

Genfunlib Developer Documentation

factorial moments!

Ideas and notes

Master's version: a selection of *Mathematica* implementations of generating function-related symbolic methods

possible components:

- code in .m files

- user documentation: tutorial, guide, help pages - only pointers to mathematical background, usage messages

 - formal ~~specific~~ *Asian* specification?

- tests

- developer documentation: this file and code comments

 - proofs of correctness?

The User Documentation doesn't talk about how the implementations compute; developer documentation does. Extra, additional information will be found in Andrew MacFie's master's thesis.

Programmatic formatting for Mathematica code - possible?

Syntax highlighting for your own functions

Setting Up Mathematica Packages

Making Mathematica packages

User documentation method:

- Authoring Using DocumentationTools

- Mathematica Development User Guide > Tasks > Mathematica Documentation

mathematical background - in the all documentation, point to references, we shouldn't write about that if it isn't necessary

Put Web links to the project on relevant Web pages

tell Wolfram|Alpha

Mathematica Library submission?

CapitalCase and usage messages for public symbols, lowerCase for private symbols

Writing user documentation last is OK as long as in-code documentation and this file are written diligently

private symbols are defined before the first public symbol downvalue they're used in

private symbols don't interfere with previously defined symbols in the *Mathematica* session (in "Global").

Species

Sage, aldor-combinat, book

Main objects: multisort, weighted, virtual species

Species are represented by expressions built up from predefined species and operations, with no particular head, i.e. not wrapped in **Species**

predefined species

- Empty
- Characteristic
- Cycle
- Partition
- Permutation
- Linear-order
- Set
- Subset

weighted species

singletons may be weighted with an expression

nonvirtual operations

- sum
- product
- partitional composition
- derivative
- pointing
- functorial composition
- powerset
- restriction

virtual operations

- multiplicative inverse
- combinatorial logarithm
- ...

toGF(eqn)s

- generating series
- isomorphism type generating series
- cycle index series
- partial types

What is the combinatorial interpretation of virtual species?

test subspecies relation

test species equality/isomorphism

reduced form of virtual species

test for being nontrivially virtual

molecular expansions

see Devmol [Auger]

Bonus: implicit species

Semantic input validation: see SymbolicMethod

GFeq2coefs

SV: differentiate eqn, set var to 0, solve

Note: for some ansatzes, there will be faster methods

e.g. repeatedly differentiating will take at least time exponential in $\log(n)$, but linear algorithms exist for rational series according to Jason

If derivatives are handled, initial values might also need to be handled.

MV: ? repeated SV?

Idea: use Series to compute derivatives

Note: **SeriesCoefficient** can be used for **Root** and **DifferentialRoot** expressions

input validation would be analogous to that for SymbolicMethod

By the way, AlgebraicNumbers can be manipulated like numbers, at least in *Mathematica*, for doing things like repeated-squaring exponentiation for fast rational function coefficient extraction.

GFeq2asymptoticCoef(gdev's equivalent)

Active research area: Bruno and <http://www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/~mcw/Research/mvGF/asymultseq/index.html#software>

Until research is done, just handle systems of equations that can be Solve'd.

SymbolicMethod

Todo: write Species subpackage, using code from here, then write SM→Species converter in this subpackage

Specification: **Spec** [{ **lhs==rhs** , ... } , **labeled?**]

the left hand sides are symbols representing classes, the right hand sides are expressions built with constructions, specification classes, and atomic and neutral classes

Labeled constructions: sum, product, seq, cycle, set, pointing, substitution

SMPlus , **SMTimes** , **SMSeq** , **SMCyc** , **SMSet** , **SMPointing** , **SMSub**

Unlabeled constructions: sum, product, seq, cycle, multiset, pointing, substitution

SMPlus , **SMTimes** , **SMSeq** , **SMCyc** , **SMSet** , **SMMultiset** , **SMPointing** , **SMSub**

Syntax for **SMPointing** : **SMPointing** [**class** , **paramNumber**]

Syntax for **SMSub** : **SMSub** [**baseClass** , **substitutedClass** , **paramNumber**]

