



# ACTION LEARNING EVALUATION

## Spring 2022

Group Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

Individual grades might vary from the team grade based on the student's contribution throughout the project period.

Student names	Specialization	Grade
		/20
		/20
		/20
		/20
		/20

Project description:

Jury members:

Sponsor: NAME

Role of 2<sup>nd</sup> jurist: NAME

Additional Jury members:

*Summary – details are on page 2*

	GRADE
• 1) Realization (weight is 75%)	/ 20
• 2) Working methodology (weight is 25%)	/ 20
PROJECT GRADE = (75% X #1) + (25% X #2)	/ 20
WEIGHTED PROJECT GRADE X difficulty coefficient	/ 20

Difficulty coefficient of the project as delivered (not as it was specified) = K;

Very easy Project K = ,8

Easy Project K = ,9

Average Project K = 1

Difficult Project K = 1,1

Very difficult Project K = 1,2

**OBSERVATIONS:**.....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....  
 .....

(can be continued on an additional page)

<b>Realization evaluation (75%)</b>	<b>GRADE</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Development as completed, using state of the art (see factors)</b> Included in here is a 7 minute pitch/presentation of what you did (This is not a PPT presentation, use very few or no PPT slides)</li> <li>• <b>Thesis (see breakout below)</b></li> <li>• <b>Poster</b></li> </ul>	/ 9  / 9 / 2
<b>Total Evaluation Realization</b>	<b>/ 20</b>

<b>Evaluation factors for State of the Art</b>	<b>Weight of factors</b>
Technical factors plus commercial factor(s) if applicable	
• Primary factor (advisor to provide)	<b>50%</b>
• Secondary factor 1 (advisor to provide)	<b>25%</b>
• Secondary factor 2 (advisor to provide)	<b>25%</b>

<b>Working methodology (25%)</b>	<b>GRADE</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Results achieved when compared to specification requirements.</li> <li>• Quality of the work (methodology, realization, ...)</li> <li>• Project Management (how work was managed by the team, respect of deadlines, regular and consistent progress, proactivity, equal contribution of all team members)</li> </ul>	/ 04 / 08 / 08
<b>Total Evaluation Working methodology</b>	<b>/ 20</b>

<b>Thesis Evaluation</b>	<b>GRADE</b>
State of the art factors listed above will be considered.	
• Relevance, quality, and documentation of the research	<b>/3</b>
• Balance, depth, and breadth of the thesis	<b>/ 3</b>
• Writing quality (see the 10Cs)	<b>/ 3</b>
<b>Total Grade Thesis</b>	<b>/09</b>

**Date:**

**Jury Members**

Printed name \_\_\_\_\_ Signature

Printed name \_\_\_\_\_ Signature

Printed name \_\_\_\_\_ Signature