- > Well formed formula
- · A statement or proposition may consist of variables, parenthesis and connective symbols.
- formed formula, pronounced as "woof".
- (a) Every abonic statement is a wff.
- (b) 26 P is a wff then NP is also wff.
- (c) He Pand & are wff, then (PNB), (PVa) and (l→ g) are also wff.

## & check the following: -

- (i) 7(PVB) -
- (11) (P) (PNB)) -
- $(iii) (P \land Q) \rightarrow (\land P)$
- -> Tautological Implication
- A compound proposition is said to be tautologically implied if and only if A → B is a tautology where
   A and B are 2 propositions.
  - It is denoted as  $A \Rightarrow B$  and scad as A tuntologically miplies B'.

 $Eg:-(PAB) \Rightarrow B$ . Verify

P	9	PNOS	PNB -> B
TTFF	TFTF	T F F	† T T

- -> Laws of Logical Equivalence
  - · All the lofical equivalences can be proved using truth tables.

I Prove the De Horgan's how using a touth table.

Eg:-(1) Without constructing the bruth table show that  $P \to (O \to R) \iff (P \land B) \to R$ 

L.H.S = P-1(0+R) (>) P-> (NOVR) [since P-306) ~Pvg]

<=> ~P V (~a VR) [since P→8 (=) ~PV9]

( NP VNQ) VR [using associative bus]

(=) N(PNA) VR [using De Horgan's Caw]

(PAB) → R [since P→ Q €)
~PVQ] ④

Hence Proved

Eg:- @ wishout constructing the trush table, show that  $( P \land (PV8) ) \rightarrow 8$  is a tautology.

 $(NP \land (Pvg)) \rightarrow g$ 

(~) ~ (~P ∧ (PV8)) VB [since P+0€) ~ ~PV9]

(pv~ (PvO)) V Q [using De Hagan's law]

(PV (~P~~0)) vg [using De Horgan's (aw)]

((PUNP) A (PUNG)) VQ [using Distribution law]

( T∧ (PVNB)) VB [since PUNP∈)T]

E) PV (NOSV9) [using association (aw)

€) PVT [since QUNG €)T]

Hence, (NPA (PV9)) -> 8 is a Tautology.