Restrictions:

Number of components in final set/multiset/sequence/cycle object

Option for those heads: **Cardinality** → **predicateOnIntegers**

Parameter values of final objects

Restricted [**class** , { **atomicClassNum** → **func** , ... }] , where **func** is a predicate on the integers specifying the allowed set of values for parameter **atomicClassNum**

predicates must be symbolic-friendly (not PrimeQ) -- like in GeneratingFunction

Atomic class: **ZClass** [1] , Neutral class: **EClass**

Additional params:

additional atomic classes

ZClass [2] , **ZClass** [3] , ...

marked by `indeterminate [2], indeterminate [3], ...`

To GF eqns: `GFEqns [Spec [...], indeterminate]`

`ToGenfunlibSpec`

takes Comstruct grammar as a String and whether it's labeled and returns spec
for use with <http://algo.inria.fr/ecs/>
converts upper case symbols to doubled lower case symbols

Bonus: implicit specs

Bonus: attribute grammars

ref:[Mishna]

Bonus: semantic spec validity testing, a.k.a. checking for well definedness of a spec

Partial alg: [Bruno]; for MV: valuation computed for each param; two levels of iteration, one for each param, one for each nonterminal; for substitutions, a similar polynomial-checking step is needed

Areas for `restrictedSum` improvement:

`#≤a&&#≥b&`

RegularLanguages

This subpackage allows regular languages to be represented by any of the following regular language representations (RLRs): NFA, DFA, regular expression, right regular grammar, or directed graph with labeled vertices. Any RLR can be converted to any other. The following operations on RLRs are supported: union, intersection, complement, reverse, concatenation, star. Generating functions for regular expressions can be computed by specifying a weight/marker for each letter in the alphabet.

Re messages, from the Guidebook: "As a rule of thumb, messages are not generated for "symbolic" input if the function they appear in is used in classical mathematics. A scalar product is used in classical mathematics, so no message was produced in the last case. A table (a list) is not, so *Mathematica* produced a message."

-The FiniteFields package largely doesn't do input validation. It sometimes performs weakish syntactic validity checks, sometimes performs total semantic validity checks and sometimes sends error messages (on failure all checks result in an expression returning unevaluated).

-The Splines package does only weak syntactic input validation.

-*Mathematica* built-in downvalues validate **any** sequence of arguments and send messages on errors.

How to do efficient and simple input validation remains a mystery. The result of a successful RegUnion command, for example, is guaranteed to be valid RLR, but when it's passed to another function, it's checked for validity anyway. One option is for all functions to store the validity of their results right before they return them, by setting a downvalue of the "validate" symbol (validate[ret] = True; ret). This system could be altered by making the validate symbol only remember the last *n* such expressions. A somewhat-relevant reference is this.

If a public function calls another public function, it always passes valid input. One way to avoid unnecessary computation of the validity is to pass an option saying "validation not required"; another is for public functions never to call public functions.

Current validation scheme: Public downvalues call validation directly (right in their definition) unless told not to by the validationRequired option; private downvalues don't do validation. Using validationRequired saves some computation at the expense of more complicated code.

Data representations like DFA[_, _, _, _] don't do validation themselves, like RegularExpression and Graph in *Mathematica* built-in rules.

Validity-checking rules that return more information than True/False (i.e. return conditions) can have their extra info captured in a Module variable like this:

```
f[]:=Module[{valid,b=3},
(
Print[valid];
)/;(valid=validity[b])
];
```

The authors of *Combinatorica* say, “Our aim in introducing permutation groups into Combinatorica is primarily for solving combinatorial enumeration problems. We make no attempt to efficiently represent permutation groups or to solve many of the standard computational problems in group theory.” The situation for this package and automata/grammar algorithm performance is similar.

Letters are represented by nonempty **Strings**, words are represented by **Lists** of letters.

An alternative approach to providing RL functionality would have been to make a *J/Link* interface to brics.

