

CSCI 411 - Advanced Algorithms - Assignment 1

1) Asymptotic ordering (smallest \rightarrow largest)

$\Theta(1)$:

2, 52! (both constants; no growth)

$\Theta(\ln n)$:

$\ln(n^2) (= 2 \ln n)$

$\Theta((\ln n)^2)$:

$\ln^2(n)$

$\Theta(n^\alpha)$ (polynomial, $\alpha=1/5$ then 1 then 3):

$n^{1/5} < n < n^3$

$\Theta(n \log n)$:

$n \ln(n)$, $\log_2((4n)^n)$ (since $\log_2((4n)^n) = n \cdot \log_2(4n) = 2n + n \cdot \log_2 n = \Theta(n \log n)$)

Exponentials:

$(3/2)^n < 2^n$

Super-exponential:

$n!$

Exact $f_1=O(f_2), f_2=O(f_3), \dots$ order:

ORDER (smallest \rightarrow largest):

1) 2

2) 52!

3) $\ln(n^2)$

4) $(\ln n)^2$

5) $n^{1/5}$

6) n

7) $n \ln n$

8) $\log_2((4n)^n)$ Evaluating: $= n \cdot \log_2(4n) = 2n + n \cdot \log_2 n = \Theta(n \log n)$

9) n^3

10) $(3/2)^n$

11) 2^n

12) $n!$

Grouping Equivalent:

$\{2, 52!\} < \ln(n^2) < \ln^2(n) < n^{1/5} < n < \{n \ln n, \log_2((4n)^n)\} < n^3 < (3/2)^n < 2^n < n!$

2)

a)

QuickSortPivotLast(A):

A is a list of real numbers

if length(A) ≤ 1 then

return A

p ← A[last] # pivot = last element

L ← empty list # elements ≤ p

R ← empty list # elements > p

for i ← 0 to length(A)-2 do # all except the last element

 e ← A[i]

 if e ≤ p then

 append e to L

 else

 append e to R

L' ← QuickSortPivotLast(L) # recursively sort L

R' ← QuickSortPivotLast(R) # recursively sort R

return concatenate(L', [p], R')

```

"""
QuickSortPivotLast(A):
    # A is a list of real numbers
    if length(A) ≤ 1 then
        return A

    p ← A[last]           # pivot = last element
    L ← empty list         # elements ≤ p
    R ← empty list         # elements > p

    for i ← 0 to length(A)-2 do # all except the last element
        e ← A[i]
        if e ≤ p then
            append e to L
        else
            append e to R

    L' ← QuickSortPivotLast(L) # recursively sort L
    R' ← QuickSortPivotLast(R) # recursively sort R

    return concatenate(L', [p], R')

```

b)

Worst case: $\Theta(n^2)$.

, Explanation:

This is the algorithm:

Given a list A:

1) If $\text{len}(A) \leq 1$, return A (already sorted).

2) Let p = last element of A (the pivot).

3) Scan all other elements once:

- If $e \leq p$, put e into L

- If $e > p$, put e into R

4) Recursively sort L and R to get L' and R'

5) Return $L' + [p] + R'$

“Worst case” is an input that makes the algorithm do as much work as possible.

With this pivot rule (“always use the last element” and send $e \leq p$ to L), the worst case is when the partition is *maximally unbalanced*: one side has $n-1$ elements and the other has 0.

Which means:

If the array is:

- Sorted ascending: $[a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n]$

Pivot $p = a_n$ (the maximum). Every other element is $\leq p \rightarrow$ all $n-1$ go to L, $R = \emptyset$.

- Sorted descending: pivot is the minimum. Every other element goes to R $\rightarrow L = \emptyset$, $R = n-1$.

- All equal: every element satisfies $e \leq p \rightarrow$ all $n-1$ go to L ($R = \emptyset$).

Because the pivot choice never improves, each recursive step reduces the problem size by only 1 ($n \rightarrow n-1 \rightarrow n-2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1$).

Each level of recursion still scans its whole sublist once, so:

Total work = $n + (n-1) + (n-2) + \dots + 1 = n(n+1)/2 \approx (1/2) \cdot n^2 \rightarrow \Theta(n^2)$

Recurrence (worst case):

Base: $T(0) = T(1) = \Theta(1)$,

- Worst case partition: sizes $(n-1, 0)$

- One linear scan per call: $\Theta(n)$

$T(n) = T(n-1) + T(0) + \Theta(n) = T(n-1) + \Theta(n)$,

where $\Theta(n)$ is the linear work to scan/partition (and concatenate).

