## **Tutorial 2**

1. Using the rules of inference, determine whether the following inference patterns are valid or not.

- 2. Show that  $[(P \lor Q) \land \sim (\sim P \land (\sim Q \lor \sim R))] \lor (\sim P \land \sim Q) \lor (\sim P \land \sim R)$  is a tautology by using laws of logic.
- 3. Show that  $(\sim P \land (\sim Q \land R)) \lor (Q \land R) \lor (P \land R) \equiv R$  using laws of logical equivalence.
- 4. Prove that the following set of premises is inconsistent:

$$P \rightarrow Q, P \rightarrow R, Q \rightarrow \sim R, P$$

- 5. Check the validity of the following argument:
- "If Roli has completed MBA, then she is assured of a good job. If Roli is assured of a good job, she is happy. Roli is not happy. So, Roli has not completed MBA."
- 6. Test the validity of the following argument:

If I study, then I will not fail mathematics.

If I do not play basketball, then I will study.

But I failed Mathematics.

Therefore, I played basketball.