

COL341 Homework 2

• Q1

Solution.

The primal problem corresponding to the soft SVM is

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\omega, b} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \omega^T \omega + C \sum_{n=1}^N \zeta_n \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_n(\omega^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \geq 1 - \zeta_n \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n \geq 0 \quad (n = 1, \dots, N) \end{aligned}$$

The constraints in the standard form are

$$\begin{aligned} y_n(\omega^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) - 1 + \zeta_n &\geq 0 \quad (n = 1, \dots, N) \\ \zeta_n &\geq 0 \quad (n = 1, \dots, N) \end{aligned}$$

Using the KKT conditions, taking the lagrange multipliers with constraints as $\alpha_n \geq 0$ and $\beta_n \geq 0$ ($n = 1, \dots, N$) we obtain the optimisation function under the above and lagrange multiplier constraints as follows

$$\max_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\min_{\omega, b, \zeta_n} \frac{1}{2} \omega^T \omega + C \sum_{n=1}^N \zeta_n - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (y_n(\omega^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) - 1 + \zeta_n) - \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \zeta_n \right)$$

We define

$$L(\omega, b, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^T \omega + C \sum_{n=1}^N \zeta_n - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (y_n(\omega^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) - 1 + \zeta_n) - \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \zeta_n$$

L can be written as

$$L(\omega, b, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \omega^T \omega + \sum_{n=1}^N (C - \alpha_n - \beta_n) \zeta_n - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (y_n(\omega^T \mathbf{x}_n) - 1) - b \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n$$

Now we need to apply the following conditions on L

$$\nabla_{\omega} L = 0, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial \zeta_n} = 0 \quad (n = 1, \dots, N)$$

Applying the first condition

$$\nabla_{\omega} L = \omega - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n = 0$$

This gives us

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n$$

Applying the second condition

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0$$

This gives us

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0$$

Applying the third condition

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \zeta_n} = C - \alpha_n - \beta_n = 0 \quad (n = 1, \dots, N)$$

This gives us

$$C - \alpha_n - \beta_n = 0 \quad (n = 1, \dots, N)$$

Substituting these three values into L we get

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right)^T \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right) + \sum_{n=1}^N (0) \zeta_n - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (y_n \left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right)^T \mathbf{x}_n \right) - 1) - b \cdot 0$$

$$L(\boldsymbol{\omega}, b, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n^T \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right) - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (y_n \left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n^T \right) \mathbf{x}_n \right) - 1)$$

$$L(\boldsymbol{\omega}, b, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n^T \alpha_m y_m \mathbf{x}_m - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n^T \alpha_m y_m \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

$$L(\boldsymbol{\omega}, b, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{x}_n^T \alpha_m y_m \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

$$L(\boldsymbol{\omega}, b, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \alpha_n \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

As we can see, L no longer depends on $\boldsymbol{\omega}, b, \zeta$ or β

$$L(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \alpha_n \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

The optimisation problem now is

$$\max_{\alpha, \beta} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \alpha_n \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

which is equivalent to

$$\min_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \alpha_n \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

under the initial constraints $\alpha_n \geq 0$ and $\beta_n \geq 0$ and the conditions obtained from the above calculation:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0 \text{ and } C - \alpha_n - \beta_n = 0 \text{ (} n = 1, \dots, N \text{)}$$

From the condition $(C - \alpha_n - \beta_n = 0, (n = 1, \dots, N))$ we get $(\alpha_n = C - \beta_n, (n = 1, \dots, N))$ and since $(\beta_n \geq 0, (n = 1, \dots, N))$ which means $(C - \beta_n \leq C, (n = 1, \dots, N))$, we get the constraint

$$\alpha_n \leq C, (n = 1, \dots, N)$$

Combining this with the constraint $(\alpha_n \geq 0, (n=1, \dots, N))$ we get the new constraint

$$0 \leq \alpha_n \leq C, (n = 1, \dots, N)$$

Since the expression to be minimised does not contain β , it does depend on the constraint $(\beta_n \geq 0 (n = 1, \dots, N))$ Hence, the final optimisation problem is obtained as follows

$$\min_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \alpha_n \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n$$

under the constraints

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha_n \leq C \text{ (} n = 1, \dots, N \text{)}$$

• Q2. 1

Proof. By definition $\|A\|^2 = A^T A$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right)^T \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right)$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n^T \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right)$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n^T y_m \mathbf{x}_m$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m$$

□

• Q2. 2

Proof. If $n = m$ then

$$y_n y_m = y_n y_n = y_n^2$$

y_n can take values +1 and -1. In either case $y_n^2 = 1$. Hence, when $n = m$ then $y_n y_m = 1$.

$$P(y_n y_m = 1 \mid n = m) = 1$$

When $n \neq m$ then we need n and m with y_n and y_m of opposite signs to get $y_n y_m = -1$.