This results in:

$T(n) = \Theta(n) + \Theta(n-1) + \dots + \Theta(1) = \Theta(n^2)$.

Therefore, the worst-case asymptotic runtime is $\Theta(n^2)$.

2c)

Let $T(n)$ be the runtime on an input of size n .

Base cases:

$T(0) = \Theta(1)$

$T(1) = \Theta(1)$

For $n \geq 2$:

remove pivot (1 element), split the other $n-1$ elements evenly, and do a linear scan

$$T(n) = T(\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil) + \Theta(n)$$

Equal-sizes shorthand (common, when rounding is ignored):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T((n-1)/2) + \Theta(n)$$

Standard simplified form (asymptotically equivalent):

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$$

2d)

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$$

We compare to the form: $T(n) = a T(n/b) + f(n)$

Parameters:

$$a = 2, \quad b = 2, \quad f(n) = \Theta(n)$$

Critical exponent:

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n^1 = n$$

Comparison:

$$f(n) = \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

This matches **Case 2** of the Master Theorem (the "balanced" case):

$$\text{If } f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \cdot \log^k n) \text{ with } k = 0,$$

$$\text{then } T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \cdot \log^{k+1} n) = \Theta(n \cdot \log n).$$

Conclusion:

The asymptotic runtime is:

$$\mathbf{T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)}$$

3)

a) A, B and C:

Edge $u \rightarrow v$: “u is **at least as good** as v head-to-head” (u has beaten v \geq v has beaten u).

Weakly dominates u; v: there’s a **path** from u to v (maybe via other players). So, u is **not worse than** v by chaining comparisons.

This is like a strongly connected component such that group players who can reach each other both ways like from u to v and v to u.

Set A:

A is the set of players u such that (1) if v ; u, then u ; v and (2) there is some player w such that u ; w but w \nless u.

This set is a group such that no outside group players can reach, which means there are no arrows into the group and the group has at least one outgoing arrow.

Set B:

B is the set of players u such that (1) if u ; v, then v ; u and (2) there is some player w such that w ; u but u \nless w

Players in a **group that cannot reach any outside group** (no outgoing arrows), **and some outside group reaches them** (has an incoming arrow).

Set C:

C is the set of all players not included in A or B

Everyone not in A or B. This includes groups with **both** incoming and outgoing arrows (true “middle”), and groups with **no arrows at all**

Finding the size:

1) Draw arrows between players

For every pair (u, v):

- Draw an arrow $u \rightarrow v$ if u has at least as many wins vs v as v has vs u.
(So u is **at least as good** as v head-to-head.)

2) Make “groups” of tied/cyclic players

If we can travel by arrows both ways between two players (u reaches v *and* v reaches u), put them in the same group.

- A group can be one player (if no two-way reach) or multiple players (if there’s a loop/tie among them).

3) Look only between groups

Now we treat each group like one super-player.

Draw an arrow Group X \rightarrow Group Y if any player in X had an arrow to any player in Y.

4) Classifying groups, then counting players

- **Top group (goes to A):**
No arrows coming in from other groups, and at least one arrow going out to another group.
 \rightarrow All players in these groups are in A.
- **Bottom group (goes to B):**
At least one arrow coming in, and no arrows going out to other groups.
 \rightarrow All players in these groups are in B.
- **Everything else (goes to C):**
Groups that have both in and out arrows (they're in the middle), or no arrows at all (isolated tie-group).
 \rightarrow All players in these groups are in C.

Finally:

- $|A|$ = add up the number of players inside all top groups.
- $|B|$ = add up the number of players inside all bottom groups.
- $|C|$ = everyone else = total players $- |A| - |B|$.

An example to show how I approached it:

Players: P1, P2, P3, P4, P5

Arrows (from head-to-head records):

- $P1 \leftrightarrow P2$ (two-way arrows)
- $P1 \rightarrow P3, P2 \rightarrow P3$
- $P1 \rightarrow P4, P2 \rightarrow P4$
- $P4 \leftrightarrow P5$

Step 2 (make groups):

- Group G1 = {P1, P2} (they reach each other both ways)
- Group G2 = {P3}
- Group G3 = {P4, P5} (they reach each other both ways)

Step 3 (between groups):

- From G1 to G2 (because P1 or P2 had arrows to P3)
- From G1 to G3 (because P1 or P2 had arrows to P4; P4 ties with P5 so it counts as the same group)
- No arrows from G2 to anywhere; no arrows from G3 to anywhere.

