

**Make sure you explain all your answers clearly and in detail. Answers such as “2” or “Yes” will be treated as incomplete and won’t receive full credit.**

**Exercise 1** A simple definition of linear code over a generic finite field would be the following.

“A linear code over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a set  $\mathcal{C}$  of vectors  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  called *codewords* which satisfy the condition  $Hc^T = 0$  for a certain  $r \times n$  matrix  $H$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  called *parity-check matrix*”.

**Exercise 2** Consider the code  $\mathcal{C}$  given by the following generator matrix.

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- a)  $\mathcal{C}$  has length  $n = 7$  (number of columns of  $G$ ) and dimension  $k = 3$  (number of rows of  $G$ ).
- b) We have  $\mathcal{C} = \{0000000, 0110011, 0100101, 1000011, 0010110, 1110000, 1100110, 1010101\}$ . The simplest way to obtain these is to multiply all possible messages (binary vectors of length 3) by the generator matrix. In total there are  $2^k = 2^3 = 8$  codewords.
- c) The minimum distance of this code corresponds to the lowest weight of its codewords, hence we have  $d = 3$ . So this code can correct  $\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor = 1$  error.
- d) We row-reduce and in particular all we need to do is replace the third row with the sum of the second and third row. Thus:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- e) A parity-check matrix can be found from the systematic generator by transposing the non-identity part and adjoining a new identity matrix on the right (respecting dimension and co-dimension).

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- f) To verify that  $H$  is a parity-check matrix we can simply check that  $H \cdot G^T = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Exercise 3** Consider again the code  $\mathcal{C}$  and suppose to receive the word  $y = 1010111$ .

- a) After multiplying with  $H$  we find that the syndrome of  $y$  is the vector 0010.
- b) Notice that this is in fact the sixth column of  $H$ , and corresponds to the syndrome of the vector 0000010, which is the coset leader. Thus we have  $c = y - e = 1010111 - 0000010 = 1010101$  (which was one of the codewords we had listed).

---

**Exercise 4** We extend the code  $\mathcal{C}$  by adding an overall parity check, and write the new parity-check matrix below.

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Doing so we obtain a  $[8, 3, 4]$  code.

**Exercise 5** Given a code  $\mathcal{C}$  the dual code  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is defined as the set  $\{u : u \cdot v = 0, v \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . In our example, we get a code of length 7 and dimension 4, generated by  $H$  (list of codewords omitted). It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{C}$  is not even weakly self-dual since for instance the codeword 1000011 (first row) is not orthogonal to 0100101 (second row), or equivalently, that for instance neither of those vectors is a codeword of  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ .

**Exercise 6** This code has parameters  $n = (q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$  and length  $k = n - r$  with minimum distance 3, and in our case we have  $q = 3, r = 2$ , so we are going to build a  $[4, 2, 3]$  code. Since parity-check matrices of Hamming codes have pairwise linearly independent columns, we can choose any such 4 columns, for instance

$$H' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } H'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let's choose  $H''$  since it is in systematic form. For this choice of parity-check matrix, we have  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{0000, 0111, 1021, 1102\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2^T = \{0000, 1210, 2201, 0111\}$  and so clearly this is not even weakly self-dual.