■ Public (Exported) Symbols with Downvalues

Conversions

ToNFA

from **DFA**: via Regex
 from **Regex**
 uses nfa *, concat, union
 from **RRGrammar**: direct
 from **Digraph**: direct
 LineGraph construction

ToDFA

from **NFA**: powerset construction, minimize
 Todo: too slow
 Remove states from which no end state is accessible, from NFA
 Create only elements of the powerset that are possible
 Optimize code

from **Regex**: via NFA
 from **RRGrammar**: via NFA
 from **Digraph**: via NFA

ToRegex

from **NFA**: via DFA
 from **DFA**: state elimination algorithm
 from **RRGrammar**: via DFA
 from **Digraph**: via DFA

ToRRGrammar

from **NFA**: direct
 from **DFA**: via NFA
 from **Regex**: via NFA
 from **Digraph**: via NFA

ToDigraph

from **NFA**: via DFA
 from **DFA**: direct
 LineGraph construction
 from **Regex**: via DFA
 from **RRGrammar**: via DFA

Regex <-> RegularExpression conversion:

ToRegex [**RegularExpress** [...]]

ToRegularExpression [**Regex** [...]]

usage string for RegularExpression is joined to built-in one

Operations

The following take one of **DFA**, **NFA**, **Regex**, **RRGrammar**, **Digraph**

RegStar

via NFA

RegComplement

via DFA
 takes alphabet as second parameter
 equals $\text{alphabet}^* \setminus L(\text{dfa})$

RegReverse

via Regex

The following take two (of the same kind) of **DFA**, **NFA**, **Regex**, **RRGrammar**, **Digraph**

RegUnion

via NFA

RegConcat

via NFA

RegIntersection

via DFA

Todo: replace RegStar, RegUnion, RegConcat with grammar versions from contextFree.m, then delete contextFree.m

GFs

GeneratingFunction [**regex**, **rules**]

allow the user to provide a function mapping each letter to a symbol/"weight" in the form of Rules

Todo: SE: Can disambiguation be done in subexponential time?

Todo: add overload that allows more easily specifying that just, for example, z should be used for all letters

Bonus

Disambiguate

takes {**Regex**, **RRGrammar**, **Digraph**}

Digraph disambiguation is converting to a DFA and back

AmbiguousQ

takes {**Regex**, **RRGrammar**?, **NFA**?, **Digraph**}

ask on SE for "?" cases

ambiguity test via NFA test (see Book and Even papers -- is Book necessary, would ordinary construction

work?) or recursive test (see Brabrand and Thomsen)

“a***” is not considered ambiguous in Book, neither is “a* | b*”. *our* definition of ambiguity must include ϵ .

■ Representation Descriptions

NFA

NFA [numStates_Integer , alphabet_ , transitionMatrix_ ,
acceptStates_ ?VectorQ , initialState_]

number of states: integer ≥ 0 , where 0 states means null language

alphabet: sorted list of distinct strings, not containing "". A value of { } means the empty language or $\{\epsilon\}$.

transition matrix: numStates by alphabet size+1 matrix where entry i,j is a list of (valid) states accessible from state i and letter $j = \text{alphabet}[j]$. The (alphabet size+1) “letter” is ϵ .

if numStates = 0, transitionMatrix = { }

if alphabet = { }, transitionMatrix has one column (if there are any rows)

accept states: list of integers between 1 and number of states

initial state: integer between 1 and number of states, or Null iff numStates = 0

DFA

DFA [numStates_Integer , alphabet_ , transitionMatrix_ ,
acceptStates_ ?VectorQ , initialState_]

number of states: integer ≥ 0 , where 0 states means null language

alphabet: sorted list of distinct strings, not containing "". A value of { } means the empty language or $\{\epsilon\}$.

transition matrix: numStates by alphabet size matrix where entry i,j is the (valid) state accessible from state i and letter j .

if numStates = 0, transitionMatrix = { }

if alphabet = { }, transitionMatrix = { { }, { }, ... }

accept states: list of integers between 1 and number of states

initial state: integer between 1 and number of states or Null if numStates = 0

String Regular Expression

string, with wrapping head **RegularExpression** , containing [a-z,A-Z,0-9,*,(,),|,] and is a valid *Mathematica* regular expression (POSIX ERE I think)