Step 4 (classify):

- G1 has no incoming arrows and does have outgoing → Top group → players {P1, P2} go to A.
- G2 has incoming (from G1) and no outgoing → Bottom group → player {P3} goes to B.
- G3 has incoming (from G1) and no outgoing → Bottom group → players {P4, P5} go to B.

Counts:

- $|A| = 2$ (P1, P2)
- $|B| = 3$ (P3, P4, P5)
- $|C| = 0$ (no middle/isolated groups in this example)

If we added a player P6 with no arrows to or from anyone, they'd form their own group with no in and no out—that group goes to C. Then $|C|$ would be 1.

3b) **Explaining everything using comments line by line and providing a picture of exact code without comments below explanation:**

getSetSizes(G):

Input: directed graph $G = (V, E)$

Output: ($|A|$, $|B|$, $|C|$)

1) Building groups (SCCs) and between-group edges.

(U, F, compld) ← makeSCCs(G) # U: list of groups, F: edges between groups, compld[v]: group of v

Each $U[i]$ is the set/list of original players in group i

#F: a list of directed edges between groups (pairs (x, y) meaning group x → group y)

#compld: a map/array so that compld[v] is the index i of the group $U[i]$ that player v belongs to.

$k \leftarrow |U|$

2) Counting players in each group.

size[0 to k-1] ← 0 # k is number of groups

#Making an array called size with one slot per group (indices 0, 1, to k-1).

#Initializing all counts to 0. (So size[u] will store “how many players are in group u”.)

for each v in V do #going through every player v

u ← compId[v] # looking up which group u player v belongs to

size[u] ← size[u] + 1 # incrementing the count for group u

3) Compute in/out-degrees for each group.

indeg[0..k-1] ← 0 # making two arrays of length k, filling with zeros.

outdeg[0..k-1] ← 0

for each (x, y) in F do

outdeg[x] ← outdeg[x] + 1 #Increasing outdeg[x] because $x \rightarrow y$ means x has one more outgoing arrow.

indeg[y] ← indeg[y] + 1 #Increasing indeg[y] because y has one more incoming arrow.

4) Classify groups and sum sizes.

sizeA ← 0; sizeB ← 0; sizeC ← 0 #counters for sizes of sets A, B, C , Each will hold the number of players in A, B, and C.

for u from 0 to k-1 do #Going through each group u

#Checking if group u has no incoming edges (indeg[u]=0) and at least one outgoing (outdeg[u]>0) in the group-graph.

#That means no outside group can reach u, but u does reach someone → this is a TOP group (belongs to set A).

if indeg[u] = 0 and outdeg[u] > 0 then

#Adding all players in this group to A's total. (size[u] was computed earlier as the number of players in group u.)

sizeA ← sizeA + size[u] # TOP → A

#Otherwise, if there is no outgoing and some incoming, then others reach u but u reaches no one → this is a BOTTOM group (set B).

else if outdeg[u] = 0 and indeg[u] > 0 then

sizeB ← sizeB + size[u] # BOTTOM → B

#All remaining cases: either both incoming and outgoing (a middle group), or neither incoming nor outgoing (an isolated group).

else

$sizeC \leftarrow sizeC + size[u]$ # MIDDLE or ISOLATED $\rightarrow C$ (includes $in=out=0$)

return (sizeA, sizeB, sizeC)

clear code:

```
getSetSizes(G):
    (U, F, compId) ← makeSCCs(G)
    k ← |U|

    size[0..k-1] ← 0
    for each v in V do
        u ← compId[v]
        size[u] ← size[u] + 1

    indeg[0..k-1] ← 0
    outdeg[0..k-1] ← 0
    for each (x, y) in F do
        outdeg[x] ← outdeg[x] + 1
        indeg[y] ← indeg[y] + 1

    sizeA ← 0; sizeB ← 0; sizeC ← 0
    for u from 0 to k-1 do
        if indeg[u] = 0 and outdeg[u] > 0 then
            sizeA ← sizeA + size[u]
        else if outdeg[u] = 0 and indeg[u] > 0 then
            sizeB ← sizeB + size[u]
        else
            sizeC ← sizeC + size[u]

    return (sizeA, sizeB, sizeC)
```

3C) Let:

- $n = |V|$ = number of players (vertices) in the original graph
- $m = |E|$ = number of head-to-head “at least as many wins” edges
- After grouping ties into SCCs, let $k = |U|$ (number of groups) and $f = |F|$ (edges between groups).