$$P(y_n y_m = -1 \mid n \neq m) = \frac{\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \cdot \binom{N}{2}}$$

$$P(y_n y_m = -1 \mid n \neq m) = \frac{\frac{N^2}{2}}{2 \cdot \frac{N(N-1)}{2}}$$

$$P(y_n y_m = -1 \mid n \neq m) = \frac{N}{2(N-1)}$$

Similarly we can calculate

$$P(y_n y_m = 1 \mid n \neq m) = \frac{2 \cdot \left(\frac{N}{2}\right) + 2 \cdot \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \cdot \binom{N}{2}}$$

$$P(y_n y_m = 1 \mid n \neq m) = \frac{4 \cdot \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \cdot \binom{N}{2}}$$

$$P(y_n y_m = 1 | n \neq m) = \frac{2 \cdot \frac{(\frac{N}{2})(\frac{N}{2}-1)}{2}}{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}$$

$$P(y_n y_m = 1 | n \neq m) = \frac{2 \cdot (\frac{N}{2})(\frac{N}{2} - 1)}{N(N-1)}$$

$$P(y_n y_m = 1 | n \neq m) = \frac{(\frac{N}{2} - 1)}{N-1}$$

Using these calculations we can say

$$\text{when } n = m: E[y_n y_m] = 1.P(y_n y_m = 1 | n = m) + (-1).P(y_n y_m = -1 | n = m)$$

$$\text{when } n = m: E[y_n y_m] = 1.1 + (-1).0$$

We get, when $n = m$: $E[y_n y_m] = 1$

Similarly, we can say

$$\text{when } n \neq m: E[y_n y_m] = 1.P(y_n y_m = 1 | n \neq m) + (-1).P(y_n y_m = -1 | n \neq m)$$

$$\text{when } n \neq m: E[y_n y_m] = 1 \cdot \frac{(\frac{N}{2} - 1)}{N-1} + (-1) \cdot \frac{N}{2(N-1)}$$

$$\text{when } n \neq m: E[y_n y_m] = 1 \cdot \frac{(N-2)}{2(N-1)} + \frac{(-N)}{2(N-1)}$$

$$\text{when } n \neq m: E[y_n y_m] = \frac{(-2)}{2(N-1)}$$

$$\text{when } n \neq m: E[y_n y_m] = \frac{-1}{N-1}$$

From this, we conclude

$$E[y_n y_m] = \begin{cases} 1, & m = n \\ -\frac{1}{N-1}, & m \neq n \end{cases}$$

□

• Q2. 3

Proof.

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = E \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m \right]$$

Using linearity of expectations

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N E [y_n y_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m]$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{m=1, m=n}^N E [y_n y_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m] + \sum_{m=1, m \neq n}^N E [y_n y_m \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m] \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{m=1, m=n}^N E [y_n y_m] \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{m=1, m \neq n}^N E [y_n y_m] \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m \right)$$

Using the expectation values obtained in part 2

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{m=1, m=n}^N 1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m + \sum_{m=1, m \neq n}^N \left(\frac{-1}{N-1} \right) \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n + \left(\frac{-1}{N-1} \right) \mathbf{x}_n^T \sum_{m=1, m \neq n}^N \mathbf{x}_m \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n + \left(\frac{-1}{N-1} \right) \mathbf{x}_n^T \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \mathbf{x}_m - \mathbf{x}_n \right) \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n + \left(\frac{-1}{N-1} \right) \mathbf{x}_n^T (N \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}_n) \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n + \left(\frac{-N \mathbf{x}_n^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}}{N-1} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n}{N-1} \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{N(\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n)}{N-1} + \left(\frac{-N \mathbf{x}_n^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}}{N-1} \right) \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \bar{\mathbf{x}})$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \right)$$

Modifying to the form required (add subtract $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ to \mathbf{x}_n^T)

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^T - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T + \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T) (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^T - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T) (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^T - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T) (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{x}_n - \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{n=1}^N 1 \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T N \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \cdot N \right)$$

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + N \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} - N \bar{\mathbf{x}}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \right)$$

which gives us the required expression

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2$$

□

• Q2. 4

Proof. We first prove the hint that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 \text{ is minimised at } \boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n$$

Expanding the expression we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \\ \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}) \end{aligned}$$

We minimise the RHS expression by taking its derivative and equating it to 0

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 \right) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} (\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{x}_n) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} (\mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} (\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu})) = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (0 - (\mathbf{x}_n) - (\mathbf{x}_n) + 2(\boldsymbol{\mu})) = 0$$

$$-2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\mu} = 0$$

$$-2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + 2N\boldsymbol{\mu} = 0$$

$$2N\boldsymbol{\mu} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n$$

We can confirm that this is the minimum by taking the double derivative of the original expression

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 \right) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right)$$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 \right) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \left(-2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n + 2N\boldsymbol{\mu} \right)$$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 \right) = -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{0} + 2N \cdot \mathbf{1}$$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 \right) = 2N$$

$$2N > 0$$

As the double derivative is positive, we can confirm that we have obtained the minimum. So, we have proved the hint.