Empty string accepts just ϵ

RegularExpression [Null] for empty language

Symbolic Regular Expression

expression with head **Regex** built up from nonempty strings, **EmptyWord** and **RegexStar** , **RegexConcat** , **RegexOr**

Regex [Null] is empty language

see **simplifyRawRegex** for more info

Right Regular Grammar

RRGrammar -wrapped list of rules in the form **sym_Symbol** \rightarrow RHS or **sym_Symbol** [n_Integer] \rightarrow RHS,

where RHS is either **EmptyWord** , a string, **sym_Symbol** , where **sym** is in a LHS, **sym_Symbol** [n_Integer] , where **sym** [n] is in a LHS,

RRGrammarConcat [str_String , **sym_Symbol**] ,

RRGrammarConcat [str_String , **sym_Symbol** [n_Integer]] , or **RRGrammarOr** [args__] , where

args is a sequence of those things. Strings cannot be empty.

An empty list corresponds to the null language.

Todo: the phrases underlined and bold are not uniformly adhered to

Digraph

Digraph [**graph_** , **startVertices_** , **endVertices_** , **eAccepted_**]

graph: a directed graph, with vertices labeled with nonempty strings

startVertices: list of vertices of graph; if empty: null language (ϵ may still be accepted). empty list means empty language (ϵ may still be accepted)

endVertices: list of vertices of graph; if empty: null language (ϵ may still be accepted). empty list means empty language (ϵ may still be accepted)

eAccepted: True if ϵ is accepted, False otherwise

Graph with 0 vertices means empty language (ϵ may still be accepted).

Bonus: words with occurrences of patterns

Bonus: accept more regex syntax

Bonus: extended symbolic regexes with symbolic parameters (“a” k times, etc.)

SE question

Util

egf2ogf, ogf2egf

method: Laplace transform

could also try manual method with **SeriesCoefficient** and **GeneratingFunction**

rec2GFeq

see also GeneratingFunctions

"override" **GeneratingFunction**

Areas for improvement:

GeneratingFunction [$n^k f[n]$, n, x]

GeneratingFunction [**Sum** [$c[i] * f[n]$, $\{i, 0, k\}$] , n, x]

GeneratingFunction [$f[n+i]$, n, x]

GeneratingFunction [**Sum** [$f[n+i]$, $\{i, 0, k\}$] , n, x]

GeneratingFunction [$\frac{1}{n+1} f[n]$, n, x]

GeneratingFunction [**Boole** [**Divisible** [$n, 2$]] , n, x]

GeneratingFunction [**Boole** [**Mod** [$n, 2$] == 0] $f[n]$, n, x]

GeneratingFunction [**Boole** [$n \geq 1$] $f[n]$, n, x]

GeneratingFunction [**UnitStep** [$n-k$] $f[n]$, n, x]

GFeq2rec

see also `SeriesCoefficient [DifferentialRoot [lde]], SeriesCoefficient [Root [ae]]` and `GeneratingFunctions`

“override” `SeriesCoefficient`

No way to represent `known(unknown(z))` compositions (can’t do “symbolic lists”)

`unknown(known(z), ...)` compositions can only be done (for the same reason) for fixed expressions like `unknown(k z)` or `unknown(k z, j z)`

ref/Series: “Series by default assumes symbolic functions to be analytic”

For singular functions, `SeriesCoefficient` can do rational-power expansions. In that case, the Cauchy product rule for series multiplication doesn’t hold. To use a simplification rule for products of series, we have to determine whether the factors are analytic. Doing that automatically would be an interesting challenge, however, for simplicity, we merely allow the user to specify when the factors should simply be assumed to be analytic. But... built-in functions cannot have new options added.

Also, negative-power expansions can be done, so sometimes that possibility should be ignored.

Current system: global variable called `$FullAnalytic`, which, if true, means that the Cauchy product rule is assumed always applicable.

Todo: recurse over second-argument lists (MV support)

Areas for improvement:

`SeriesCoefficient [Sum[f[k[i]*x], {i,1,m}], {x,0,n}]`