Step 1 — Building SCC groups and the between-group graph

- Work: `makeSCCs(G)` visits each vertex and edge a constant number of times.
- Time: $O(n + m)$

Step 2 — Count players in each group

- Loop over every original vertex once; increase a counter for its group.
- Time: $O(n)$

Step 3 — Computing “has incoming / has outgoing” for each group

- Initialized two arrays of length k : $O(k)$
- Scanning each between-group edge (x, y) once; update `outdeg[x]`, `indeg[y]`: $O(f)$
- Since $k \leq n$ and $f \leq m$, total here is $O(k + f) \subseteq O(n + m)$

Step 4 — Classify each group and add its size

- One pass over the k groups to decide A/B/C and sum sizes.
- Time: $O(k) \subseteq O(n)$

\subseteq - subset or equal and the above steps are just a pseudo code simplification to compute TC and

Total time = $O(n + m) + O(n) + O(n + m) + O(n) = O(n + m)$

3d)

`rankPlayers(G)`:

#makeSCCs(G) returns U: list of SCCs (groups). k is how many groups. F: edges between SCCs (group x → group y). compId[v]: which SCC (index) each original vertex v belongs to

$(U, F, \text{compId}) \leftarrow \text{makeSCCs}(G)$

$k \leftarrow |U|$

members[0..k-1] ← empty lists

for each v in V do

$u \leftarrow \text{compId}[v]$

append v to $\text{members}[u]$

*# Making group ($\text{members}[u]$) for each SCC u , and drop each player into the group of their SCC.
(Within a group, players are tied, so any order among them is fine.. For each metagraph edge $x \rightarrow y$: increase $\text{outdeg}[x]$ and $\text{indeg}[y]$. ,Record y in $\text{adj}[x]$ so we can traverse later.*

$\text{indeg}[0..k-1] \leftarrow 0$

$\text{outdeg}[0..k-1] \leftarrow 0$

$\text{adj}[0..k-1] \leftarrow \text{empty lists}$

for each (x, y) in F do

$\text{outdeg}[x] \leftarrow \text{outdeg}[x] + 1$

$\text{indeg}[y] \leftarrow \text{indeg}[y] + 1$

append y to $\text{adj}[x]$

A (top): no one points into ($\text{indeg}=0$), but you point to someone ($\text{outdeg}>0$).

B (bottom): others point to ($\text{indeg}>0$), but you point to no one ($\text{outdeg}=0$).

C (middle/isolated): everything else (both in & out, or neither \Rightarrow isolated).

$\text{label}[0..k-1]$

for u from 0 to $k-1$ do

if $\text{indeg}[u] = 0$ and $\text{outdeg}[u] > 0$ then

$\text{label}[u] \leftarrow "A"$

else if $\text{outdeg}[u] = 0$ and $\text{indeg}[u] > 0$ then

$\text{label}[u] \leftarrow "B"$

else

$\text{label}[u] \leftarrow "C"$

Copying indegrees to indeg2 so we don't lose the original labels info. Starting with all SCCs that have no incoming edges (indeg2=0). Repeatedly taking one, putting it in topo, and "remove" its outgoing edges by decrementing neighbors' indegrees; when a neighbor hits 0, push it. Result: topo lists SCCs so that every edge goes from earlier to later—perfect for ranking by reachability.

```
indeg2[0..k-1] ← indeg  
Q ← all u with indeg2[u] = 0  
topo ← empty list  
while Q not empty do  
  x ← pop(Q)  
  append x to topo  
  for each y in adj[x] do  
    indeg2[y] ← indeg2[y] - 1  
    if indeg2[y] = 0 then push(Q, y)
```

We pass through the **same topo order three times**: Append all **A** groups (so A's come first, and still respect topo among themselves). Then all **C** groups (middle). Finally all **B** groups (last). When we "append members[u]", we dump the actual player IDs of that SCC into the result. Inside one SCC, order doesn't matter (they're tied).

```
order ← empty list  
for each u in topo do  
  if label[u] = "A" then append members[u] to order  
for each u in topo do  
  if label[u] = "C" then append members[u] to order  
for each u in topo do
```

if label[u] = "B" then append members[u] to order

return order