVE of $p \implies q$

$\neg p \implies \neg q$ is the INVERSE of $p \implies q$

Example 8 :

Find the converse, contrapositive and inverse of the following statement:

R: 'Raining tomorrow is a sufficient condition for my not going to town.'

Step 1: Assign propositional variables to component propositions

P : It will rain tomorrow

Q : I will not go to town

Step 2: Symbolize the assertion

$R : P \implies Q$

Step 3: Symbolize the converse

$Q \implies P$

Step 4: Convert the symbols back into words

'If I don't go to town then it will rain tomorrow'

or

'Raining tomorrow is a necessary condition for my not going to town.'

or

'My not going to town is a sufficient condition for it raining tomorrow.'

Binary Operators

► Biconditional

'If and only if', 'iff', denoted by: \iff

Example 9:

P - 'I am going to town', Q - 'It is going to rain'

$P \iff Q$: 'I am going to town if and only if it is going to rain.'

Note: Both P and Q must have the same truth value.

$p \iff q$ has exactly the same truth value as $(p \implies q) \wedge (q \implies p)$

p	q	$p \iff q$
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

Table 6: Truth Table : $p \iff q$

Truth Tables of Compound Propositions

Example 10 :

Construct truth table of the compound proposition

$$(p \vee \neg q) \implies (p \wedge q)$$

p	q	$\neg q$	$p \vee \neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \vee \neg q) \implies (p \wedge q)$
-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 7: Compound Proposition

Solution:

p	q	$\neg q$	$p \vee \neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \vee \neg q) \implies (p \wedge q)$
0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	1	1

Table 8: Compound Proposition

Precedence of Logical Operators

Operator	Precedence
\neg	1
\wedge	2
\vee, \oplus	3
\implies	4
\iff	5

Table 9: Operator Precedence Table

- ▶ Aristotle
- ▶ George Boole
- ▶ Raymond Smullyan
- ▶ John Wilder Tukey

Queries?