Now using the result obtained we can say that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \left\| \mathbf{x}_n - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{0}\|^2 \text{ as the minimum is obtained at } \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n$$

Using $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n$ we write

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n\|^2$$

And from the information given in the question that $\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq R$, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq R^2$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^N R^2 = R^2 \sum_{n=1}^N 1 = NR^2$$

Using this result in the previously obtained inequality we can write

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n\|^2 \leq NR^2$$

which is the result we were trying to prove. □

• Q2. 5

Proof. Using the result obtained in part 4:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 \leq NR^2$$

we can say that

$$\frac{N}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 \leq \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1}$$

Now using this inequality in the result obtained in part 3

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \frac{N}{N-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|^2$$

we can conclude that

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1}$$

Using this result we now have to show that

$$P \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq \frac{NR}{\sqrt{N-1}} \right] > 0$$

Proof (by contradiction):

Let us assume that

$$P \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq \frac{NR}{\sqrt{N-1}} \right] = 0$$

which is equivalent to saying

$$P \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \leq \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1} \right] = 0 \text{ and } P \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 > \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1} \right] = 1$$

This means that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 > \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1}$$

We also know by definition of expectation that

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 P \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \leq \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1} \right] + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 P \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 > \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1} \right] \\
&E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 .0 + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 .1 \\
&E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \\
&E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] > \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1}
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that

$$E \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \right] \leq \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1}$$

Hence our assumption is wrong.

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$P \left[\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq \frac{NR}{\sqrt{N-1}} \right] > 0$$

This means for some choice of y_n , $\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq NR/\sqrt{N-1}$ □

- VC Dimension Upper Bound

Proof. The above 5 parts are enough to show that there exist a balanced dichotomy y_1, \dots, y_n s.t.

$$\sum_{n=1}^N y_n = 0, \text{ and } \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq \frac{NR}{\sqrt{N-1}}$$

As these N points are being shattered, they can be separated by the SVM with margin at least ρ . So, for some $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and b , we have

$$\rho \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\| \leq y_n (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \quad \forall n$$

Let us call our VC dimension N , i.e. N is the maximum number of points that can be shattered by the SVM with margin ρ .

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq \frac{NR}{\sqrt{N-1}}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2 \leq \frac{N^2 R^2}{N-1}$$

$$\frac{R^2}{N-1} \geq \frac{\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2}{N^2}$$

We keep this expression on hold for now.

As we had seen

$$\rho \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\| \leq y_n (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \quad \forall n$$

Since this is true for every n , summing this over all n we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \rho \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N (y_n (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b))$$

$$N \rho \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N y_n (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_n) + \sum_{n=1}^N y_n b$$

$$N \rho \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N y_n (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_n) + b \sum_{n=1}^N y_n$$

$$N \rho \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N y_n (\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_n) + b \cdot 0$$

$$N\rho||\boldsymbol{\omega}|| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{x}_n$$

$$N\rho||\boldsymbol{\omega}|| \leq \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n$$

$$N\rho||\boldsymbol{\omega}|| \leq \langle \boldsymbol{\omega}, \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \rangle$$

Squaring both sides

$$N^2 \rho^2 ||\boldsymbol{\omega}||^2 \leq \left(\langle \boldsymbol{\omega}, \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \rangle \right)^2$$

Using the Cauchy Schwartz inequality

$$N^2 \rho^2 ||\boldsymbol{\omega}||^2 \leq ||\boldsymbol{\omega}||^2 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2$$

$$N^2 \rho^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2$$

$$\rho^2 \leq \frac{\left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|^2}{N^2}$$

Substituting this into the expression we had put on hold, we get

$$\frac{R^2}{N-1} \geq \rho^2$$

$$\frac{R^2}{\rho^2} \geq N-1$$

$$N-1 \leq \frac{R^2}{\rho^2}$$

$$N \leq \frac{R^2}{\rho^2} + 1$$

Also

$$\frac{R^2}{\rho^2} \leq \left\lceil \frac{R^2}{\rho^2} \right\rceil$$

We do this because N is an integer. Hence, we get the final result:

$$N \leq \left\lceil \frac{R^2}{\rho^2} \right\rceil + 1$$

which is the required bound on the VC dimension of the SVM with margin ρ

$$d_{vc(\rho)} \leq \left\lceil \frac{R^2}{\rho^2} \right\rceil + 1$$

□